**ENTERED** 

JUN 08 2011

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

ARB 477(12), ARB 584(8), ARB 101(29)

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,

**ORDER** 

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DISPOSITION: AGREEMENTS AND AMENDMENTS APPROVED

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) Staff recommended approval of certain negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). The identification of the agreement(s) and amendment(s) and the basis for Staff's recommendation are set forth in a Public Meeting Report, which is attached as Appendix A and incorporated by reference.

At the June 7, 2011 Public Meeting, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation and approved the identified agreement(s) and amendment(s). The Commission Staff concluded that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement(s) and amendment(s). Accordingly, the agreement(s) and amendment(s) listed in Staff's report are approved, effective from the date of the public meeting.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the agreement(s) and amendment(s) identified in Appendix A are approved.

Made, entered, and effective Jue 5, 2011

Michael Grant

Chief Administrative Law Judge Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request the aims or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA8

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: June 7, 2011

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N/A	_
DATE:	May 27, 2011	
то:	Public Utility Commission	
FROM:	Mitch Moore	
THROUGH:	Lee Sparling, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos	
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted	

pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements listed below.

### **DISCUSSION:**

Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) requires that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of any submitted agreement or amendment will be the date the

APPENDIX A
PAGE \_\_OF\_2

ARB Agreements May 27, 2011 Page 2

Commission approves it, and any provision stating that the parties' agreement or amendment is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff has reviewed the following new amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Amendment or Agreement
ARB 477(12) ARB 584(8)	Oregon Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation Covad Communications Company and Qwest Corporation
ARB 101(29)	Advanced TelCom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation

Staff recommends approval of the amendments. Staff finds that the amendments do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments.

### PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new amendments to the previously approved agreements listed above be approved.

ARB agreements June 6 11.doc

