ENTERED Aug. 6, 2025

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

### OF OREGON

**UM 1908** 

In the Matter of

LUMEN TECHNOLOGIES,

**ORDER** 

Proposed Commission Action Pursuant to ORS 756.515 to Suspend and Investigate Price Plan (UM 1908), and

QWEST CORPORATION,

Investigation Regarding the Provision of Service in Jacksonville, Oregon and Surrounding Areas (UM 2206) Hearing on Orders No. 22-340 and 22-422.

### DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED WITH MODIFICATION

At its regular public meeting on August 5, 2025, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter, modified to direct Lumen Technologies, Inc. to provide past due responses to safety audit reports, including evidence of corrections, within 30 days in a new docket and to direct staff to address safety issues in that docket. The Staff Report with the recommendations is attached as Appendix A.

Made, entered, and effective Agust 6, 2025

Letha Tawney

Chair

COMMISSIONER PERKINS WAS UNAVAILABLE FOR SIGNATURE

Les Perkins

Commissioner

Karin Power

Commissioner

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Circuit Court for Marion County in compliance with ORS 183.484.

### ITEM NO. RA1

### PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: August 5, 2025

REGULAR	_ <b>X</b>	CONSENT	 EFFECTIVE DATE	N/A	
	9 0				Ξ

**DATE:** July 23, 2025

**TO:** Public Utility Commission

FROM: Joseph Bartholomew

THROUGH: Bryan Conway and Russell Beitzel SIGNED

**SUBJECT:** LUMEN TECHNOLOGIES:

(Docket No. UM 1908)

Staff response to Order No. 25-216 regarding Lumen Service Quality.

### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Commission take the following actions:

- Direct Staff to address minimum service quality standards in UM 2399.
- Direct Lumen to provide past due responses to safety audit reports, including evidence of corrections, within 90 days.
- Direct UM 2397 parties to address any violation of Commission orders related to service quality as part of the contested case.

### **DISCUSSION:**

### Issue

Whether the Commission should issue fines related to safety and service quality issues during the time period from February 2024 to May 2025.

### Applicable Rule or Law

ORS 756.515(1) provides that whenever the Commission believes that "any service is unsafe or inadequate, or is not afforded, or that an investigation of any matter relating to any public utility or telecommunications utility. . . the Commission may on motion summarily investigate any such matter, with or without notice." Upon investigation,

without notice or hearing, the Commission may make any findings and orders it deems justified or necessary as provided in Subsection (4).

The Commission establishes minimum service quality standards to ensure safe and adequate services for all telecommunications carriers pursuant to ORS 759.450. Under Section (5) of this statute, the Commission is required to direct a telecommunications carrier, utility or competitive provider that is not meeting the minimum service quality standards to submit a plan for improving performance to meet the standards within 6 months. The Commission is further required to review and approve or disapprove the plan.

Lumen is currently regulated under an ORS 759.255 Price Plan, approved by the Commission in Order No. 24-113. One of the Price Plan's objectives is to ensure that the quality of existing telecommunications services will meet or exceed Commission service quality standards, and ties service quality metrics to the ability to increase pricing.<sup>1</sup>

OAR 860-023-0055 provides the statutorily required retail service quality standards for large telecommunications utilities.

In Order No. 25-216 the Commission directed Lumen to submit a performance plan to meet minimum service quality standards and address service quality and directed Staff to review Lumen's compliance with service quality rules, addressing the specific recommendations raised by CUB in its comments.

ORS 757.035 and OAR 860-024-0010 and OAR 860-023-0005 for articulate the laws and rules regarding minimum safety standards.

### **Analysis**

Background

Staff has been working with Lumen Technologies Inc., dba CenturyLink (Lumen or Company)<sup>2</sup> for several years in an attempt to improve service quality on several metrics. The Commission has adopted two previous service quality performance plans for

See, Docket No. UM 1908/UM 2206, In the Matters of Lumen Technologies, Proposed Commission Action Pursuant to ORS 756.515 to Suspend and Investigate Price Plan, Order No. 24-041 at 9-10 (Feb. 9, 2024); modified by, Order No. 24-113 (April 30, 2024).

Lumen Technologies, Inc. is the parent of operating companies Qwest Corporation, United Telephone Company of the Northwest, CenturyTel of Oregon, and CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon.

Lumen under ORS 759.450(5), in May 2017³ and June 2021.⁴ Staff provided a Report for the June 10, 2025, public meeting, in which Staff recommended the Commission find Lumen out of compliance with the 48-hour repair metric for Lumen's ILEC's Qwest and Century Tel from January 2021 through April 2025, and for United from January 2019 through April 2025. In response to Staff's Report the Oregon Citizens' Utility Board (CUB) provided comments and requests for Commission consideration. The Commission ordered Lumen to submit a performance plan to achieve compliance with the minimum service quality metrics as required pursuant to OAR 759.450(5) and directed Staff to review Lumen's compliance with the service quality rules, addressing the recommendations raised by CUB in its comments.

CUB's comments included the following requests:5

- 1) Suspend the Company's filing in UP 433, and any other Company filing, until the Commission issues a ruling that it is satisfied that the Company has come into compliance with the Commission's safety and reliability rules;
- 2) Direct PUC Staff to review the Company's Commission rule violations since the first Jacksonville Order was issued in September 2022, or at a minimum, back to the date of the Commission's Order adopting the Price Plan Stipulation in February 2024, Order No. 24-041;
- 3) Direct PUC Staff to calculate the total fines that could be issued to the Company based upon those violations pursuant to the Commission's authority in ORS 756.990, Oregon statutes and rules, and its Orders;
- 4) Direct Staff to make a recommendation as to the appropriate amount of fines that should be issued on the Company for the continuing violation and disregard of Commission rules, and consider appropriate amount of penalties; and
- 5) Direct the Company to meet the requests identified by CUB in our January Response to Lumen's Motion to Amend.

This memo provides information about Staff's analysis and findings in the same order. Staff first addresses suspension of Company filings, addressing CUB Request 1. Staff addresses the Commission's direction and CUB Request 2 through review of Lumen's compliance with service quality and safety standards provided in statute or rule. Staff notes that CUB Requests 3 and 4 are broader than Request 2, and include compliance with orders in addition to rules and statutes. After assessing Lumen's compliance with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Docket No. UM 1836, Order No. 17-175 (May 16, 2017).

Docket No. UM 1026, Order No. 01-689 (Aug. 1, 2001) (resulting in an MOU between Staff and Lumen approved on July 24, 2001).

CUB's Comments on Staff's Report for the June 10, 2025, Public Meeting (June 9, 2025); referencing, CUB's Response to Lumen's Motion to Amend at 9-10 (Jan. 14, 2025).

Commission orders relevant to safety and service quality, Staff provides information on penalties, which address CUB Requests 3 and 4. Lastly, Staff summarizes additional information it reviewed as part of its analysis providing relevant context and addressing the topics included in CUB's January Response to Lumen's Motion to Amend.

### Suspension of Dockets

Docket No. UP 433 was closed on June 16, 2025.<sup>6</sup> Staff is not aware of any other Company-initiated filings.

Compliance with Service Quality and Safety Standards

1. Minimum Service Quality Standards

Staff reviewed Lumen's compliance with the minimum service quality standards articulated in OAR 860-023-0055. To be considered out of compliance, a company's performance needs to fall below the minimum service quality metrics as outlined below.

Staff reviewed the six components related to service quality, with the following summarized results. The individual months related to compliance can be found in Attachment A and are summarized below:

- Residential repair center call answer time Compliance is related to a company answering a call within 50 seconds. The Company was in compliance with this standard.
- Business repair center call answer time Compliance is related to a company answering a call within 50 seconds. The Company was in compliance with this standard.
- **Service Order Completion** Compliance is related to a company achieving 90 percent of commitments met within a 30-day period.
  - Qwest Out of compliance 1 time.
  - United Out of compliance 5 times.
  - CenturyTel Out of compliance 5 times.
- **48-hour repair clearing time** Compliance is related to a company completing 90 percent of all trouble tickets within 48-hours of issuance. None of the Lumen ILEC's, Qwest, United, and CenturyTel, were in compliance with this standard.
- Held Orders for Lack of Facilities Compliance is related to a company having less than 10 percent of held orders completed over a 30-day period. United and CenturyTel were both in compliance, but Qwest was out of compliance 3 times.

Docket No. UP 433. In the Matter of Qwest Corporation Application for Authority to Transfer

Property Under ORS 759.375, Order No. 25-222 (June 16, 2025) (dismissing the application and closing the docket).

Trouble tickets per 100 customers – Compliance is related to a company
having a specific amount of trouble tickets per 100 customers. The Company
was in compliance with this standard.

### 2. Safety Standards

Staff reviewed safety audit reports to understand Lumen's potential safety violations. Commission Safety Staff perform periodic audits around the state to assess the level of compliance with the National Electric Safety Code (NESC) and the Commission's Divisions 24 and Division 28 safety rules. Staff's safety audits reports include "probable violations" of Division 24 & Division 28 rules. A factual determination by the Commission is necessary for the finding of noncompliance or violation of the administrative rules. While each safety audit report, shown in Attachment C, included multiple probable violations, there have been no findings of rule violations.

### Compliance with Commission Orders

### 1. Service Quality Orders

Staff reviewed Lumen's compliance with Commission Orders related to service quality, Order Nos. 22-340, 22-422, and 24-041. Specifically, Staff reviewed call logs provided by the Company for the dedicated customer service line, comments submitted in Commission dockets, and complaints submitted to the Staff's Consumer Services Section, shown below.

### **Summary of Docket Comments**

- On January 14, 2025, a Jacksonville resident sent in comments to Docket No. UM 1908. In the comment, the resident reports issues accessing the dedicated line, stating that a neighbor was unable to access the dedicated line, and given a repair date five days out.
- On February 27, 2025, another Jacksonville resident submitted a comment to Docket No. UM 1908 stating that their repair ticket was past 48 hours for resolution. Further the customer reported that when they called the special dedicated customer support line, they were transferred to the regular customer service line.
- On July 14, 2025, a customer submitted a comment in Docket No. UM 2397 noting that the dedicated support line does not work.

### **Summary of Consumer Services Complaints**

The Consumer Services Section received complaints from four Lumen customers on various dates related to outages, the dedicated line, or repair time frames as described below.

- In early February 2025, two Jacksonville customers submitted complaints related to a February 3, 2025, outage in which the backup batteries did not work. Both customers were provided with repair timelines of over 48 hours.
- In late February 2025, two separate Jacksonville customers submitted complaints regarding an outage lasting from February 26 through February 28, 2025. Both customers were provided with repair timelines in March.
- On June 19, 2025, a protected customer filed a complaint reporting multiple outages over several days and an inability to access the dedicated line.

Staff investigated the information outlined above, as well as information contained in Attachment B. Staff finds that Lumen may have violated Commission orders based on the following:

- Customers describe being unable to report multiple outages for other customers.
- Lumen has not repaired 90 percent of all trouble tickets within 48 hours as shown in Attachment B.
- Lumen stopped filing call logs every two weeks from July 2024 through July 2025 and only filed monthly call logs.
- The dedicated line was likely not operational 24/7, 365 days a year, specifically the few days in June 2025 when the Company had an internal outage.

### 2. Safety Order

Order No. 24-041 requires that Lumen "be responsive to inspections performed by other Operators or Public Utility Commission Staff" and defines responsiveness as "date certain corrections, no later than 120 days from notice." The safety audit reports provided by Staff notify the utility of probable violations of the NESC including conditions identified as fire and safety risks. Generally, the safety audit reports specify that corrections are to be completed within 180 days, however, utilities are provided the opportunity to request an extension. Attachment C provides lists Lumen's past due safety audit responses. Lumen has 18 past due responses for safety audits, for which the Company was provided with reports after Order No. 24-041 was issued. While past due responses do not necessarily mean that corrections have not been completed, it does indicate that evidence of corrections have yet to be received by Staff.

\_

Order No. 24-041, Appendix A at 3, Section 11(f).

If there is a dispute about a citation in the safety audit report, the recipient is advised to submit in writing within 30 days of receipt. Coordination between operators may be required which can create challenges meeting the 180-day deadline.

### Fines and Penalties

Consistent with CUB's request. Staff reviewed the Company's compliance with the Commission's rules, statutes, and orders, as described above. CUB requested that Staff evaluate the total amount of fines that the Commission could issue and provide a recommendation on the appropriate amount of fines that should be issued. CUB's request focuses on penalties pursuant to the Commission's authority in ORS 756.990, but Staff also considers penalty authority captures in ORS 759.990. In addressing this request, Staff discusses rule and statute violations and order violations separately and summarizes its recommendations for each in the table below.

Obligation	Fines Recommended Here	Staff Recommendation
Minimum Service Quality <sup>9</sup>	\$0	Address minimum service quality standards in UM 2399
Commission Orders Related to Service Quality	\$0	Address in UM 2397 contested case
Safety Requirements	\$0	Address through existing safety processes

### 1. Penalties Related to Minimum Service Quality Standards

ORS 759.450(5) and OAR 860-023-0055 describe available remedies for violations of minimum service quality rules, including the pathway for fines.

If a large telecommunications utility does not meet the goals of its improvement plan within six months, or if the plan is disapproved by the Commission, the Commission may assess penalties in accordance with ORS 759.450(5) through (7).<sup>10</sup>

In Order No. 25-216 the Commission required the Company to submit a performance plan by July 25, 2025. This filing has been docketed in UM 2399. If the plan is disapproved by the Commission or if Lumen fails to meet the goals of the performance plan within six months, the Commission could assess penalties of up to "two percent of the utility's gross intrastate revenue from the sale of telecommunications services for the

<sup>9</sup> ORS 759.450 & OAR 860-023-0055.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> OAR 860-023-0055(14)(a).

The Commission issued Order No. 25-216 on June 10, 2025, and directed filling of Lumen's performance plan within 45 days.

calendar year preceding the year in which the penalties are assessed."<sup>12</sup> However, since the Lumen performance plan has yet to be reviewed by the Commission, no penalties are currently appropriate. Staff believes a new docket, UM 2399, is the appropriate venue to address compliance with minimum service quality metrics.

### 2. Penalties Related to Commission Service Quality Orders

As a preliminary matter, Staff notes that violations of Order Nos. 22-340, 22-422, and 24-041 are currently being addressed in the UM 2397 contested case.<sup>13</sup> In reviewing issues reported by customers and information provided by the Company in the docket, Staff identified a factual dispute related to compliance with the applicable orders.<sup>14</sup> In addition, several of the comments submitted in the UM 1908 docket or to the Consumer Services Section lack sufficient details for Staff to make a determination about violation of the orders.

Additional investigation and discovery would be necessary to assess if violations occurred. ORS 183.745 requires notice and opportunity for a hearing prior to issuing civil penalties. Staff believes UM 2397 is the appropriate venue to both address disputes around any violations and meet statutory requirements.

### 3. Penalties Related to Safety Standards and Orders

The Commission has authority to issue penalties for violations of its safety rules pursuant to ORS 757.990 and its orders pursuant to ORS 759.990. However, assessment of penalties in either case would require compliance with the notice and opportunity for a hearing requirement in ORS 183.745. Because additional information is necessary to determine if a violation of either the safety rules or Order No. 24-140 occurred, Staff does not recommend issuing penalties at this time.

### Additional Information and Relevant Context

As part of its investigation Staff also reviewed Consumer Services Section Staff's issuance of at faults to Lumen, price increases submitted by the Company under the price plan, and updates on the provision of fiber to the Jacksonville area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> ORS 759.450(7).

Oregon Citizens' Utility Board, Request for Penalties and Show Cause Order Against Lumen Technologies, Inc. at 1 (July 8, 2025).

See e.g., Qwest Corporation's Opposition to CUB Request for Expedited Consideration at 2 (July 8, 2025).

ORS 183.745(2) and (4); see also, ORS 183.745(3), if after notice, no hearing is requested within the time specified the agency may issue a final order imposing the penalty.

### 1. At Faults

For the time period from February 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025, Consumer services received 1,060 complaints from Lumen customers throughout the state, 567 trouble reports exceeded the 48 hours as defined in OAR 860-023-0055 (6). Several customers (51) experienced missed commitment multiple times as part of the 567 shown in the table below:

Customer Co	omplaints Violati	ons Assigned <sup>16</sup>
February 1, 2	024 to June 30, 2	025
Customer Complaints Total	Complaints w/ Violations*	OAR 860-023- 0055(6)(b) Trouble Reports > 48 Hrs.
1,060	516	567

<sup>\*</sup>Customer may receive more than one missed commitment.

### 2. Price Increases

Lumen submitted a price increase in dockets ADV 1700/1701/1702 on January 13, 2025. The request was to increase the Residential Local Exchange Access Service flat monthly rates by \$1.50 with an effective date of March 1, 2025. Staff analyzed the increase and due to Lumen being in compliance with the trouble tickets per 100 customers service quality metric, Staff found that Lumen was in compliance with the Price Plan and approved and processed the filing on January 24, 2025.

### 3. Fiber Buildout

Lumen notified Staff that due to the Company selling all its fiber drop assets to AT&T, it has relinquished all of its RDOF money for Oregon. Although the Company is no longer receiving RDOF funds, they are continuing the Jacksonville fiber build and will complete it using the Company's own financing.

### Conclusion

Staff's analysis demonstrates that the company is out of compliance in numerous service quality categories and has received many violations related to customer complaints, assigned by Consumer Services. Since the scope of UM 1908 is directed at the development of the Company's price plan, UM 2399 is the appropriate venue to address compliance with the minimum service quality rules. For similar reasons, Staff

This information in this table may overlap with the data related to the Company compliance for the 48-hour repair times.

recommends that the Commission utilize Docket No. UM 2397 to determine if penalties are appropriate. Staff believes that the Commission's existing Safety Section processes are appropriate to address concerns, but that Lumen should be required to provide past due responses to the safety audit reports within the next 90 days to ensure that the Company is appropriately correcting safety issues.

### PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Direct Staff to address minimum service quality standards in UM 2399. Direct Lumen to provide past due responses to safety audit reports, including evidence of corrections, within 90 days. Direct UM 2397 parties to address any violation of Commission orders related to service quality as part of the contested case.

RA1 - UM 1908 Staff Response to Order No. 25-216

Docket No. UM 1908

Lumen Minimum Service Quality Rule Compliance Statewide since February 9, 2024, by ILEC

### **Qwest Compliance**

Service Quality Rule	Residential repair center	Business repair center call answer	Service order completion	48 hour repair clearing time	Held orders for lack of facilities	Trouble tickets per 100
	call answer time	time				customers per wire center
Feb 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
March 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
April 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
May 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
June 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
July 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	ON	Yes
Aug 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	ON	Yes
Sept 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Oct 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Nov 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Dec 2024	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Jan 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Feb 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
March 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
April 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
May 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
June 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

\*highlighted field indicates non-compliance with minimum service quality standard

# Qwest Service Quality Data

ter call answer time (50	Feb-24	Mar-24 Apr	Apr-24 May-	ay-24 Jun-24	-24 Jul-24	24 Aug-24	l Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25
call answer time (50 8 4 7 10 99 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	nswer time														
call answer time (50  8  4  7  10  98.0%  97.0%  97.8%  98.0%  98.5%  97.8%  97.8%  10  98.5%  97.8%  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  10  1	2	2	2	c	10		5	5	5	11	7	4	∞	5	10
ion (90% or better) 96.6% 97.0% 97.8% 98.0% 98.5% 97.8% 97.8% 98.0% 98.5% 97.8% 98.0% or 32.5% 44.5% 48.0% 51.9% 52.9% 56.7% 15.00 to 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	wer time (50														
ion (90% or better) 96.6% 97.0% 97.8% 98.0% 98.5% 97.8% g time (90% or 32.5% 44.5% 48.0% 51.9% 52.9% 56.7% rs not complete >30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8	4	7	10		10 11	. 12	12	9	4	c	П	4	5	5
s time (90% or 32.5% 44.5% 48.0% 51.9% 52.9% 56.7% facilities (10% or rs not complete >30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							, 100.0%	100.0%	%0.66	83.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
32.5%	0% or														
facilities (10% or rs not complete >30	32.5%		-,				60.3%	61.0%	49.5%	47.4%	35.1%	45.7%	34.7%	34.3%	48.3%
1 or tomplete >30	; (10% or														
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	mplete >30														
0 customers per wire	0	0	0	0	0	1 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	ners per wire														
98.9% 99.7% 98.7% 99.2% 99.3%	98.4%	98.9%				% 92.2%	99.1%	%9.66	99.5%	98.3%	97.4%	%9.86	99.5%	99.1%	99.2%

### **United Compliance**

Service Quality Rule	Residential repair center	Business repair center call answer	Service order completion	48 hour repair clearing time	Held orders for lack of facilities	Trouble tickets per 100
	call answer	time				customers per
	time					wire center
Feb 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
March 2024	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
April 2024	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
May 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
June 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
July 2024	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Aug 2024	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Sept 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Oct 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Nov 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Dec 2024	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Jan 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Feb 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
March 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
April 2025	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
May 2025	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
lune 2025	SelV	УӨХ	SəV	No	Yes	Yes

	Data
:	Quality
(	3
	<u>2</u>
	Service
	United Service

	Feb-24	Feb-24 Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25
Residential repair center call answer time (50 seconds or less)	2	8	5	16	13	13	11	5	4	9	∞	8	4	7	33	11
Business repair center call answer time (50 seconds or less)	13	3	9	13	17	19	33	23	23	14	8	2	2	9	10	7
Service order completion (90% or better)	91.7%	87.5%	76.5%	92.3%	100.0%	83.3%	88.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	%6'96	8.06	79.5%
48 hour repair clearing time (90% or better)	22.0%	20.8%	43.5%	36.4%	48.9%	39.1%	40.0%	37.8%	51.0%	39.6%	30.1%	23.2%	25.5%	23.4%	33.8%	55.1%
Held orders for lack of facilities (10% or less of total held orders not complete >30																
days)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trouble tickets per 100 customers per wire	1	0	i		30		0	000	30	3	i d	i i		i d	, ,	0
center (90% or better)	95.2%	97.3%	96.5%	95.8%	300.00	100.0%	300.0%	100.0%	39.6%	91.3%	98.5%	99.6%	96.4%	98.0%	100.0%	98./%

## Century Tel Compliance

Residential	Residential	Business repair	Service order	48 hour repair	Held orders for	Irouble tickets
ב קט ב	כפונפו	י.	combienon	כובמו ווו לא חוווב	ומכע כו ומכווווב	not ind
all ar	call answer	tme				customers per
time						wire center
	Yes	Yes	Хes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	oN	ON	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	ON	ON	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Хes
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
	Yes	Yes	SəX	ON	Yes	SəX

CenturyTel Service Quality Data

	1 - 1					100						10	1	10		10
	FeD-24	rep-24 Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Ang-74	Sep-24	OCT-24	NOV-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	rep-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25
Residential repair center call answer time																
(50 seconds or less)	2	3	5	16	13	13	11	5	4	9	∞	3	4	7	3	11
Business repair center call answer time (50																
seconds or less)	13	33	9	13	17	19	33	23	23	14	80	2	2	9	10	7
Service order completion (90% or better)	91.7%	82.5%	76.5%	92.3%	100.0%	83.3%	88.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	%6.96	80.8%	79.5%
48 hour repair clearing time (90% or																
better)	31.9%	40.8%	48.3%	20.6%	55.7%	58.3%	29.6%	40.1%	49.6%	62.3%	35.5%	21.1%	22.1%	33.0%	45.0%	40.5%
Held orders for lack of facilities (10% or																
less of total held orders not complete >30																
days)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trouble tickets per 100 customers per wire																
center (90% or better)	%2'66	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	99.7%	99.7%	88.66	99.7%	%9.66	%9.66	%9.66	%9.66	%9.66	%9.66	99.5%	89.66

9

Lumen Compliance with Jacksonville Orders (Order No. 22-340 as modified by Order No. 22-422) since February 9, 2024

Order 22-340 and 22-422 Requirements	Issues reported in Call Logs and/or Consumer Complaints
a toll-free, 24/7 dedicated customer support line to support customers in Jacksonville, Applegate, and surrounding areas	Yes
allow customers in this service area to report service issues for multiple addresses and create multiple repair tickets with one phone call	Yes
address all tickets and make repairs in a manner that results in a consistent dial tone and ability to make and receive calls within 48 hours of the creation of the ticket, or provide a functionally equivalent substitute at no additional cost	Yes
track and retain information on all tickets generated through this customer support line, including the name, address, and contact information for the customer for whom the ticket has been generated, a description of the service issue, logs of customer contact regarding the service issue, actions taken to resolve the service issue, information on the results, and the date and time for all the information collected	No
file a report every two weeks that includes the tracked information on repair tickets and a confidential version that includes customer information	Yes

### Lumen Compliance with Order No. 24-041 since February 9, 2024

Order 24-041 Requirements	Issues reported in Call Logs and/or Consumer Complaints
maintain a 24/7 dedicated customer service line and regularly	Yes
communicate its existence to the protected customers	
allow reporting for multiple addresses with a single call to the	Yes
dedicated line	
treat trouble tickets opened through the dedicated line as a high	No
priority for resolution	
provide a monthly report on contacts to the dedicated line	No

Jacksonville Customers

48hr Repair Clearing Time (RCT)

	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Ang-24	Sep-24	Oct-24	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25
mote Terminals 2600 and 2900	%0.92	16.7%	85.7%	42.9%	84.0%	84.4%	87.7%	87.9%	%0'.28	85.7%	86.4%	87.2%	%0'98	82.7%	83.1%	81.6%

\*highlighted field indicates non-compliance with standard

က

Protected Customers 48hr Repair Clearing Time (RCT)

	May-25	Apr-24	Mar-24	Feb-24	Jan-24	Dec-24	Nov-24	Oct-24	Sep-24	Aug-24	Jul-24	Jun-24	May-25 Apr-24 Mar-24 Feb-24 Jan-24 Dec-24 Nov-24 Oct-24 Sep-24 Aug-24 Jul-24 Jun-24 May-24 Apr-24 Mar-24 Feb-24	Apr-24	Mar-24	Feb-24
CenturyTel of E. Oregon / Oregon, Inc	38.5% 12.5% 30.8%	12.5%	30.8%	5.3%	33.3%	41.7%	53.8%	31.3%	21.4%	58.3%	%6.06	57.1%	33.3%         41.7%         53.8%         31.3%         21.4%         58.3%         90.9%         57.1%         43.8%         30.0%         25.0%         30.0%	30.0%	25.0%	30.0%
Qwest Corporation	71.4% 20.0% 85.0%	20.0%	82.0%	88.99	46.4%	89.33	58.3%	20.0%	64.0%	28.6%	%2.99	%0.09	56.8% 46.4% 55.6% 58.3% 50.0% 64.0% 58.6% 66.7% 60.0% 26.9% 55.6% 37.5% 33.3%	%9'55	37.5%	33.3%
FO United Tel-OR	100 0%   20 0%   37 5%	%0 00		27 3%	%b C7	40.0%	25.0%	50.0%	14 3%	40.0%	%U U	16.7%	27 3% 42 9% 40 0% 25 0% 50 0% 14 3% 40 0% 0 0% 16 7% 14 3% 42 9% 20 0% 20 0%	42 9%	%0 02	%0 00

4

### Lumen Safety Audit Reports

<sup>\*\*</sup>Highlighted field indicates report response is past due

E20-66R Lumen/CenturyLink Portland -Beaverton	7/23/2020		
E20-66PT Lumen.CenturyLink Portland -Beaverton	7/23/2020		
E20-70R Lumen-CenturyLink-John Day-Burns	8/6/2020		
E20-76R Lumen-OnFiber-Portland-Beaverton	8/20/2020		
E20-83R Lumen-Gresham	8/9/2020		
E20-83PT Lumen-Gresham			
E20-92R Lumen-Bend	7/30/2020		
E21-02R Lumen-Drain-Yoncalla	8/27/2021		
E21-02PT Lumen-Drain-Yoncalla	8/27/2021		
E21-14R Lumen-Ashland	10/12/2021		
E21-19PT Lumen-Winston-Dillard-Myrtle Creek	11/15/2021		
E21-29PT Lumen-Hillsboro	12/14/2021		
E21-31R Lumen-Astoria	12/10/2021		
E21-31PT Lumen-Astoria	12/10/2021		
E21-41R Lumen - Sunriver, Bend	12/13/2021		
E21-44R Lumen-Monmouth	12/13/2021		
E21-60R Lumen - Hood River	3/8/2022		
E21-74PT CenturyLink Klamath Falls	5/27/2022	10/15/2024	
E21-84R Lumen-Beaverton Portland	7/12/2022		
E21-84PT Lumen-Beaverton Portland	7/12/2022		
E22-02R Lumen-Walton	8/4/2022		
E22-07R Lumen-Leaburg-Walterville-Vida-Eugene	8/26/2022		
E22-07PT Lumen-Leaburg-Walterville-Vida-Eugene	8/26/2022		
E22-12PT Lumen-Salem	9/16/2022		
E22-23R Lumen-Eugene	9/23/2022		
E22-27R Lumen - CPUD - Rainer	10/21/2022		
E22-27PT Lumen - CPUD - Rainer	10/21/2022		
E22-33R Lumen - CRPUD - Rainer	10/27/2022		
E22-36R Lumen - Grants Pass	10/24/2022		
E22-36PT Lumen - Grants Pass	10/24/2022		
E22-48R Lumen Statewide Deteriorated Poles	11/7/2022		
E22-54R Lumen-Jacksonville	12/5/2022		
E22-73R Lumen-Albany	6/9/2023		
E22-73PT Lumen-Albany	6/9/2023		
E22-82R Lumen-Tigard-Beaverton	7/21/2023		
E22-82PT Lumen-Tigard-Beaverton	7/21/2023		
E22-88R Lumen - Lincoln City - Otis	6/30/2023	4/10/2024	6/1/2024
E23-06R Lumen - Keizer	8/25/2023		
E23-15R Lumen - Woodburn, St Paul	9/29/2023		
E23-15PT Lumen - Woodburn, St Paul	9/29/2023		
E23-25R Lumen - Bend	11/3/2023		
E23-25PT Lumen - Bend	11/3/2023		
E23-36R Lumen-Portland	12/15/2023		
E23-36PT Lumen-Portland	12/15/2023		
E23-41R Lumen - Hood River	12/15/2023		
E23-46R Lumen - Rufus, The Dalles, Durfur, Wasco	1/26/2024		
E23-46PT Lumen - Rufus, The Dalles, Durfur, Wasco	1/26/2024		
E23-52R Lumen-Consumer services customer complaint -Daniel Gillespie	8/31/2023		
E23-62R Lumen - Milton Freewater, Walla Walla	6/7/2024	10/4/2024	
E23-71R Lumen- Burns	5/10/2024	10/15/2024	
E23-81R Lumen - Salem	6/14/2024	10/15/2024	
E23-81PT Lumen - Salem	6/14/2024	10/15/2024	
E23-93R Lumen - Gold Hill, White City, Jacksonville, Ashland, Central Point, Medford	8/2/2024	9/30/2024	
E23-100R Lumen - Portland	8/2/2024	12/31/2024	
E24-05R Lumen - Northern Oregon Coast	9/6/2024	11/15/2024	

<sup>\*</sup>Report Names in *Italics* were issued after Order 24-041

E24-10R Lumen - Sheridan, Salem, Bellevue, Mcminnville	9/13/2024		
E24-10PT Lumen - Sheridan, Salem, McMinnville Pole Transfer Report	9/13/2024		
E24-19R Lumen - Portland, Cottage Grove - Customer Complaint	9/30/2024	9/30/2024	
E24-28R Lumen - Consumer Complaint-Portland-Peterson	5/20/2024	9/10/2024	
E24-33R Lumen - Cascade Locks	12/6/2024		
E24-38R Lumen - Ashland	12/6/2024		
E24-50R Lumen - Klamath Falls	12/27/2024		
E24-56R Lumen - Government Camp, Rhododendron	2/28/2025	9/1/2025	
E24-63R Lumen - Milton-Freewater	2/21/2025		
E24-74R Lumen - Astoria, Warrenton, Hammond	3/11/2025		
E24-77R Lumen - Mount Hood Parkdale	4/18/2025		
E24-87R Lumen - La Pine	4/25/2025		
E24-93R Lumen - Roseburg, Winchester	6/13/2025		
E24-97 Lumen - Portland	9/12/2025		
E24-109 Lumen - Hillsboro, Portland, North Plains	9/15/2025		
E25-01C-R Lumen-Belmore Consumer Complaint-Shady Cove	2/18/2025		
E25-03C-R Lumen-City of Sheridan Consumer Complaint-Sheridan	2/17/2025		
E25-04C-R Lumen-City of Portland Consumer Complaint-Portland	2/17/2025		
E25-06C-R Lumen - Alameda Trailer Park- Consumer Complaint-Roseburg	2/17/2025		
E25-08N-R Lumen - Springfield	11/3/2025		
E25-12N-R Lumen - Eugene	10/27/2025		
E25-16C-R Lumen - Consumer Complaint-Springfield	4/25/2025		
E25-21N-R Lumen - Junctionm City	11/3/2025		
E25-28N-R Lumen - Albany, Fall City, Corvallis	11/24/2025		
E25-43N-R Lumen - Cloverdale, Tillamook, Neskowin	12/4/2025		
E25-48N-R Lumen - Grants Pass, Merlin, Wolf Creek	1/9/2026		
E25-52N-R Lumen - St. Helens	1/9/2026		
E25-58N-R Lumen - Pilot Rock, Echo, Pendleton	1/7/2026		
E25-63N-R Lumen - Hermiston	1/7/2026		
E25-72C-R Lumen - Lebanon	8/15/2025		