This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 222

In the Matter of the Resale Agreement between)	
BG ENTERPRISES, INC., and GTE	í	
NORTHWEST INCORPORATED Submitted for	Ś	ORDER
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section 252 (e))	ONDER
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AGREEMENT APPROVED

On May 18, 2000, BG Enterprises, Inc. (BGE), and GTE Northwest Incorporated (GTE) filed a Resale Agreement with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of the agreement under Section 252(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment to a list of persons who have participated in arbitrations under the Act. Comments were filed by the PUC Staff.

Appendix A to the agreement's resale attachment provides for a monthly interim universal service support charge. According to the agreement, BGE will not pay this monthly interim universal service support charge until the Commission or a court approves. The Commission has not previously approved this type of universal service support charge and the Commission is unaware of any court authorizing such a charge.

Approval of this interconnection agreement by the Commission does not constitute approval of the monthly interim universal service support charge proposed in the agreement. The Commission may consider the merits of a monthly interim universal service support charge when an incumbent local exchange carrier files a surcharge proposal with the Commission. Therefore, the agreement does not obligate BGE to pay GTE's monthly interim universal service support charge at this time.

BGE and GTE have agreed to allow the agreement to become effective upon the date of execution by both parties. This provision governing the effective date is not enforceable. Under Sections 252(a) and (e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, agreements do not have force or effect until approved by the Commission.

Staff concluded that the agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission has reviewed the agreement and the comments. We conclude that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the agreement be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. We conclude that the agreement should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Approval of the agreement does not constitute approval of the surcharge proposed by GTE.
- 2. There is no basis for finding that the agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
- 3. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
- 4. The agreement should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Approval of this agreement does not constitute Commission approval of the monthly interim universal service support charge proposed by GTE Northwest Incorporated; and
- 2. The agreement between BG Enterprises, Inc., and GTE Northwest Incorporated is approved.

Made, entered, and effective	
	William G. Warren
	Director
	Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A

ORDER NO. 00-372

copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.