**ENTERED:** 

MAY 0 8 2015

# OF OREGON

UE 293

In the Matter of

**ORDER** 

IDAHO POWER COMPANY,

2015 Annual Power Cost Update.

DISPOSITION: STIPULATION ADOPTED; ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE APPROVED

In this order, we adopt the stipulation of the parties and approve Idaho Power Company's Annual Power Cost Update (APCU). The APCU updates the company's net power supply expenses and results in new rates to go into effect June 1, 2015.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In Order No. 08-238, we approved an automatic adjustment clause for Idaho Power that allows the company to annually update its net power supply expense included in rates. The APCU is comprised of two components: an October Update and a March Forecast. The October Update contains the company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized and unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the company's net power supply expenses based on updated actual forecast conditions. The APCU mechanism allows for the rates from the October Update and March Forecast to become effective on June 1 of each year.

#### II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On October 21, 2014, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for its 2015 APCU, including the October Update which estimated what the normal power supply expenses would be for the 12-month test year, April 2015 through March 2016. The company subsequently filed the March Forecast on March 20, 2015.

Following discovery and settlement discussion, the company, the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB) and the Commission Staff filed a stipulation, attached as Appendix A, settling all of the outstanding issues between the parties. The parties also filed a joint explanatory brief in support of the stipulation.

 $M_{\rm ph} = 2 \pi / (1 + \epsilon ) \epsilon_{\rm ph}$ 

#### III. THE 2015 APCU

Idaho Power's 2015 October Update addressed the following variables: loads, fuel prices, transportation costs, maintenance rates, heat rates, and forced outage rates for thermal plants. Its calculations resulted in a cost per unit of \$23.44 per megawatt-hour (MWh), an increase of \$1.62 per MWh over the previous year's update. The 2015 October Update also included a proposed allocation method, which the company represented as being consistent with the revenue spread methodology we approved in Order No. 10-191.<sup>2</sup>

The 2015 March Forecast consisted of direct testimony describing the company's estimate of the expected net power supply expense for the April 2015 through March 2016 water year. The March Forecast updated the following variables: fuel prices, transportation costs, wheeling expenses, planned and forced outages, heat rates, forecast of normalized sales and loads updated for significant changes since the October Update, forecast hydro generation, wholesale power purchase and sale contracts, forward price curve, PURPA<sup>3</sup> expenses, and the Oregon state allocation factor.

In its March Forecast, the company noted that the only factors that had changed since the October 2015 Update were fuel prices, heat rates, the forecast of hydro conditions from the Northwest River Forecast Center, known power purchases and surplus sales resulting from the company's risk management policy, the forward price curve, and PURPA contract expenses.<sup>4</sup>

Among the factors the 2015 March Forecast addressed were the following:

- 1. Fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal costs, the increased mining costs at the Bridger Coal company being the factor with the greatest impact on fuel prices.<sup>5</sup>
- 2. The updated hydro forecast reflected expected low stream flows but hydro generation is greater than last year's modeled generation due to higher reservoir levels and higher forecasted Mid-Snake River flows.<sup>6</sup>
- 3. PURPA expenses increased due to two additional contracts expected to be operational during the test period.<sup>7</sup>

The company calculated a cost per unit for the 2015 March Forecast of \$25.00 per MWh, \$1.23 per MWh less than the previous year's \$26.23 per MWH per unit cost—a result of additional hydro generation, lower natural gas prices, and lower electric market prices.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Idaho Power/100-108.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/10-11; Idaho Power/107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, 16 U.S.C. 46, §2601 et. seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Idaho Power/200, Wright/2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 3-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 7.

<sup>8</sup> Id. at 8-9.

Combining the price per unit from the 2015 October Update and 2015 March Forecast resulted in a cost per unit of \$24.92 per MWh and an overall proposed combined rate decrease of approximately 1.36 percent or \$0.7 million. The March Forecast also included the company's proposed rate spread which the company represented spread the revenue requirement to the various customers classes in conformance with Order No. 10-191.

#### IV. THE STIPULATION

The parties agree that the Commission should adopt the APCU for Idaho Power as filed with the Commission. Specifically, the parties agree that:

- 1. The company's calculation of the cost per unit rate in the 2015 October Update and March Forecast, and the combined rate, is correct and in conformance with our Order No. 08-238 and that the rates resulting from the agreed upon cost per unit are fair, just and reasonable.
- 2. The company's allocation methodology conforms with Order No. 10-191.
- 3. The rates agreed upon in the parties' stipulation should be made effective on June 1, 2015. 11

#### V. DISCUSSION

Before we may adopt a stipulation, we must find that it is supported by competent evidence in the record, appropriately resolves the issues in the case, and results in just and reasonable rates. Both Staff and CUB conducted a thorough investigation of the company's testimony and exhibits, served numerous data requests, participated in settlement conferences, and concluded that no issues in the company's filing warranted responsive testimony. Staff and all parties entered into the stipulation that resolves all relevant issues in this proceeding and have each executed the joint explanatory brief. No person has filed an objection to the stipulation. We therefore find that the record in the case is sufficient to conclude that the company's calculations are correct and conform to Commission precedent.

We have examined the stipulation, the joint explanatory brief, and the pertinent record in the case. We find that the stipulation is supported by the record, which includes the company's testimony and exhibits describing the detailed calculations supporting both the 2015 October Update and the March Forecast. We therefore conclude that the resulting rates are just and reasonable for resolution of the issues in this docket. The stipulation should be adopted in its entirety.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Id. at 11-12; Idaho Power/203.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Idaho Power/200, Wright/10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Stipulation at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Docket No. UE 207, Order No. 09-432 at 6 (Oct 30, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Joint Explanatory Brief at 7.

#### VI. ORDER

#### IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The stipulation between Idaho Power Company, the Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, and the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon, attached as Appendix A, is adopted.
- 2. Idaho Power Company must file revised rate schedules consistent with this order to be effective no earlier than June 1, 2015.

Made, entered, and effective MAY 0 8 2015

Susan K. Ackerman Chair

Stephen M. Bloom
Commissioner

John Savage

Commissioner

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Court of Appeals in compliance with ORS 183.480 through 183.484.

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
2	UE 293
3	
4	In the Matter of: STIPULATION
5	Idaho Power Company's 2015 Annual Power Cost Update
6	일 - 1 사용으로 가는 것을 보고 함께 가장 경기 가장 되었습니다. 
7	This Stipulation resolves all issues among the parties to this Stipulation related to
8	Idaho Power Company's ("Idaho Power" or "Company") 2015 Annual Power Cost Update
9	("APCU") filed pursuant to Order No. 08-238.1 The APCU updates the Company's net power
10	supply expense and results in new rates, which the mechanism permits to go into effect June
11	
12	PARTIES.
13	1. The parties to this Stipulation are Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon
14	("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the
15	"Stipulating Parties"),
16	BACKGROUND
17	2. Pursuant to Order No. 08-238, Idaho Power annually updates its net power
18	supply expense included in rates through an automatic adjustment clause, the APCU. The
19	APCU is comprised of two components—an "October Update" and a "March Forecast." The
20	October Update confains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a
21	normalized unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the
22	Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions.
23	Pursuant to Order No. 10-191 the Company allocates the APCU revenue requirement to
24	individual customer classes on the basis of the total generation-related revenue requirement
25	LANDARDA BARANTA BARAN Baranta baranta barant
26	1 Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

Page 1 - STIPULATION: UE 293

### ORDER NO. 9 5 1 4 7

approved in the Company's last general rate case, instead of the previous equal cents per kWh approved in Order No. 08-238.<sup>2</sup> Order No. 10-191 also directs the Company to adjust its base rates to reflect changes in revenue requirement related to the October Update, while the rates resulting from the March Forecast are listed on Schedule 55. The rates associated with the October Update and the March Forecast mechanisms are intended, under the mechanisms, to become effective on June 1 of each year.

3. On October 21, 2014, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for the 2015 APCU ("2015 October Update").<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Order No. 08-238 the 2015 October Update updated the following variables: loads, fuel prices, transportation costs, maintenance rates, heat rates, and forced outage rates for thermal plants.<sup>4</sup> The test period for the 2015 October Update was April 2015 through March 2016 and included updates of the above referenced variables for all Company owned resources and updated sales and load forecasts.<sup>5</sup> The 2015 October Update specifically accounted for changes in natural gas and coal prices and generation and expenses related to contracts entered into pursuant to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA").<sup>6</sup>

4. The 2015 October Update resulted in a cost per unit of \$23.44 per megawatt-hour ("MWh").7 This represents an increase of \$1.62 per MWh over last year's October Update.8

19

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Page 2 - STIPULATION: UE 293

<sup>21 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Re Idaho Power Company's 2010 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 214, Order No. 10-191 (May 24, 2010).

<sup>22 3</sup> See Idaho Power/100-108.

<sup>23 4</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/2

<sup>24 5</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/2-3. 25

<sup>7</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/7.

<sup>26 •</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/7.

## ORDER NO. 35 147

	21.	6.555	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	for all the second	Carlot Landon Carlot	N 12-97 - 1979		And the State of t	5 50 1	Author Company	and a section of	one in the second	pa sa 19 17	Tara 11 ar
e	11	<b>)***</b>		NAAA	October	・変化が必要が変化	一口が美味でも100円	and the second of the second	at at a	📽 a grigoria (New York) a	of t∰ and relations	okanana ar 👊	The tracker 400 miles	
	C.	P3		321 13 34 s	LETONOL	Innate	- DARO 1	nemanor	T TOO I	compar	V C NN	ากครอกเ	-:metho	
	1.50	× *		March of the	The Contraction	The second second	1.11		T 22 1975. W	***   \$1   \$1.44	The second second	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	111441111	
~					11 11 11 VI		2. 1-0.7				7.	Part of the second	12,440,000,000,000	W
		0.12 F			100 A N N N	Maria de la Caracteria de	CI 70	1 San Fr. 1 de 1	and the second second	ing hilling the	instantial being	and the second second	and the first of the first	the state of the state of the
	1.00	randad 13	and the said than	The State of the S		* - * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			10.000 10.000	400	11 C. 14 J. 18 J.	2011/10/20
		.174	100			277 967 35.1.5 4-3	to calle a	314 a. a. 116.		rate, spacetor for	and the same of		1. 16 (1)	100 8000
					mm - with the co	4	LF Seems	والمسائي أوالمحالهما	الأشك سحق برويرة فالساوب			كالمنت المستوندة	of	. The Walls
ş	331	incarion :	นเกเกา	was	consister	13 2001111			chrean	meino	יוחמותו	nnn	ven nu	mo
*		10 000 H 100 4 4			Mind . I and . The World .	434	ME 1 MAY 1 PROPERTY OF	Mark a britaine.	بهاريات التوالي	2 4 4 4 5 X XX	u CiCy y		1-4 J	47 142
7.	100	化环烷基磺磺胺 电邻槽	14 1 8 4 15 18 0	the control of the	and the company design whether it	THE RESERVE OF THE SECOND OF	Bar Maringa and A		700	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Action thanks the second		196 Allen 1174	
		and the second of the second	10 July 24 198	50 and 50	TOTAL SECTION AND ASSESSMENT	and the second	THE WILL A RES	Out the second	11 and 4	+ 5 ·	1.5	T. B. S. 1988	a Sagar A silina	
	1 to 100 to	and the second of the second	and the second of the	19 (1995) 19 (1)	2 M - 1985 - 1985 - 1	1 ,			The state of the			13 to 100 P. C.		
	1.52	- Fig. 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	ريزي بتارواند د	er and the state of	and a constitution of the	1.5	as exist.	200	#1000 d 1		·	A16 - 14 - 1		
		ـ گــــــد څخه نه مه څ			- IN 3 Na	[1] <b>[G</b> ], < = 1 1	150 150		2.01.154	r 11s out Desired				
4		ommissio	וו חו	гоеги	o. 10-191	-	a transfer			44.1 (11.5) 11.14			and the second	

- 6. On November 20, 2014, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Alian Arlow held a prehearing conference at which the parties to docket UE 293 agreed upon a procedural schedule that would allow the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") to issue an order on Idaho Power's 2015 APCU prior to June 1, 2015.10
  - 7. On November 21, 2014, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention.
- 8. Staff and CUB served discovery on (daho Power and conducted a thorough investigation of the 2015 October Update. Thereafter, the Stipulating Parties participated in a settlement conference where Staff and CUB indicated that they had reviewed the 2015 October Update and had not identified any issues within the filing. On January 28, 2015, Staff, on behalf of the Stipulating Parties, filed a joint request asking that ALJ Arlow suspend the procedural schedule until the filing of Idaho Power's March Forecast. ALJ Arlow granted the Stipulating Parties' request on January 29, 2015.<sup>11</sup>
- 9. On March 20, 2015, Idaho Power filed its 2015 March Forecast. The 2015 March Forecast consisted of direct testimony describing the Company's estimate of the expected net power supply expense for the upcoming water year—April 2015 through March 2016. <sup>12</sup> Order No. 08-238 calls for the March Forecast to update the following variables: fuel prices, transportation costs, wheeling expenses, planned and forced outages, heat rates, forecast of normalized sales and loads updated for significant changes since the October Update,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 3 - STIPULATION: UE 293

 <sup>9</sup> Idaho Power/100, Wright/10-11; Idaho Power/107.
 10 Re Idaho Power Company's 2015 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 293, Preheating
 Conference Memorandum at 1 (Nov. 21, 2014).
 11 Re Idaho Power Company's 2015 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 293, Ruling (Jan. 29, 2015).

<sup>26 12</sup> Idaho Power/200-205.

## ORDER NO. 15 147

forecast hydro generation, wholesale power purchase and sale contracts, forward price curve; PURPA expenses; and the Oregon state allocation factor 10 In this year's filing, however, the only variables that had changed since the 2015 October Update were: (1) fuel prices; (2) heat rates; (3) the forecast of hydro conditions from the Northwest River Forecast Center ("NRFC"); (4) known power purchases and surplus sales resulting from the Company's Risk 5 Management Policy; (5) the forward price curve in accordance with Order No. 08-238; and (6) 7 PURPA contract expenses.14 10. The fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal 8 costs.15 The increased mining costs at the Bridger Coal Company were the largest factor impacting the 2015 March Forecast's fuel prices, 16 10 11. The Company's updated hydro forecast was another key driver in the 2015 11 March Forecast's overall price. 17 Expected streamflows are below last year's levels and the 12 30-year average. 18 However, hydro generation is greater than last year's modeled generation 13 due to higher reservoir levels at Brownlee reservoir and higher forecasted Mid-Snake River 14 15 flows.10 12. The 2015 March Forecast also included increased PURPA expenses, due to two 16 additional PURPA contracts that are now expected to be operational during the April 2015 17 through March 2016 test period.20

20				
21		<sup>†3</sup> Idaho	Power/200	Wright/2.
	n 11/1	14 Idaho	Power/200	Wright/3.
22		15 Ideho	Power/200,	Wright/3-5.
23	ar w	<sup>16</sup> Ideho	Power/200,	Wright/3-5.
24		17 Idaho	Power/200	Wright/6-7
	ji šį	18 Idaho	Power/200	Wright/6.
25	e Halifi	19 Idaho	Power/200	Wright/9.
26		<sup>20</sup> Idaho	Power/200	Wright/7.

	근 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은
1	13. The Company calculated a cost per unit for the 2015 March Forecast of \$25.00
2	per MWh, which is \$1.23 per MWh less than last year's cost per unit of \$26.23 per MWh.
3	The reduction in the 2015 March Forecast per unit cost is the result of additional hydro
4	generation, lower natural gas prices, and lower electric market prices. <sup>22</sup>
	14. Combining the price per unit from the 2015 October Update and 2015 March
5	
6	Forecast resulted in a cost per unit of \$24.92 per MWh.23 The overall proposed revenue
7	impact of the combined rate is a decrease of approximately 1.36 percent, or \$0.7 million. <sup>24</sup>
8	15. The 2015 March Forecast also included the Company's proposed rate spread
9.	used to spread the revenue requirement to the various customer classes. The Company's
O	proposed allocation conformed to the methodology approved by the Commission in Order No.
11	
12	16. Staff and CUB issued discovery and conducted a thorough investigation of the
'- 13	2015 March Forecast. A settlement conference was held on April 2, 2015, and follow-up
4	discussions were held on April 7, 2015. During the settlement discussions the Stipulating
15	Parties agreed to resolve all the issues in this case. Thereafter the Company moved to
16	suspend the schedule and ALJ Arlow granted the motion. <sup>26</sup>
17	17. This Stipulation, presented on behalf of all parties to the docket, resolves all
18	lesues in the docket
19	
20	
ing a	에 가장 하는 것이 되었다. - 1985년 1일 - 1987년 - 1988년 1일 - 1
21	31 Idaho Power/200, Wright/8.
22	<sup>22</sup> Idaho Power/200, Wright/8-9
23	<sup>23</sup> Idaho Power/203, Wright/1.
24	<sup>34</sup> Idaho Power/200, Wright/11-12.
25	≇ Idano Power/200, Wright/10-11
26	<sup>26</sup> Re Idahō Power Company's 2015 Annual Power Cost Updale, Docket UE 293, Ruling (April 8, 2015).

**AGREEMENT** 

10.7				. 4	istiva	e i ned					100	11.00	ar .		4.43	ă la		22			86 g. 1	"dhi.	dirib.	2.5	170				: 100	12-		41		araba. 1	1.0 61	ng is
					(Link		13.	4	ીં જા		n ha	- 2		1, 14		11.4	334	sio s	د درس	. 3	- i	D.	i la la	1.34	الأفات		· 5.	÷.	23.5	The same	(3)	in a	iori.	1 20		يكونية تد
<b>)</b>		18000	minij.	18	4	ាក	€،ک	ilio	ŭΙΞ	atir	10	PΞ	ΠIE	5	ao	ree	2 1	าล		0	Co	m	Da	ΠV	S I	al	CLi	at	ЮП	U	Gi	ost	DE	ar Li	nit	rati
	1.	744	9 Z.	- T.	Sec.		10 mm	3.4		- P. V.		10.55	433	120.14	7.74	100		27.70		- T- 10	्र भूगः	ন্য ভা	W	1776	13.0		. T.	المراجدة		F	6.60	d. ed.	dia	i ar sean	to so de l	*1-12EX
111		Section 1999	agad	- 25	. 12	on a S	1,500	, maga		m i		en. 3	wa.	77.77	s :::	,	y wh	7794	Mind	Web.		1	: 3	0.00	191	A. Y	Fig.		,		Sec		e	MC	786 T	10/10
4	9.			-			نبا لله	E		4.010			2						يتأنين	35.5	¥	ر برده د میواند	2	فتستأث	S. 120		i de la	2	نگ راه	_ 12.0	-6.	التلافرة		ين ن		1 33
<b>5</b>	: ))	1 I	18	ZIJ	כו	UC	(OE	er.	+ ) [	ж	iie.	7 T	U1	וכ	MS	T C	n t	·O	ec	35	<b>.</b> 1	311	ו ס	ΠE	C	2111		TE.	1 1	ate	; 15	C	orr	ect	an	o II
	1 4			r-tic	at Arreps "	3 - 5 - 1	9-3-3	ŢΩ.	`*	uguri,	16 457	*,	g N				M. S.		1	: <u>E</u> ::		200	200		4.131.4		- e - i - i -			20 20.00	1 .0	200		an market	10.1	200
	***	12,52		200		i.ee			1 50	: FQ	축근		199	. 1	41	111				1577	green.	i."		. 35.	12.						41 2		1	જ		-44
					3.0	á		11.			<b>1.</b>			er er C	_ 1	N. (13)		Ĺ		بتبط		- C		20	الأرخ	3	7	٠.,	12.	- NI		nο	ത്	٠ D 4	and	#
Ç 23	L.	A.I.I	OH:	l di	يانا ا	\$ - KA	14.1	凝铁	7 11	14.	1112	UŲ	Ų,	100	au	υþ	Ç.	ιυ	Υļ	щ		ŲΠ	TE E	ΙX,	ıl)	11 11	ri ş	-/ 1	10	1.8	<b>).</b>	H.	1	iO 6	31 KJ	1111
		** :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	, the fig.	al di	11.3		-547	3	а.		J. P.	111	្រា	7				the Y	<u> </u>	a. 14	. :: 1.		11.15		100	i din d		S. 2		p. 1	4.	1.4	. And	神海		uh. 4
	- 481	,	( - T	: effer		. 7-7		f 1.		E. II	4.3		, the st	N . ;	4 1	- Par.	44	1,12	Hag-	4\$e .	1877	atri.	100	jili 44	. :de			u y	12.10	M	iš:			. iz 11		
Ž. 11	Sin C	Him	ຳໄລ	time	n D	-	00		-	a +	1000	+ +	nà.	20,000	Ìωs		ăc:	illi	na	⊂ <b>P</b> av	164	4	123		Ť.	4		4051	4	20	نو) با	-	610	ii ii	ire	Frair
1		78514	HIGH	111.15	<b>J</b>	CHI.F	Ç	વધ	11.2	C I	110	, ,	ţw.	483	(0.	. 1:	J.	arti	iн	111	JIII		100	ο.	ΝÇ	ģΑ		. 7	1 1	,WO	4	(C)	MI	114 5	X) 🔙 :	ı an
		1.17		1. 67	7å f.	E		e=97 =				``		200	1.11	- 1			100		'E.,.'	100	:E	200	danie)	7	10.5			- "	44	200	5.1	. 3.1 19		nSi.
1.49	138			14.5	ni in	d:	T 20	Y.L.	Yan.				1		1				. 4				. 14						. såv	-0.16-32					2010	
<b>\$</b> `	'n	ust,	ar	sci r	ΆA	ear	ıah	ile.	3,44				- 377	٠.	jake.		- 25	'n	3137	11.	GH,		,	44.00	ar e	. 11.12								3.3-12	100	
,	1	37.3	. 350			~~~		5 . 5		Sept.	ů.,	1997			-		MY.	* 1,55		-27		D.		2	18.		500		_ iv							
	9 19	jarraja;	1757	1.000	152 (5)	120	helini	Mar.	. ji <sup>478</sup>	ta, fig.	3 1	w 1.5	P 41.		si 😁	64			0.8	ares.	.2475.	. ali ta		466	. Na	ijen,	193		rha	aud a	ia, ili	47,70	300	gent Air	ili sal	Nester.
29	5110		ilianer 1	40	<b>6</b> , 10,		<u>u 19</u>	2439		( v	i No asi		772.6	7.±		Sec. 2		0.0	Maria :	S	445	120161	-	Service of		Lago	*		**	فيعاضن	والمراكزة			ے تواہد	del	أولياتك
× .·				**	1 411	- 17	_	-21	T. T. II				3 23 7	TIE		22	5 F.O.	<b>a</b> .	201		- T-	- 2		nn	3.50	30.00		46.0	14.00	RE-C27			-	AT 1991 17		17171

- 19. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company's allocation methodology conforms to that adopted by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.
- 20. The Stipulating Parties agree that rates agreed to by the terms of this Stipulation should be made effective on June 1, 2015, as permitted by the APCU mechanism.
- 21. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented.
- 22. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the settlements contained herein.
- 23. If this Stipulation is challenged, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.
- 24. The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating Party reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument

Page 6 - STIPULATION: UE 293

## ORDER NO.

1	on	the	recor	d in	supp	oort (	of the	Stip	oulatio	on o	r to	witho	raw.	nom	the	Stip	ilatio	n.	Stipu	lating
30.	1. V	11.77							k 1	2						111894		100	That's a	mining i 🖓
2	11.27		rr all La	. ÿari ≥	Suit of the	45	J. Wall	1 2			a at la late	s	u uni m	10 t				July 1878	57,747	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
)	D,	Hies	shall	ha s	ntitle	of to	SOOK	rahu	arino	OF	ecol	nside	ration	CSLIP	SHAP	t to i	)AR	RAN	-004	-0720
-		ei nated	A. 1. E. S. S. S.		×1 154515	,,,,,,	~~~;	300,70		143	~~~,	indicaria		140,400	×		4.00	. p		والزخوا فأحما
	3	25.		#4 m 3	86 J. ft	250	The Court	. 750		8 P. S.	kypter i		Mark 4	AL 9	7 44	17	21 ° 11 ' 12	177.18		" " ou satis
	1.42	والمناسعة	mann		. <b></b>	5.5	-1	نعا الأدود	مد است	ند بفدت سد	عاقت المالك	4	متراف المسام	3 4				- 35.	757	54.
	- 17)	anv i	manin	er (i):	31. 15 (	Comsi	SIEIT	WILL	пе а	uree		u emi	30012	3 117 1	nis 🗠	STURLL!	21101	N 1965 at	. 12. 1	58.55

- 25. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.
- 26. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document.
- 27. This Stipula ion is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below such Stipulating Party's signature.

15	STAFF	
16	$M_{\mathrm{GM}} M_{\mathrm{GM}}$	
17	Date: 4/23 / /5	
18 19	IDAHO POWER / / CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGO	KI
20		
21	By: By: Date: Date:	
22 23	AND	. *:
24	하는 사용 등에 발표하는 사용하는 이 보다는 이 사용이다. 	
25	等を表した。 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	

Page 7 - STIPULATION UE 293

6

9

10

11

12

13

# ORDER NO.

1	on the record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating
2	Parties shall be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720
3	in any manner that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.
4	25. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have
6	approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by
6	any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those
	specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to
18	have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any
Ð	other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.
10	26. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart
11	shall constitute an original document.
12	27. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered
13	below such Stipulating Party's signature.
14	· Mariana de Caracteria de Caracteria de Caracteria d
15	
16	By:
17 *n	
18 19	IDAHO POWER CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
20	
54 21	ву: ву:
 22-	Date: Date:
23	<sub>多是,一</sub> ,我们一样的一个一个人,这样的基础的,只见这么一个样,一样的一个是这样的一般的特殊,我们也可以不是有效的。 第二章 我们
24	
25	
 26	
vinina.	arasenings ( )