

**This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

OF OREGON

ARB 227 (5)

In the Matter of the Fifth Amendment to the)	
Interconnection Agreement Between)	
SBC TELECOM, INC. and QWEST)	ORDER
CORPORATION, Submitted for Commission)	
Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENT APPROVED

On July 27, 2001, SBC Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation filed a fifth amendment to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by letter of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) on May 30, 2000, recognizing the adoption of ARB 3 terms, with subsequent amendments approved by Order Nos. 00-677, 01-311, and 01-546, issued October 24, 2000, April 25, 2001, and June 30, 2001, respectively. The parties seek approval of the current amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff noted that the agreement refers to SBCT Telecom, Inc. The company name is SBC Telecom, Inc., and the reference to SBCT Telecom, Inc. is in error. Staff verified this error with the company.

Staff recommended approval of the amendment. Staff concluded that the amendment to the previously approved agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendment be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendment should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously approved agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendment should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the fifth amendment to the previously acknowledged and approved agreement, between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, is approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

Phil Nyegaard
Acting Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.