

This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON

ARB 227(3) + ARB 227(4)

In the Matter of the Amendments (No. 2) and)
(No. 4) to the Interconnection Agreement)
Between SBC TELECOM, INC. and QWEST) ORDER
CORPORATION, Submitted for Commission)
Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENTS APPROVED

On April 2, 2001, SBC Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation filed amendments (No. 2 and No. 4)¹ to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by letter of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) on March 30, 2000, recognizing the adoption of ARB 3 terms, with subsequent amendments approved by Order Nos. 00-677 and 01-311, issued October 24, 2000, and April 25, 2001, respectively. The parties seek approval of the current amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff recommended approval of the amendments. Staff concluded that the amendments to the previously approved agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

¹ These current amendments, referred to by the parties as No. 2 and No. 4, represent the third and fourth actual amendment filings with the Commission; therefore, we have been tracking them as ARB 227(3) and ARB 227(4).

OPINION

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendments should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendments to the previously approved agreement discriminate against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendments should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the amendments to the previously approved agreement, between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, are approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

Phil Nyegaard
Acting Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.