

ORDER NO. 26-265

ENTERED Jul 21 2026

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 832(8)

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF
OREGON STAFF,

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection
Agreements and Amendments Submitted
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on July 21, 2026, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

BY THE COMMISSION:



Alison Lackey

Chief Administrative Law Judge
Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA6

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
STAFF REPORT
PUBLIC MEETING DATE: July 21, 2026**

REGULAR _____ **CONSENT** X **EFFECTIVE DATE** _____ **N/A**

DATE: July 9, 2026

TO: Public Utility Commission

FROM: Joseph Bartholomew

THROUGH: Nolan Moser and Russell Beitzel **SIGNED**

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF:
(Docket No. ARB 832(8))
Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreement/Amendments
submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of
1996.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the amendment listed below, with the amended agreements to be considered
legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

Issue

Whether the Commission should approve the new negotiated interconnection
agreement and amendments.

Applicable Law

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection
agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state
commission for approval before it may become legally enforceable.

OAR 860-016-0020 governs Commission review of interconnection agreements and
amendments. Specifically:

(3) After the parties reach agreement under Section 252(a) of the Act,

they must file an application with the Commission seeking approval of the agreement, or for approval of an amendment to an approved agreement on file with the Commission. The application must include the negotiated agreement and a completed Carrier-to-Carrier Agreement Checklist. (...)

(4) The Commission will approve or reject the agreement within 90 days of filing, with written findings as to any deficiencies. Prior to rejecting the agreement, the Commission will notify the negotiating parties of its intended action and provide an opportunity for the carriers to respond. The grounds for rejection are that the agreement:

(a) Discriminates against a carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(b) Is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Applicable Commission policies will be a factor in public interest, convenience, and necessity determinations.

Analysis

As noted above, an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff notes that although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following amendment organized by filing date and submitted it for Commission approval:

Docket No.	Parties to the Agreement or Amendment
ARB 832(8)	Bandwidth.com CLEC LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

Docket No. ARB 832(8)

July 9, 2026

Page 3

Staff recommends approval of the amendment. Staff finds that the amended agreement does not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement or amendments.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Approve the amendment listed above.

CA6 - ARB 832(8) Bandwidth.com CLEC LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC amendment