ENTERED APR 0 5 2016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 1113

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection
Agreements and Amendments Submitted

Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on April 5, 2016, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

BY THE COMMISSION:

Kristi Collins
Commission Secretary

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA2

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: April 5, 2016

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N/A	
DATE:	March 21, 2016	
TO:	Public Utility Commission	
FROM:	Armando Fimbres	
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos	
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement listed below, with the agreement to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(4), the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under

ARB Agreement March 21, 2016 Page 2

47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following agreement submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement
ARB 1113	Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Asotin Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom

Staff recommends approval of the agreement. Staff finds that the agreement does not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2) to reject the agreement.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new agreement listed above be approved.

ca- ARB Agreement_032116.docx