

ORDER NO. **18 084**  
ENTERED **MAR 13 2018**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

ARB 1120(1), ARB 1157(1) & (2), ARB 1171, ARB 1172, ARB 1173

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF  
OREGON STAFF,

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection  
Agreements and Amendments Submitted  
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the  
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on March 13, 2018, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

BY THE COMMISSION:



  
**Michael Dougherty**  
Chief Operating Officer

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA2

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON  
STAFF REPORT  
PUBLIC MEETING DATE: March 13, 2018

REGULAR \_\_\_\_\_ CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE \_\_\_\_\_ N/A

DATE: March 6, 2018

TO: Public Utility Commission

FROM: Kay Marinos *KM*

THROUGH: *E* Jason Eisdorfer and *BAC* Bryan Conway

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements listed below, with the agreements and amendments to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

**DISCUSSION:**

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(4), the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
  - (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
- See 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the

ARB Agreements  
March 6, 2018  
Page 2

agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following agreements and amendments to previously approved agreements submitted for Commission approval:

<b>Docket</b>	<b>Parties to the Agreement or Amendment</b>
ARB 1120(1)	Commio, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1157(1)	Airus, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1157(2)	Airus, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1171	Matrix Telecom, LLC dba Excel Telecommunications (fka Comtel) and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1172	Matrix Telecom, LLC dba VarTec Telecom (fka Comtel) and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1173	Matrix Telecom, LLC dba Matrix Business Technologies dba Trinsic Communications and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

Staff recommends approval of the agreements and amendments. Staff finds that the agreements and amendments do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreements or amendments.

**PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:**

Approve the new agreements and amendments to previously approved agreements listed above.

CA – ARBs 3-13-18