

ORDER NO. 12 103

ENTERED MAR 27 2012

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 16(6)

In the Matter of


PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF
OREGON STAFF,

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection
Agreements and Amendments Submitted
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on March 27, 2012, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter, attached as Appendix A.



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Grant", is written over a horizontal line.

Michael Grant
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
STAFF REPORT
PUBLIC MEETING DATE: March 27, 2012**

REGULAR _____ CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE _____ N/A _____

DATE: March 14, 2012

TO: Public Utility Commission

FROM: Mitch Moore ^{mm}

THROUGH: Bryan Conway ^{BC} and Kay Marinos ^{KM}

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the amendment to a previously approved agreement listed below, with the amendment to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) (hereafter "Section 252") require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

ARB Agreements
March 14, 2012
Page 2

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following amendment to a previously approved agreement submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Amendment or Agreement
ARB 16(6)	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, dba AT&T Mobility and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

Staff recommends approval of the amendment. Staff finds that the amendment does not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new amendment to a previously approved agreement listed above be approved.

ARB agreements 3-27-12.doc