

This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 227(2)¹

| | | |
|---|---|-------|
| In the Matter of the Amendment (No. 3) to the |) | |
| Wireline Interconnection Agreement Between |) | |
| SBC TELECOM, INC. and QWEST |) | ORDER |
| CORPORATION, Submitted for Commission |) | |
| Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the |) | |
| Telecommunications Act of 1996. |) | |

On February 16, 2001, SBC Telecom, Inc. (SBC) and Qwest Corporation, formerly known as U S WEST Communications, Inc. (Qwest), filed with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), an executed amendment (No. 3) to the wireline interconnection agreement previously acknowledged and approved by the Commission. By letter of May 30, 2000, the Commission recognized the adoption of the terms of ARB 3, and subsequently approved the first amendment in Order No. 00-677. The parties seek approval of the current amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act).

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment to a list of persons who have participated in arbitrations under the Act. PUC Staff filed comments.

¹As this current amendment, referred to by the parties as No. 3, represents the second actual amendment filing with the Commission, we have been tracking this as ARB 227(2).

Staff concluded that the amendment to the previously filed agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission has reviewed the amendment to the previously approved agreement and the comments. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendment be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. The Commission concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment and that the amendment should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously approved agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendment should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the amendment to the previously approved agreement between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation, is approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

Phil Nyegaard
Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.