ORDER NO. 00-349

ENTERED JUL 03 2000

# This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear. BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

# **OF OREGON**

## ARB 147(1)

In the Matter of the Amendment to the Interconnection	)	
Agreement Between DSLnet	)	
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC., and U S WEST	)	ORDER
COMMUNICATIONS, INC., Submitted for	)	
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section 252 (e) of	)	
the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

## DISPOSITION: AMENDMENT APPROVED

On April 3, 2000, DSLnet Communications, LLC. (DSLnet ) and U S WEST Communications, Inc. (USWC), filed with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), a fully executed amendment to the agreement initially approved by Commission Order No. 99-500.<sup>1</sup> The amendment addresses provisioning of unbundled network element (UNE) combinations, customized routing, and shared interoffice transport. The parties seek approval of the amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act).

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Amendment is referred to by the parties as "Amendment No. 2"; however, the Commission docketed the Amendment the "First Amendment", ARB 147(1), as it was the first amendment to the interconnection agreement filed with the Commission. The amendment referred to by the parties as "Amendment No. 1" was filed eleven weeks later on June 20, 2000, and has been docketed the "Second Amendment", ARB 147(2), to the interconnection agreement.

## ORDER NO.00-349

The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment to a list of persons who have participated in arbitrations under the Act. PUC Staff filed comments.

Staff noted that under the terms of the amendment, USWC will provision UNE combinations in a manner more limited than is available under a recent order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.<sup>2</sup> Staff also noted that this is a voluntary agreement and that DSLnet has agreed to the limitations on UNE combinations. Staff, therefore, concluded that the amendment to the previously filed agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

#### **OPINION**

The Commission has reviewed the amendment to the previously approved agreement and the comments. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendment be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. The Commission concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment and that the amendment should be approved.

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously approved agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
- 2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
- 3. The amendment should be approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 193 F.3d 112, 1121 (9th Cir. 1999)

#### ORDER NO.00-349

### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the amendment to the previously approved agreement between DSLnet Communications, LLC. and U S WEST Communications, Inc., is approved.

Made, entered, and effective \_\_\_\_\_\_.

William G. Warren Director Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.