

This is an electronic copy. Appendices may not be included.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

CP 692

In the Matter of the Application of MONROE	)	
TELEPHONE COMPANY for a Certificate of	)	
Authority to Provide Telecommunications Services in	)	ORDER
Oregon and Classification as a Competitive Provider.	)	
	)	

DISPOSITION: APPLICATION GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS

**Note:** By issuing this certificate, the Commission makes no endorsement or certification regarding the certificate holder's rates or service.

**The Application**

On July 30, 1999, Monroe Telephone Company (MTC or applicant) filed with the Commission an application for certification to provide telecommunications service in Oregon as a competitive provider. Applicant seeks to provide intraexchange (local exchange) telecommunications service in areas coextensive with local exchanges of U S WEST Communications, Inc. (USWC), GTE Northwest Incorporated (GTE), CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. (CenturyTel), and United Telephone Company of the Northwest dba Sprint (United). Applicant also seeks to provide interexchange telecommunications service, including private line service, statewide in Oregon.

The local exchanges of USWC encompassed by the application are listed in Appendix A to this order. The local exchanges of GTE encompassed by the application are listed in Appendix B. The local exchanges of CenturyTel encompassed by the application are listed in Appendix C. The local exchanges of United encompassed by the application are listed in Appendix D.

Applicant proposes to provide intraexchange (local exchange) switched service (i.e., local dial tone) and nonswitched private line service (dedicated transmission service) in exchanges listed in Appendices A, B, C, and D to this order. Applicant will operate strictly as a facilities based provider of intraexchange services, in that applicant will construct its own

facilities or purchase building blocks (unbundled network elements) from other certified carriers. Applicant will not be a reseller of local exchange service.

Applicant also proposes to provide interexchange switched telecommunications service (i.e., long distance toll) and nonswitched private line service (dedicated transmission service) statewide. Applicant will be strictly a reseller of interexchange services, and will not construct facilities or purchase building blocks (unbundled network elements) for interexchange services. Applicant may purchase interexchange telecommunications service for resale only from other carriers certified in Oregon.

Operator services are part of switched telecommunications service. Applicant will not directly provide operator services as defined in OAR 860-032-0001. Applicant will not be an 'operator service provider' as defined in ORS 759.690(1)(d). A statement of compliance with Commission rules and with state law, including ORS 759.690 and OAR 860-032-0005 (regarding operator services), was included in the application.

On the application Monroe Telephone Company listed Monroe Area Communications as a "doing business as" name. However, Monroe Area Communications is not a valid assumed business name registered with the Oregon Secretary of State. Monroe Area Communications, Inc., is a wholly owned subsidiary of Monroe Telephone Company. On September 21, 1999, Monroe Area Communications filed an application for a certificate of authority, docketed CP 709, which is similar to the application before us now, and that application will be reviewed separately.

The Commission served notice of the application on the Commission's telecommunications mailing list on August 12, 1999. The Commission did not receive any protests. However, USWC, GTE, CenturyTel, and United will be considered parties to this proceeding. On October 8, 1999, the Commission held a conference in this proceeding. At that conference the Commission Staff (Staff) and applicant agreed to a procedural schedule for processing this application.

Applicant, MTC, is a small, incumbent local exchange carrier (LEC), which has a certificate of authority to serve the Monroe telephone exchange. *See* Order No. 86-1190, docket UM 65, dated November 24, 1986. In the application before us the applicant seeks authority to provide local exchange telecommunications service in exchanges outside its current service area. Applicant also seeks authority to provide interexchange telecommunications service statewide in Oregon. Statewide service includes the Monroe telephone exchange, where applicant is the incumbent LEC.

On June 30, 1999, the FCC released the Second Order on Reconsideration and Memorandum Opinion and Order, CC Docket No. 96-149 and CC Docket No. 96-61 (the Order). In the Order the FCC adopted rules pertaining to in-region, interstate toll service provided by independent incumbent LECs, such as Monroe Telephone Company. Those rules

have been codified as 47 C.F.R. §64.1901 through §64.1903. In this proceeding, the Monroe telephone exchange is the applicant's region. The FCC requires incumbent LECs, which provide in-region, interstate telecommunications service, to do so through a separate subsidiary and to use separate books of account. If the incumbent LEC provides such service strictly on a resale basis, then the incumbent may use a separate division, but must still use separate books of account.

At the October 8 conference, the applicant stated that it would provide the in-region, interstate service using a separate division within Monroe Telephone Company (MTC). Applicant also stated that it would comply with all applicable FCC rules, Oregon Public Utility Commission rules, and state laws. By letter dated October 11, 1999, from Jeffry H. Smith of GVNW (the applicant's consulting manager) to Commission Staff, applicant confirmed that if it provides toll service (i.e., interexchange service) on a resale basis, it will do so through a separate division. The Commission accepts these commitments by applicant and interprets them to specifically include a commitment to adhere to FCC rules 47 C.F.R. §64.1901 through §64.1903. Adherence to applicable FCC rules, as well as to state laws, Oregon Commission rules and orders is a condition of this certificate of authority.

Also at the conference held on October 8, a representative of USWC requested that it not be the Designated Carrier (DXC) for customers who may be served by Monroe Telephone Company outside the Monroe exchange. In PUC Order No. 93-1133, docket UM 384, at Attachment page 8 of 19, Part II, the Commission defined a DXC as “. . . a telecommunications utility having the obligation to carry all intraLATA message toll which originates from LEC exchanges except in those cases where the LEC is certified as a Primary Toll Carrier (PTC), or where the customer has, through dialing, selected an IXC or PTC.” USWC is the DXC, or PTC, for intraLATA message toll in its own exchanges and in the exchanges of all other incumbent LECs in Oregon, except those of GTE and United. In the same order, the Commission defined LEC to mean a telecommunications utility or a cooperative.

A PTC is a telecommunications utility certified to provide intraLATA message toll service as a default carrier. Though distinctions can be made between the meanings of DXC and PTC, both have similar rights and obligations. Subsequent to Order No. 93-1133, the Commission designated GTE as PTC in its local exchanges. *See* Order No. 94-336, docket UT 113. And, the Commission designated United as PTC in its local exchanges. *See* Order No. 97-257, docket CP 317. Therefore, USWC is not the DXC in GTE or United exchanges.

The Commission, in Order No. 93-1133, did not address whether or not a DXC or PTC is obligated to serve as the default intraLATA message toll carrier for customers of competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs).

The designated or default toll carrier would be the PTC or DXC. The Commission will grant USWC's request. We will adopt the substance of the portion of Order No. 96-248,

docket CP 131, at page 9, related to the obligations of a PTC. Therefore, the following paragraph summarizes the obligations of USWC, GTE, and United as Primary Toll Carriers or Designated Carriers.

USWC is the DXC for intraLATA message toll in its exchanges and many other exchanges in Oregon. GTE is the DXC for intraLATA message toll in its exchanges, and United is the DXC for intraLATA message toll in its exchanges. To our knowledge the applicant has not requested either USWC, GTE, or United to be the DXC or PTC for customers it may acquire in those incumbents' exchanges. The Commission will not require USWC, GTE, or United to be the Primary Toll Carrier or Designated Carrier of intraLATA, intrastate calls originated from applicant's customers in exchanges outside the Monroe exchange. Nothing in this order shall change the status of USWC's, GTE's, or United's designations as Primary Toll Carriers for other customers where they are now the PTCs or DXCs. USWC, GTE, and United, at their option, may offer competitive intraLATA message toll services to the applicant's customers.

On October 27, 1999, the Commission Staff distributed a proposed order for review by the parties. The applicant, Monroe Telephone Company, filed exceptions to the proposed order on November 3, 1999. Staff filed a reply to the exceptions on November 23, 1999.

Applicant requested the Commission to modify Condition 6 of this order by adding the phrase 'on a confidential and proprietary basis' to the requirement that the applicant submit traffic studies to the Commission upon request. We recognize that traffic study data submitted to the Commission is usually treated as confidential. The determination of whether any particular data should be confidential is made on a case by case basis. We do not think it appropriate to include the requested phrase in this order, which grants authority to the applicant to be a competitive providers. We cannot determine now that any and all traffic study data, which we may require in the future, will automatically meet the requirements of the Public Records law and be qualified to be treated confidentially.

The Commission has reviewed the proposed order, the exceptions, and the record in this matter. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Commission makes the following:

## **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

### **Applicable Law**

Applications to provide telecommunications service and for classification as a competitive telecommunications service provider are filed pursuant to ORS 759.020. ORS 759.020 provides that:

(1) No person [or] corporation \* \* \* shall provide intrastate telecommunications service on a for-hire basis without a certificate of authority issued by the commission under this section.

\* \* \* \* \*

(5) The commission may classify a successful applicant for a certificate as a \* \* \* competitive telecommunications services provider. If the commission finds that a successful applicant for a certificate has demonstrated that its customers or those proposed to become customers have reasonably available alternatives, the commission shall classify the applicant as a competitive telecommunications services provider. \* \* \* For purposes of this section, in determining whether there are reasonably available alternatives, the commission shall consider:

- (a) The extent to which services are available from alternative providers in the relevant market.
- (b) The extent to which services of alternative providers are functionally equivalent or substitutable at comparable rates, terms, and conditions.
- (c) Existing economic or regulatory barriers to entry.
- (d) Any other factors deemed relevant by the commission.

Applications to provide local exchange (intraexchange) telecommunications service are reviewed pursuant to ORS 759.050, the “competitive zone law.” Under ORS 759.050(2)(a), the Commission may:

Certify one or more persons, including another telecommunications utility, to provide local exchange telecommunications service within the local exchange telecommunications service area of a certified telecommunications utility, if the commission determines that such authorization would be in the public interest. For the purpose of determining whether such authorization would be in the public interest, the commission shall consider:

- (A) The effect on rates for local exchange telecommunications service customers both within and outside the competitive zone.
- (B) The effect on competition in the local exchange telecommunications service area.
- (C) The effect on access by customers to high quality innovative telecommunications service in the local exchange telecommunications service area.

(D) Any other facts the commission considers relevant.

Under ORS 759.050(2)(b), the Commission shall:

Upon certification of a telecommunications provider under paragraph (a) of this subsection, establish a competitive zone defined by the services to be provided by the telecommunications provider and the geographic area to be served by the telecommunications provider.

Under ORS 759.050(2)(c), the Commission may:

Impose reasonable conditions upon the authority of [the applicant] to provide competitive zone service within the competitive zone \* \* \* at the time of certification of a telecommunications provider, or thereafter.

Subsection (5)(a) of ORS 759.050 provides that:

Unless the commission determines that it is not in the public interest at the time a competitive zone is created, upon designation of a competitive zone, price changes, service variations, and modifications of competitive zone services offered by a telecommunications utility in the zone shall not be subject to ORS 759.180 to ORS 759.190 [notice, hearing and tariff suspension procedures], and at the telecommunications utility's discretion, such changes may be made effective upon filing with the commission.

ORS 759.690 and OAR 860-032-0005 establish certain requirements providers of operator services must meet. Included are the following conditions:

The certificate holder involved in the provision of operator services shall:

1. Notify all callers at the beginning of the call of the telecommunications provider's name and allow a sufficient delay period to permit a caller to terminate the call or advise the operator to transfer the call to the customer's preferred carrier.
2. Disclose rate and service information to the caller when requested.
3. Not transfer a call to another operator service provider without the caller's notification and consent.
4. Not screen calls and prevent or "block" the completion of calls which would allow the caller to reach an operator service company different from the certificate holder. In addition, the certificate holder shall, through contract

provisions with its reseller clients, prohibit the reseller from blocking a caller's access to his or her operator service company of choice.

5. When entering into operator service contracts or arrangements with call aggregators include in each contract provisions for public notification. A sticker or nameplate identifying the name of the certificate holder shall be attached to, or in close proximity to, each telephone that has public access.

OAR 860-032-0015(1) authorizes the Commission to suspend or cancel the certificate if the Commission finds that (a) the holder made misrepresentations when it filed the application, or (b) the applicant fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the certificate.

### **Designation as a Competitive Provider**

Applicant has met the requirements for classification as a competitive telecommunications service provider. Applicant's customers or those proposed to become customers have reasonably available alternatives. The incumbent telecommunications utilities, USWC, GTE, CenturyTel, and United provide the same or similar local exchange services in the local service area requested by applicant. AT&T, MCI WorldCom, Sprint Communications, USWC, and others provide interexchange toll, private line and operator services in the service area requested by the applicant. Subscribers to applicant's services can buy comparable services at comparable rates from other vendors. Economic and regulatory barriers to entry are relatively low.

### **Conditions of the Certificate**

As part of the application, the applicant agreed to, or acknowledged, several conditions listed in the application. Those conditions are adopted and made conditions of this certificate of authority.

The Commission first applied the competitive zone law, ORS 759.050, in dockets CP 1, CP 14, and CP 15. After full evidentiary hearings and consideration of the public interest criteria set forth in ORS 759.050(2)(a), the Commission designated three competitive providers of switched local exchange services as alternate exchange carriers (AECs or competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs)) in the Portland metropolitan area. *See* Order No. 96-021. The Commission subsequently applied those findings and conclusions to dockets CP 132, CP 139, and CP 149, and certified two CLECs to provide switched local exchange services in areas located throughout the state.

The Commission takes official notice of the record in dockets CP 1, CP 14,

and CP 15.<sup>1</sup> In Order No. 96-021, the Commission established conditions applicable to CLEC certificates. Since applicant, Monroe Telephone Company, proposes to offer switched local exchange services, it seeks certification as a CLEC. Pursuant to ORS 759.050(2)(c) and Order No. 96-021, applicant as a CLEC shall comply with the following conditions:

1. Applicant shall terminate all intrastate traffic originating on the networks of other telecommunications service providers that have been issued a certificate of authority by the Commission.
2. Whenever applicant terminates intrastate long distance traffic directly or indirectly from interexchange carriers or from its own toll network to its end user customers, applicant shall contribute to the Oregon Customer Access Fund (OCAF), or its equivalent, in accordance with provisions of the Oregon Customer Access Plan (OCAP) or any successor plan approved by the Commission. Applicant shall contribute using rates approved by the Commission on intrastate terminating carrier common line access minutes, or on any other basis determined by the Commission. Applicant may not participate in (i.e., receive money from) pooling arrangements established under the OCAP or any successor plan unless authorized by the Commission.
3. Applicant shall comply with the Oregon Exchange Carrier Association's (OECA) informational and operational needs as specified by the OCAP or any successor plan approved by the Commission.
4. Applicant shall offer E-911 service. Applicant has primary responsibility to work with the E-911 agencies to make certain that all users of their services have access to the emergency system. Applicant will deliver or arrange to have delivered to the correct 911 Controlling Office its customers' voice and dialable Automatic Number Identification (ANI) telephone numbers so the lead 911 telecommunications service provider can deliver the 911 call to the correct Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP). Applicant agrees to work with each 911 district and lead 911 telecommunications service provider to develop database comparison procedures to match applicant's customer addresses to the 911 district's Master Street Address Guide in order to obtain the correct Emergency Service Number (ESN) for each address. Applicant agrees to provide the lead 911 telecommunications service provider with daily updates of new customers, moves, and changes with the correct ESN for each.

---

<sup>1</sup> Under OAR 860-014-0050(2), a party may object to facts noticed within 15 days of notification that official notice has been taken. The objecting party may explain or rebut the noticed facts.



5. Applicant shall not take any action that impairs the ability of other certified telecommunications service providers to meet service standards specified by the Commission.
6. At the request of the Commission, applicant shall conduct, and submit to the Commission, traffic studies regarding traffic exchanged with telecommunications service providers and other entities designated by the Commission.
7. For purposes of distinguishing between local and toll calling, applicant shall adhere to local exchange boundaries and Extended Area Service (EAS) routes established by the Commission. Further, applicant shall not establish an EAS route from a given local exchange beyond the EAS area for that exchange.
8. When applicant is assigned one or more NXX codes, applicant shall limit each of its NXX codes to a single local exchange and shall establish a toll rate center in each exchange that is proximate to the toll rate center established by the telecommunications utility serving the exchange.
9. Applicant shall comply with universal service requirements as determined by the Commission.
10. Unless otherwise provided pursuant to an interconnection agreement adopted by the Commission pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, applicant shall enter into interconnection agreements with telecommunications utilities for exchange of local and EAS traffic, ancillary services (i.e., directory listings, directory assistance, 911 arrangements, mutual repair referral) and other interconnection matters in accordance with requirements the Commission established in Order No. 96-021 as otherwise modified by the Commission.
11. If applicant provides services to a subscriber who, in turn, resells the services, including operator services, then applicant and the subscriber must comply with ORS 759.690 and OAR 860-032-0005.
12. Applicant shall comply with laws, Commission rules, and Commission orders related to provision of telecommunications service in Oregon.

The applicant, MTC, stated at the conference held on October 8, 1999, and in its letter of October 11, 1999, that it would comply with applicable rules and laws. This includes the FCC rules in 47 C.F.R. §64.1901 through §64.1903. Applicant will provide interexchange telecommunications through a separate corporate division and use separate books of account. This Commission recognizes that the FCC rules pertain to interstate telecommunications service. We also recognize that our jurisdiction is limited to intrastate service. However, as a practical

business reality, MTC, like most interexchange carriers, will provide both interstate and intrastate interexchange service using the same business operation, personnel, and facilities. This is so because customers make both intrastate and interstate calls. Therefore, the following are also conditions of this certificate of authority:

13. For interexchange telecommunications service applicant shall operate strictly as a reseller of other certified carriers' interexchange interstate and intrastate service.
14. Applicant shall provide interexchange interstate and intrastate telecommunications service subject to and in compliance with FCC rules in 47 C.F.R. §64.1901 through §64.1903, as adopted by the FCC in the Second Order on Reconsideration and Memorandum Opinion and Order, CC Docket No. 96-149 and CC Docket No. 96-61, released on June 30, 1999.
15. In recognition that applicant is the incumbent local exchange carrier in the Monroe exchange, and the potential for MTC to favor itself over other competitive providers of interexchange service, applicant shall comply with the following conditions. Applicant shall not have arrangements or practices that discriminate in favor of itself, or provide preferential treatment for itself, over other competitive interexchange carriers in regards to rates, terms or conditions for:
  - a. The provision of access to MTC's local exchange network;
  - b. The provision of customer billing, collection, verification and credit card information, and related services; and
  - c. The provision of other products and services such as shared or joint use of facilities and equipment, customer dialing codes, maintenance, testing and repair services, market promotions and advertised services, network information, and customer and market information.

These conditions will allow the Commission to readily detect and resolve any competitive issues that may arise with applicant's provision of interexchange toll services in its Monroe telephone exchange.

### **Public Interest**

In Order No. 93-1850, docket UM 381, the Commission considered the public interest aspects of local exchange competition for dedicated transmission service similar to that described in the application before us now. In dockets CP 1, CP 14, and CP 15, Order No. 96-021, the Commission made several public interest findings regarding local exchange competition in general.

The Commission's Findings of Fact and Opinion in docket UM 381, Order No. 93-1850, at pages 4 – 6, and the Commission's Findings and Decisions in dockets CP 1, CP 14, and CP 15, Order No. 96-021 at pages 6 - 21, entered pursuant to ORS 759.050(2)(a)(A) - (C), are adopted. The Commission takes official notice of the record in dockets UM 381, CP 1, CP 14, and CP 15.<sup>2</sup> Based on a review of those findings, as well as information contained in the application, the Commission concludes that it is in the public interest to grant the application of Monroe Telephone Company to provide local exchange telecommunications service as a competitive telecommunications provider in the exchanges listed in Appendices A, B, C, and D. Further, it is in the public interest to grant the application to provide intrastate, interexchange switched (toll) telecommunications service and dedicated transmission service statewide in Oregon, as described in the application.

### **Competitive Zones**

The exchanges listed in Appendices A, B, C, and D to this order are designated competitive zones pursuant to ORS 759.050(2)(b).

### **Pricing Flexibility**

In Order No. 93-1850, docket UM 381, the Commission granted pricing flexibility for dedicated transmission service at the time the Commission granted the certificate of authority. Applicant seeks authority to provide intraexchange dedicated transmission service. Accordingly, USWC, GTE, CenturyTel, and United are granted pricing flexibility for dedicated transmission service in the exchanges listed in Appendices A, B, C, and D.

For intraexchange, switched telecommunications service the following applies. The Commission's Findings and Decisions in dockets CP 1, CP 14, and CP 15, Order No. 96-021 at pages 82 and 83, entered pursuant to ORS 759.050(5)(a) - (d), are adopted. Accordingly, USWC will gain pricing flexibility in an exchange listed in Appendix A when:

1. Applicant, or an authorized CLEC, has received a certificate of authority to provide local exchange service.
2. USWC files a tariff that satisfies the Commission's requirements regarding the provision of interim number portability, as set forth in Order No. 96-021, and the Commission approves the tariff. USWC satisfied this requirement. *See* Order No. 96-277.
3. Staff notifies the Commission that a mutual exchange of traffic exists between USWC and an authorized CLEC, including but not limited to, applicant. If Staff

---

<sup>2</sup> Under OAR 860-014-0050(2), a party may object to facts noticed within 15 days of notification that official notice has been taken. The objecting party may explain or rebut the noticed facts.

previously provided the required notice regarding an exchange, no additional notice is required for that exchange.

(a) As used in paragraph 3 above, “mutual exchange of traffic” means a mutual exchange of traffic between USWC and the CLEC within each exchange on an exchange-by-exchange basis. For example, if there is a mutual exchange of traffic in the Bend exchange, USWC would get pricing flexibility in the Bend exchange only.

(b) As used in paragraph 3 above, for a CLEC who is a reseller (i.e., the CLEC does not use its own lines or switches to provide the particular service at issue), a “mutual exchange of traffic” exists when the CLEC orders and receives one service, at a wholesale rate, from the LEC for resale pursuant to a certificate granted under ORS 759.050.

Similarly, GTE will gain pricing flexibility in an exchange listed in Appendix B when:

1. Applicant, or an authorized CLEC, has received a certificate of authority to provide local exchange service.
2. GTE files a tariff that satisfies the Commission’s requirements regarding the provision of interim number portability, as set forth in Order No. 96-021, and the Commission approves the tariff. GTE satisfied this requirement. *See* Order No. 96-278.
3. Staff notifies the Commission that a mutual exchange of traffic exists between GTE and an authorized CLEC, including but not limited to, applicant. If Staff previously provided the required notice regarding an exchange, no additional notice is required for that exchange. The definitions in paragraphs 3.(a) and 3.(b) above, also apply here.

Similarly, CenturyTel will gain pricing flexibility in an exchange listed in Appendix C when:

1. Applicant, or an authorized CLEC, has received a certificate of authority to provide local exchange service.
2. CenturyTel files a tariff that satisfies the Commission’s requirements regarding the provision of interim number portability, as set forth in Order No. 96-021, and the Commission approves the tariff.
3. Staff notifies the Commission that a mutual exchange of traffic exists between CenturyTel and an authorized CLEC, including but not limited to, applicant. If

Staff previously provided the required notice regarding an exchange, no additional notice is required for that exchange. The definitions in paragraphs 3.(a) and 3.(b) above, also apply here.

Similarly, United will gain pricing flexibility in an exchange listed in Appendix D when:

1. Applicant, or an authorized CLEC, has received a certificate of authority to provide local exchange service.
2. United files a tariff that satisfies the Commission's requirements regarding the provision of interim number portability, as set forth in Order No. 96-021, and the Commission approves the tariff.
3. Staff notifies the Commission that a mutual exchange of traffic exists between United and an authorized CLEC, including but not limited to, applicant. If Staff previously provided the required notice regarding an exchange, no additional notice is required for that exchange. The definitions in paragraphs 3.(a) and 3.(b) above, also apply here.

## **ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The application of Monroe Telephone Company to provide intraexchange switched service and dedicated transmission service, and to provide interexchange switched (toll) service and dedicated transmission service, as described in the application, is in the public interest and is granted with conditions described in this order.
2. Applicant is designated as a competitive telecommunications provider for intraexchange service in the exchanges listed in Appendices A, B, C, and D, and for intrastate, interexchange service statewide in Oregon.
3. The local exchanges of USWC listed in Appendix A, those of GTE listed in Appendix B, those of CenturyTel listed in Appendix C, and those of United listed in Appendix D are designated as competitive zones.
4. USWC, GTE, CenturyTel, and United shall receive pricing flexibility on an exchange-by-exchange basis as set forth in this order.

5. Pursuant to ORS 759.050(2)(c), applicant shall comply with Commission imposed universal service requirements as a condition of authority to provide local exchange service.

Made, entered, and effective \_\_\_\_\_.

---

**Ron Eachus**  
Chairman

---

**Roger Hamilton**  
Commissioner

---

**Joan H. Smith**  
Commissioner

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to ORS 756.580.

APPENDIX A

CP 692

EXCHANGES OF U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.  
ENCOMPASSED BY THE APPLICATION

Albany	Mapleton
Ashland	Marcola
Astoria	Medford
Athena/Weston	Milton-Freewater
Baker	Newport
Bend	North Plains
Blue River	Oak Grove/Milwaukie
Burlington	Oakland/Sutherlin
Camp Sherman	Oakridge
Cannon Beach	Oregon City
Central Point	Pendleton
Corvallis	Phoenix/Talent
Cottage Grove	Portland
Culver	Prineville
Dallas	Rainier
Eugene/Springfield	Redmond
Falls City	Rogue River
Florence	Roseburg
Gold Hill	St. Helens
Grants Pass	Salem
Harrisburg	Seaside
Hermiston	Siletz
Independence/Monmouth	Sisters
Jacksonville	Stanfield
Jefferson	Sumpter
Junction City	Toledo
Klamath Falls	Umatilla
Lake Oswego	Veneta
Lapine	Walla Walla
Leaburg	Warrenton
Lowell	Westport
Madras	Woodburn/Hubbard

ORDER NO. 99-782

APPENDIX A  
PAGE 1 OF 1



APPENDIX B

CP 692

EXCHANGES OF GTE NORTHWEST INCORPORATED  
ENCOMPASSED BY THE APPLICATION

Amity	Lakeside
Aumsville/Turner	Langlois
Bandon	Lostine
Beaverton	McMinnville
Brookings	Mill City
Clatskanie	Murphy/Provolt
Coos Bay/North Bend	Myrtle Point
Coquille	Newberg
Cove	Port Orford
Dayton	Powers
Detroit	Reedsport
Elgin	Sandy
Enterprise	Scholls
Forest Grove	Sherwood
Gold Beach	Silverton
Grand Island	Stafford
Gresham	Sunnyside
Hillsboro	Tigard
Hoodland	Union
Imbler	Vernonia
Joseph	Wallowa
La Grande	Yamhill

ORDER NO. 99-782

APPENDIX B  
PAGE 1 OF 1

APPENDIX C

CP 692

EXCHANGES OF CENTURY TEL OF OREGON, INC.  
ENCOMPASSED BY THE APPLICATION

Aurora	Long Creek
Bly	Malin
Boardman	Maupin
Bonanza	Merrill
Brownsville	Mitchell
Burns	Monument
Camas Valley	North Powder
Charbonneau	North Umpqua
Chemult	Paisley
Chiloquin	Paulina
Creswell	Pilot Rock
Depoe Bay	Pine Grove
Drain	Rocky Point
Durkee	Scappoose
Echo	Seneca
Fort Klamath	Shedd
Fossil	Silver Lake
Gilchrist	Sprague River
Gleneden Beach	Spray
Glide	Starkey
Government Camp	Sweet Home
Harney	Tygh Valley
Heppner	Ukiah
Huntington	Wamic
Ione	Yoncalla
Jewell	
John Day	
Knappa	
Lakeview	
Lebanon	
Lexington	

ORDER NO. 99-782

PAGE 1 OF 1

APPENDIX D

CP 692

EXCHANGES OF UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF THE NORTHWEST  
ENCOMPASSED BY THE APPLICATION

Arlington  
Bay City  
Beaver  
Butte Falls  
Carlton  
Cascade Locks  
Cloverdale  
Crater Lake  
Diamond Lake  
Fish Lake  
Garibaldi  
Grand Ronde  
Grass Valley  
Hood River  
Lincoln City  
Moro  
Mosier  
Odell  
Pacific City  
Parkdale  
Prospect  
Rockaway  
Rufus  
Shady Cove  
Sheridan  
The Dalles  
Tillamook  
Wasco  
White City  
Willamina

ORDER NO. 99-782

PAGE 1 OF 1