# ORDER NO. 11 082

## ENTERED MAR 1 4 2011

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

ARB 101(28), ARB 469(2), ARB 759(2)

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,

ORDER

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

### DISPOSITION: AGREEMENTS AND AMENDMENTS APPROVED

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) Staff recommended approval of certain negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). The identification of the agreement(s) and amendment(s) and the basis for Staff's recommendation are set forth in a Public Meeting Report, which is attached as Appendix A and incorporated by reference.

At the March 8, 2011 Public Meeting, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation and approved the identified agreement(s) and amendment(s). The Commission Staff concluded that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement(s) and amendment(s). Accordingly, the agreement(s) and amendment(s) listed in Staff's report are approved, effective from the date of the public meeting.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the agreement(s) and amendment(s) identified in Appendix A are approved.



MAR 1 4 2011

Michael Grant Chief Administrative Law Judge Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request reheating or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for reheating or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

# 11 082

ITEM NO. CA5

## PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: March 8, 2011

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N/A
DATE:	February 24, 2011
TO:	Public Utility Commission
FROM:	Celeste Hari (H Lee Sparling, Bryan Conway, Kay Marinos, and Mitch Moore
THROUGH:	Lee Sparling, Bryan Conway, Kay Marinos, and Mitch Moore
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements listed below.

### **DISCUSSION:**

Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) requires that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

(i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of any submitted agreement or amendment will be the date the

APPENDIX A PAGE \_\_ OF\_\_\_

ARB Agreements February 24, 2011 Page 2

Commission approves it, and any provision stating that the parties' agreement or amendment is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff has reviewed the following amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements submitted for Commission approval:

Parties to the Amendment or Agreement
Advanced Telcom Group, Inc. and Qwest Corporation
Comcast Phone of Oregon, LLC and Frontier Communications
Northwest Inc.
Silver Star Telecom, LLC and Qwest Corporation

Staff recommends approval of the amendments. Staff finds that the amendments do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments.

### **PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:**

The amendments to previously approved agreements listed above be approved.

ARB Agreements March 8, 2011