ORDER NO. 19-072

ENTERED Mar 01 2019

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1158

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON,

2019 Performance Measure Recommendations for Energy Trust of Oregon. ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its public meeting on February 26, 2019, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

BY THE COMMISSION:

Nolan Moser

Chief Administrative Law Judge

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Circuit Court for Marion County in compliance with ORS 183.484.

ORDER NO. 19-072

ITEM NO. 3

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: February 26, 2019

February 27, 2019 REGULAR X CONSENT EFFECTIVE DATE

DATE:

February 20, 2019

TO:

Public Utility Commission

FROM:

Anna Kim **AK**

THROUGH: Jason Eisdorfer and JP Batmale

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF:

(Docket No. UM 1158) 2019 performance measure recommendations for

Energy Trust of Oregon.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Oregon Public Utility Commission (Commission or OPUC) adopt the proposed performance measures as stated in this memo for evaluating the performance of Energy Trust of Oregon (Energy Trust) in 2019 and direct Staff to develop two additional performance measures to be used in 2020 for review and possible adoption at a later Public Meeting.

DISCUSSION:

Issue

Whether the Commission should adopt the proposed performance measures for evaluating the performance of Energy Trust in 2019 and consider two additional measures in a later Public Meeting.

Applicable Law

Energy Trust operates under a grant agreement with the Commission, entered into pursuant to ORS 757.612(3). The grant agreement requires the PUC to establish quantifiable performance measures that clearly define its expectation of Energy Trust's performance. The following statement can be found on page 3 of the grant agreement:

The Energy Trust and the PUC recognized the need for having valid and quantifiable performance measures that clearly define the PUC's expectation of

the Energy Trust's performance. The performance measures are developed to clarify minimum expectations for Energy Trust on an ongoing basis and may be adjusted from time-to-time. The Energy Trust will regularly report to the PUC, comparing actual performance to the PUC established performance measures. Should the Energy Trust fail to meet the performance measures adopted by the PUC, the PUC, at its discretion, may issue a Notice of Concern. In choosing to issue such a Notice of Concern, the PUC will take into account reasonable causal factors and any mitigating actions taken by the Energy Trust.

The Commission has reviewed and approved Energy Trust's annual performance measures regularly since 2004. See table below:

Year	Order No. for Energy Trust's Annual Performance Metric	
2004	04-593	
2005	05-920	
2006	06-679	
2007	07-123	
2008	08-529	
2012	12-094	
2013	13-070	
2014	14-103	
2015	15-127	
2016	16-055	
2017	17-050	
2018	18-076	

Analysis

The remainder of this memo provides: (1) an overview of the performance measure development; (2) descriptions of each performance measure proposed for 2019; (3) a table summary of the 2019 performance measures; and, (4) a discussion of the performance metrics Staff wish to develop in the upcoming months.

Purpose and Process

The purpose of Energy Trust performance measures is to clearly define the Commission's minimum expectations. Performance measures are not meant to be targets or goals. Rather, they reflect a threshold by which regulators can determine the health of Energy Trust programs. They are meant to provide early indicators of poor performance, which if not met, signal that intervention may be required. Energy Trust sets specific goals, collaboratively developed with utilities and Staff, in its annual budget

and action plan. These goals are based on available conservation and renewable generation as indicated by utility IRP targets and market studies.

In November each year Energy Trust presents its annual budget and action plan to the Commission. The Commission then offers insight, direction, and recommendations to Energy Trust to adopt prior to the annual budget being finalized by the Energy Trust board in December.¹ Energy Trust presented its 2019 budget and action plan to the Commission at a special public meeting on November 8, 2018.²

The annual update of performance metrics has become an exercise that generally takes place between Energy Trust and Staff. However, a substantial amount of work happens between the utilities and Energy Trust each year to develop Energy Trust's energy efficiency and renewable goals. This dialog between the utilities and Energy Trust forms the basis of the goals specified in Energy Trust's annual budget and action plan.

Overview of Performance Measure Categories

Energy Trust performance measures cover a wide range of operational aspects as follows:

- 1. Electric Energy Efficiency
- Natural Gas Energy Efficiency
- 3. Renewable Energy
- Financial Integrity
- 5. Program Delivery Efficiency
- 6. Staffing
- Customer Satisfaction
- 8. Benefit/Cost Ratios
- 9. Proposed New Metric in Development: Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion

In 2012, the Commission approved a more systematic approach to developing Energy Trust's annual performance measures.³ Each performance measure was explicitly linked to either Energy Trust's annual budget goals and/or references Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) targets for a specific utility.

Staff proposes two changes of note for 2019. The first concerns the staffing performance measure. Staff recommended changing this for 2019 because the 2018

³ See UM 1158, Commission Order No. 12-094.

¹ For more information on Energy Trust's budget process please see https://www.energytrust.org/about/reports-financials/budget-action-plan/.

² For more information on this special public meeting please go to http://oregonpuc.granicus.com/GeneratedAgendaViewer.php?view_id=1&clip_id=341.

budget contained the highest ever total for staffing costs and nearly the greatest yearover-year increase.

The second is the administrative performance measure. Staff recommends changing this for the 2019 budget because Energy Trust's administrative costs have risen at a much greater rate than overall revenues since 2015.

In addition, Staff proposes to develop two metrics for 2020. The first is again, the staffing performance measure. Energy Trust is expected to continue to perform in compliance with the staffing metric in 2018 and 2019. Energy Trust has indicated to the commission, however, that due to forecasted reductions in annual expenditures associated with successful market transformation, it does not expect to meet it in 2020 and beyond. Staff requests more time to develop a more appropriate metric that measures Energy Trust performance under expected market conditions.

Staff also proposes that a new performance metric be developed to measure and evaluate Energy Trust's performance in the category of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. The performance metric will be developed by Staff and in coordination with various stakeholder groups to create an appropriate measure to be applied to Energy Trust in 2020.

Descriptions of Each Performance Measure Category

Measures 1 and 2: Electric and Natural Gas Efficiency Savings & Levelized Costs In 2014 the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to move to a single savings performance measure for each utility.⁴ The annual OPUC performance measure uses the Board approved savings goal as the basis for its calculation. The single savings objective per utility is calculated each year as 85 percent of Energy Trust's board-approved savings goal at a levelized cost ceiling.⁵ The Board-approved savings goals are close to, if not equal to, each utility's IRP targets. The table below compares the 2018 and 2019 savings and levelized cost performance measures by each utility.

⁴ See Order No. 14-103. Previously Energy Trust had two annual savings performance measures: a stretch (100 percent of annual goal) and a conservative (85 percent of annual goal).

⁵ The OPUC's levelized cost ceiling for Energy Trust is 115 percent of the Board-approved levelized cost goal for that year.

Table 1 – Efficiency Performance Measures by Utility, 2018 and 2019

Utility	2018 Performance Measure (Minimum aMW & Levelized Cost)	2019 Performance Measure (Minimum aMW & Levelized Cost)
Portland General Electric (PGE)	30.9 aMW @ no greater than \$0.033/kWh	28.5 aMW @ no greater than \$0.035/kWh
PacifiCorp (PAC)	17.2 aMW @ no greater than \$0.036/kWh	16.8 aMW @ no greater than \$0.037/kWh
Northwest Natural (NWN – Oregon Only)	4.8 M therms @ no greater than \$0.37/therm	4.4 M therms @ no greater than \$0.44/therm
Cascade (CNG)	0.47 M therms @ no greater than \$0.43/therm	0.43 M therms @ no greater than \$0.48/therm
Avista	0.30 M therms @ no greater than \$0.25/therm	0.30 M therms @ no greater than \$0.43/therm

Measure 3: Renewable Energy

In 2018 the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to modify the renewable energy performance metric. The new measures report on trends over time and allow OPUC to offer more customized insights without penalizing Energy Trust for the normal variations in custom, non-solar project development and completion. This year's performance measure aligns with the priorities for Energy Trust's current strategy for small scale renewable energy development.

- Deploy \$1.63 Million in project and market development assistance with a project pipeline of non-solar projects in excess of 25 projects. Energy Trust will report the number of projects served, total funds spent and summarize progress.
- For project and market development assistance, Energy Trust will report annual results, including number of projects supported, milestones, and documentation or results from market and technology perspectives.
- 3) For the standard net-metered, solar program obtain at least 1.7 aMW of installed generation.

> 4) For solar projects funded outside of the program's standard, net-metered incentive offer, Energy Trust will report all sources of funding for projects and the criteria for selection.

As this is the second year of these performance metrics and the renewables industry continues to experience changes, these metrics may be revisited in upcoming years.

Measure 4: Financial Integrity

Energy Trust engages a third party annually to conduct a financial audit once the calendar year has closed. Staff proposes to maintain the current performance measure for financial integrity, which is to receive an unmodified financial opinion. Energy Trust has met this measure every year since launching.

Measure 5: Program Delivery Efficiency (Administration)

The program delivery efficiency measure is a maximum threshold for administrative and program support costs as a percentage of total annual revenues. The initial target of 11 percent was set in 2004 with the establishment of Energy Trust's performance measures. ⁶

Historically, Energy Trust has maintained delivery efficiency percentages ranging between 4.6 percent and 6.9 percent. In 2012 the measure was adjusted down to 9 percent.⁷ Three years later the Commission approved lowering the performance measure down again, from 9 percent to 8 percent.⁸

The forecast for 2019 estimates this percentage will be approximately 7.4 percent. 9 Staff recommends maintaining the measure at 8 percent for 2019.

Additionally, the Commission approved another metric starting with the 2019 budget to cap absolute growth in administrative costs to 10 percent year-over-year growth and Staff recommends maintaining this measure.

Staff requested that it be easier to find administrative costs in the 2019 budget. Energy Trust has updated its filed annual budget documents to make it easier to determine applicable costs for this OPUC performance measure.¹⁰ Administrative costs fall under the following categories:

⁶ See Order No. 04-593.

⁷ See Order No. 12-094.

⁸ See Order No. 15-127.

⁹ See Energy Trust's Approved 2019 Annual Budget and 2019-2020 Action Plan, December 14, 2018, pg. 7.

¹⁰ See Order No. 18-076.

ORDER NO. 19-072

Docket UM 1158 February 19, 2019 Page 7

- Employee Salaries & Fringe Benefits if not directly related to program delivery
- Agency Contractor Services if not billed to program delivery
- Planning and Evaluation Services if not billed to program delivery
- Advertising and Marketing Services if not billed to program delivery
- Other Professional Services if not billed to program delivery
- Travel, Meetings, Trainings & Conferences
- Dues, Licenses and Fees
- Software and Hardware
- Depreciation & Amortization
- Office Rent and Equipment
- Materials Postage and Telephone
- Miscellaneous Expenses ¹¹

Measure 6: Staffing

This performance measure pertaining to Energy Trust's staffing costs was established in 2015.¹² The measure is determined by calculating a three-year rolling average of total staffing costs divided by total annual expenditures. The three years used in the average include the proposed next year budget, current year budget forecast and prior year actual costs. In 2017, Staff worked with Energy Trust to revise the performance metric from 7.75 percent three-year rolling average including contractor costs to 7.25 percent three-year rolling average *not including agency contractor costs*. The metric was also modified to include a 10 percent cap on year-over-year increases.¹³

To increase transparency and accountability, Energy Trust began to report employee (staffing) costs and agency contractor costs separately in the 2019 budget. Agency contractors became a new line item in the budget.

Measure 7: Customer Satisfaction

Energy Trust should maintain a minimum of 85 percent of customers indicating they are satisfied or very satisfied with; a) interaction with program representatives where they are utilized (E.g., Existing Buildings Program), and b) overall satisfaction. Staff proposes to keep the customer satisfaction performance measure the same as it was last year.

Measure 8: Benefit/Cost Ratios

Staff proposes to maintain the current performance measures for benefit/cost ratios as shown below.

¹¹ See Staff Report at Special Public Meeting on Energy Trust's 2019 Budget, November 8, 2018, pg. 10-11.

¹² See Order No. 15-127.

¹³ See Order No. 18-076.

Proposed 2019 Performance Measures

The proposed 2019 performance measures for Energy Trust are detailed below. They include the previous year's performance measures for comparison purposes.

Category	2018 Performance Measure	Proposed 2019 Performance Measure	
Electric Energy Efficiency	Annual utility savings and levelized cost measure: • PGE: Obtain at least 30.9 aMW; Levelized cost not to exceed 3.3 cents/kWh	Annual utility savings and levelized cost measure: • PGE: Obtain at least 28.5 aMW; Levelized cost not to exceed 3.5 cents/kWh	
	 Pacific Power: Obtain at least 17.2 aMW; Levelized cost not to exceed 3.6 cents/kWh 	 Pacific Power: Obtain at least 16.8 aMW; Levelized cost not to exceed 3.7 cents/kWh 	
Natural Gas Energy Efficiency	Annual utility savings and levelized cost measure:	Annual utility savings and levelized cost measure:	
	NW Natural: Obtain at least 4.8 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 37 cents/therm	 NW Natural: Obtain at least 4.4 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 44 cents/therm 	
	Cascade Natural Gas: Obtain at least 0.47 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 43 cents/therm	Cascade Natural Gas: Obtain at least 0.43 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 48 cents/therm	
	 Avista: Obtain at least 0.30 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 25 cents/therm 	Avista: Obtain at least 0.30 million annual therm savings; Levelized cost not to exceed 43 cents/therm	
Renewable	For project and market	For project and market	
Energy	development assistance (part 1), deploy at least \$1.72 million in non-solar project development	development assistance (part 1), deploy at least \$1.63 million in non-solar project development	
	assistance incentives. Maintain a non-solar project development	assistance incentives. Maintain a non-solar project development	
	assistance pipeline in excess of 25 projects. Report number of projects served total dollars	assistance pipeline in excess of 25 projects. Report number of projects served total dollars	
	spent, and summarize project	spent, and summarize project	

	progress through development stages. • For project and market development assistance (part 2), report annual results, including number of projects supported, milestones met and documentation of results from market and technology perspective.	progress through development stages. • For project and market development assistance (part 2), report annual results, including number of projects supported, milestones met and documentation of results from market and technology perspective.
	Obtain at least 1.6 aMW of installed generation of standard net-metered Solar program projects.	Obtain at least 1.7 aMW of installed generation of standard net-metered Solar program projects.
	For solar projects funded outside of the Solar program's standard, net-metered incentive offer,	 For solar projects funded outside of the Solar program's standard, net-metered incentive offer,
	report sources of funding for projects and the criteria for selection.	report sources of funding for projects and the criteria for selection.
Financial Integrity	Unmodified financial opinion	Unmodified financial opinion
Program Delivery Efficiency	Administrative and program support costs must be below 8% of annual revenues	 Administrative and program support costs must be below 8% of annual revenues Administrative and program support cost growth is limited to 10% year-over-year increase.
Staffing	Total staffing expenditures will not exceed 7.75% of total organization expenditures calculated on a 3 year rolling average for public purpose funded activities in Oregon	Total staffing expenditures will not exceed 7.25% of total organization expenditures calculated on a 3 year rolling average for public purpose funded activities in Oregon
Customer Satisfaction	Greater than 85% satisfaction rates for: Interaction with program representatives Overall satisfaction	Greater than 85% satisfaction rates for: Interaction with program representatives Overall satisfaction
Benefit/Cost Ratios	Report both utility system and societal perspective annually	Report both utility system and societal perspective annually

	Report significant mid-year changes as warranted in quarterly reports	Report significant mid-year changes as warranted in quarterly reports	
NEEA and Market Transformation	Report annually:	Report annually:	
	Savings and costs	Savings and costs	
	Savings strategies	Savings strategies	
	Show Energy Trust direction to NEEA through committee membership	Show Energy Trust direction to NEEA through committee membership	
	Summary of Energy Trust direction to NEEA	Summary of Energy Trust direction to NEEA	
	Summary of NEEA initiatives Energy Trust opts out of and why	Summary of NEEA initiatives Energy Trust opts out of and why	

Proposed Metrics for Future Development

Measure 6: Staffing

As this metric was developed in 2017 in close coordination with Energy Trust, both Staff and Energy Trust believed at the time that the new measure would be a reasonable limit which Energy Trust could meet. However, during Energy Trust's 2019 budget development process, Staff learned of two factors that will significantly impact Energy Trust's ability to remain under the staffing metric threshold in 2020 and future years: (1) Energy Trust anticipates a 25 percent increase in healthcare costs in 2019 with similar increases expected in subsequent years and (2) a projected 7 percent decline in expenditures between 2019 and 2020 driven by the transition of the residential lighting market. The cumulative result is a larger staffing expenditure ratio representing a larger percentage of smaller total expenditures.¹⁴ The following table lists staffing costs using the new metric.¹⁵

¹⁴ See Staff Report at Special Public Meeting on Energy Trust's 2019 Budget, November 8, 2018, pg. 11-12.

¹⁵ See Energy Trust's Approved 2019 Annual Budget and 2019-2020 Action Plan, December 14, 2018, pg. 84

	Total Staffing Cost	3 year Rolling Average
	(excludes contractors)	used in OPUC Metric
2015 Actual	\$ 10,233,136	6.40%
2016 Actual	\$ 11,433,837	6.19%
2017 Actual	\$ 12,524,401	6.41%
2018 Approved Budget	\$ 13,608,430	6.62%
2019 Approved Budget	\$ 14,505,374	6.97%
2020 Forecast Budget	\$ 15,527,709	7.43%

In short, Energy Trust will meet the new staffing performance metric level of 7.25 percent in 2019 but will most likely exceed it in 2020.

As the unprecedented era of highly effective low cost lighting efficiencies comes to a close, Energy Trust faces more moderate cost-effective energy savings opportunities, while also facing staffing cost increases.

Staff plans to work with Energy Trust to reduce a range of costs and develop different strategies going into 2020. Staff proposes that this performance measure be reviewed, after collecting additional analysis from Energy Trust, and a new modified staffing metric be developed for 2020.

Measure 9: Development of New Metric for Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion
Staff proposes the development of a new measure in 2019 for use in 2020 that will allow
the Commission to assess how well Energy Trust is serving all demographic
populations within its service territory. In recent conversations with Energy Trust and
stakeholder groups, Staff observed growing interest in identifying and explicitly
measuring and tracking Energy Trust's performance in reaching communities that may
have been underserved. Staff is supportive of these efforts to discover where there
may be gaps and Energy Trust's efforts to address any gaps in service to different
communities and populations within its service territory.

As with all of the other performance measures, the intent is to create a measurable indicator of Energy Trust's performance and to track results that are within Energy Trust's control to influence. To do so—to know how Energy Trust is performing--may pose significant challenges in determining an appropriate measure.

Currently, detailed of demographic data relating to all ratepayers is unavailable. Collecting new data will also come with new challenges and may result in unwanted delays. Consequently, without direct, accurate information, our ability to estimate Energy Trust's performance could be limited initially.

Staff proposes to work with stakeholders to explore all potential sources of data and other options for data collection. Staff proposes returning to the Commission in six months with an update on progress and a proposed metric if one is identified by then. This is a serious undertaking and Staff requests the time necessary to collaboratively develop a metric that will serve the Commission's needs.

Conclusion

Staff finds that most of the performance metrics continue to provide insight into Energy Trust's performance and recommends the Commission adopt the 2019 performance measures set forth above. For 2020, Staff recommends undertaking further work to potentially revise the staffing metric and develop a new category of metric for diversity, equity, and inclusion, which will be brought to the Commission for Review and approval.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Adopt the proposed performance measures as stated in this memo for evaluating the performance of Energy Trust in 2019 and direct Staff to develop two additional performance measures for to be used in 2020 for review and possible adoption at a later Public Meeting.

Energy Trust 2019 Performance Metrics