

**This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

ARB 302 (6+7)

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS	)	ORDER
SERVICES, INC. and QWEST	)	
CORPORATION	)	
	)	
Sixth and Seventh Amendments to	)	
Interconnection Agreement, Submitted for	)	
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section	)	
252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENTS APPROVED

On February 7, 2002, McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. and Qwest Corporation filed sixth and seventh amendments to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) by letter issued December 19, 2000, recognizing the adoption of ARB 250 terms. Subsequent amendments have been approved by Order Nos. 01-241, 01-732, and 02-079. The parties seek approval of the current amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting electronic copies of the amendments on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff noted that on Page 1 of ARB 302(7) under the Recitals title, the sentence reads, "...for service in the state of *Idaho*..." Staff believes the word "Idaho" is a typographical error and should state "Oregon" in its place.

Staff noted that an interconnection agreement or amendments thereto have no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 251 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff pointed out that the effective date of these filings will be the date the Commission signs an order approving them, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreements are effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the amendments. Staff concluded that the amendments to the previously approved agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

### **OPINION**

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendments should be approved.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendments to the previously approved agreement discriminate against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendments should be approved.

### **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the amendments to the previously acknowledged and approved agreements, between McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, are approved.

Made, entered, and effective \_\_\_\_\_.

---

**John Savage**  
Director  
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095.

A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.