

This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON

ARB 292(1)(2)(3)

In the Matter of the First, Second, and Third)
Amendments to the Interconnection)
Agreement between METSTREAM) ORDER
COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and QWEST)
CORPORATION, Submitted Pursuant to)
Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications)
Act of 1996.)

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENTS APPROVED

On March 28, 2001, MetStream Communications, Inc. and Qwest Corporation filed three amendments to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by letter of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) on November 7, 2000, recognizing the adoption of the terms of the ARB 250 interconnection agreement. The parties seek approval of the amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff noted that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 251 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date for these filings will be the date the Commission signs an order approving them, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement(s) are effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the amendments. Staff concluded that the amendments to the previously acknowledged agreement does not appear to discriminate against

telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendments should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendments to the previously acknowledged agreement discriminate against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendments should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the amendments to the previously acknowledged agreement, between MetStream Communications, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, are approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

Phil Nyegaard
Acting Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.