

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1326

In the Matter of)	
)	
QWEST CORPORATION)	RULING AND
)	PROTECTIVE ORDER
Petition for Commission Approval of)	
2007 Additions to Non-Impaired Wire)	
Center List.)	

DISPOSITION: OBJECTION DISMISSED AS MOOT; SUPERSEDING PROTECTIVE ORDER GRANTED

On July 27, 2007, Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc. (Eschelon), filed two pleadings: an Objection of Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc., to Qwest’s Petition for Approval of 2007 Additions to Non-Impaired Wire Center List (Objection) and a Motion for a Standing Protective Order Based on Model Order (Motion).

The Objection. At the time of the filing of Eschelon’s Objection, the Commission had not yet approved the proposed Settlement Agreement in docket UM 1251, and Eschelon was concerned that potential parties would be denied the opportunity to participate in this docket due to the associated processing deadlines. On July 31, 2007, the Commission issued Order No. 07-328 approving the Settlement Agreement. On August 6, 2007, Qwest Corporation notified the Commission that it had no objections to any intervening competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) having 30 days to file any objections they may have had to Qwest’s Petition for Commission Approval of 2007 Additions to Non-Impaired Wire Center List.

Discussion. In the intervening weeks, a number of CLECs have joined with Eschelon to intervene in this proceeding and have been granted party status. Consequently, the issues raised by the Eschelon Objection are now moot, and the Objection may be dismissed.

The Motion. A Modified Protective Order, Order No. 07-281, was entered in this docket on June 27, 2007. The Standing Protective Order (SPO) requested by Eschelon is based upon the model protective order attached as Exhibit E to the proposed Settlement Agreement submitted with a request for approval in docket UM 1251 on June 27, 2007.¹

¹ A copy of that Exhibit E was affixed to the Motion as Attachment 1.

Eschelon takes issue with the existing protective order, which had been sought by Qwest Corporation (Qwest) in this docket, asserting that “[t]here is no provision in Paragraph VI(C) [of the Settlement Agreement] for Qwest to seek any protective order other than one based upon the attached model protective order” (the Minnesota Order), and provides a procedural history of the development, Qwest representations and implementation of the SPO in other jurisdictions.²

On August 14, 2006, counsel for Qwest wrote to the Administrative Law Judge “to advise the Commission that both of Eschelon’s filings are moot since Qwest does not have any objections to the substantive relief that Eschelon seeks in its filings.” By electronic mail of August 17, 2007, counsel for Eschelon indicated that, if the Commission intended to adopt the Minnesota Order, it would have no need to file further comment.

Discussion. In light of the agreement of the parties on the matter, the language of the Minnesota Order shall be used in this proceeding. All of the comments of the parties relative to the actions of the other are irrelevant and shall be disregarded. I find that good cause exists to issue a new Protective Order, attached as Appendix A. The order permits the broadest possible discovery consistent with the need to protect confidential information. It shields no specific documents and makes no judgment as to whether any particular document is a trade secret or contains commercially sensitive information. Rather, the order adopts a process through which parties shall resolve discovery disputes that include sensitive information.

Under the terms of the order, any party may designate, as confidential, any information that it reasonably believes falls within the scope of ORCP 36(C)(7). Any such designation must be made in good faith and be limited to only those portions of the document that qualify as a protected trade secret or other confidential research, development or commercial information. Any other party may challenge the designation of any information as confidential. At that point, the designating party bears the “burden of showing that the challenged information falls within ORCP 36(C)(7).”

Confidential information shall be disclosed only to “Persons Entitled to Review” as defined in paragraph 1(c) of the Protective Order. Authors of the confidential material, the Commission or its Staff and counsel of record for a party or persons directly employed by counsel are “Persons Entitled to Review” who may review confidential information with no need to individually sign the Protective Order.

To receive confidential information, however, all parties—with the general exception of Staff—must sign the Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information forms attached as Appendix B. This includes the party seeking the issuance of the Protective Order, because any party may designate information as confidential under this order.

² Motion, pp. 4-6.

All persons who are given access to confidential information have the good faith obligation to monitor their own conduct to ensure their compliance with the Protective Order. Such persons shall not use or disclose the information for any purpose other than the preparation for and conduct of this proceeding and shall take all reasonable precautions to keep the confidential information secure. If questions exist as to the status of any person to receive confidential information, the parties may contact the Administrative Hearings Division at (503) 378-6678.


ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:


1. The Objection of Eschelon Telecom of Oregon, Inc., to Qwest's Petition for Approval of 2007 Additions to Non-Impaired Wire Center List is dismissed as moot; and
2. The Protective Order, attached as Appendix A, shall govern the disclosure of confidential information in this case.

Made, entered, and effective on

August 17, 2007



Alan J. Arlow
Administrative Law Judge



A party may appeal this order to the Commission pursuant to OAR 860-014-0091.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1326

In the Matter of Qwest
Corporation Petition for
Commission Approval of 2007
Additions to Non-Impaired Wire
Center List

PROTECTIVE ORDER

The purpose of this Protective Order ("Order") is to facilitate the disclosure of documents and information during the course of these proceedings and to protect Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information. Access to and review of Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information by parties shall be strictly controlled by the terms of this Order. The parties, which parties are hereinafter referred to as "parties", "persons" or "entities" have further agreed to the terms of paragraphs one through twelve below, and, upon that agreement, and all the files, records and proceedings herein, it is hereby ordered:

1. (a) Confidential Information. All documents, data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to any requests for information, subpoenas or other modes of discovery (formal or informal), and including depositions, and other requests for information, that are claimed to be of a trade secret, proprietary or confidential nature (herein referred to as "Confidential Information"), shall be so marked by the providing party by stamping the same with a "NONPUBLIC DOCUMENT – CONTAINS TRADE SECRET DATA" designation. All copies of documents so marked shall be made on yellow paper. In addition, all notes or other materials that refer to, derive from, or

otherwise contain parts of the Confidential Information will be marked by the receiving party as "NONPUBLIC DOCUMENT – CONTAINS TRADE SECRET DATA." Access to and review of Confidential Information shall be strictly controlled by the terms of this Order.

(b) Use of Confidential Information – Proceedings. All persons who may be entitled to review, or who are afforded access to any Confidential Information by reason of this Order shall neither use nor disclose the Confidential Information for purposes of business or competition, or any purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of proceeding in the above-captioned docket or before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), and all subsequent appeals ("proceedings"), and shall keep the Confidential Information secure as trade secret, confidential or proprietary information and in accordance with the purposes, intent and requirements of this Order.

(c) Persons Entitled to Review. Each party that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Order must limit access to such Confidential Information to (1) attorneys employed or retained by the party in proceedings and the attorneys' staff; (2) experts, consultants and advisors who need access to the material to assist the party in proceedings; (3) only those employees of the party who are directly involved in these proceedings, provided that counsel for the party represents that no such employee is engaged in the sale or marketing of that party's products or services. In addition, access to Confidential Information may be provided to the government agencies, their counsel, employees, consultants and experts.

(d) Nondisclosure Agreement. Any party, person, or entity that

receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Order shall not disclose such Confidential Information to any person, except persons who are described in section 1(c) above and who have signed a nondisclosure agreement in the form which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit A. Court reporters whose activities are not regulated by statute or rule shall also be required to sign an Exhibit A upon written request of a party and to comply with the terms of this Order.

The nondisclosure agreement (Exhibit A) shall require the person(s) to whom disclosure is to be made to read a copy of this Protective Order and to certify in writing that they have reviewed the same and have consented to be bound by its terms. The nondisclosure agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, employer, business address and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be delivered to counsel for the providing party before disclosure is made, and if no objection thereto is registered to the Commission within five (5) days, then disclosure shall follow. An attorney who makes Confidential Information available to any person listed in section 1(c) above shall be responsible for having each such person execute an original of Exhibit A and a copy of all such signed Exhibit As shall be circulated to all other counsel of record promptly after execution.

2. (a) Notes. Limited notes regarding Confidential Information may be taken by counsel and experts for the express purpose of preparing pleadings, cross-examinations, briefs, motions and arguments in connection with this proceeding, or in the case of persons designated in section 1(c) of this Protective Order, to prepare for participation in this proceeding. Such notes shall then be treated as Confidential Information for purposes of this Order, and shall be destroyed after the final settlement

or conclusion of the proceedings in accordance with section 2(b) below.

(b) Destruction. All notes, to the extent they contain Confidential Information and are protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. The party destroying such Confidential Information shall advise the providing party of that fact within a reasonable time from the date of destruction.

3. Highly Confidential Trade Secret Information. Any person, whether a party or non-party, may designate certain competitive Confidential Information as "Highly Confidential Trade Secret Information" (herein referred to as "Highly Confidential Information") if it determines in good faith that it would be competitively disadvantaged by the disclosure of such information to its competitors. Highly Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, documents, pleadings, briefs and appropriate portions of deposition transcripts, which contain information regarding the market share of, number of access lines served by, or number of customers receiving a specified type of service from a particular provider or other information that relates to marketing, business planning or business strategies.

Parties must scrutinize carefully responsive documents and information and limit their designations as Highly Confidential Information to information that truly might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without the heightened protections provided in this section. The first page and individual pages of a document determined in good faith to include Highly Confidential Information must be marked by a stamp that reads:

NONPUBLIC HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL TRADE SECRET
INFORMATION—USE RESTRICTED PER PROTECTIVE ORDER

IN OREGON PUC DOCKET NO. UM 1326.

Placing a "Highly Confidential" stamp on the first page of a document indicates only that one or more pages contain Highly Confidential Information and will not serve to protect the entire contents of a multi-page document. Each page that contains Highly Confidential Information must be marked separately to indicate Highly Confidential Information, even where that information has been redacted. The redacted versions of each page containing Highly Confidential Information, and provided under seal, should be submitted on paper distinct in color from non-confidential information and Confidential Information described in section 1 of this Protective Order.

Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information must designate the person(s) to whom they would like the Highly Confidential Information disclosed in advance of disclosure by the providing party. Such designation may occur through the submission of Exhibit B of the nondisclosure agreement identified in section 1(d). Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information shall not designate more than (1) a reasonable number of in-house attorneys who have direct responsibility for matters relating to Highly Confidential Information; (2) five in-house experts; and (3) a reasonable number of outside counsel and outside experts to review materials marked as Highly Confidential. Disclosure of Highly Confidential Information to Commissioners, Hearing Officers and Commission Advisory Staff members shall be limited to persons to whom disclosure is necessary. The Exhibit B also shall describe in detail the duties or responsibilities of the person being designated to see Highly Confidential Information and the person's role in the proceeding. Highly Confidential Information may not be disclosed to persons engaged in strategic or competitive decision making for any party,

including the sale or marketing of products or services on behalf of any party.

Any party providing either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information may object to the designation of any individual as a person who may review Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information. Such objection shall be made in writing to counsel submitting the challenged individual's Exhibit A or B within three (3) business days after receiving the challenged individual's signed Exhibit A or B. Any such objection must demonstrate good cause to exclude the challenged individual from the review of the Highly Confidential Information. Written response to any objection shall be made within three (3) business days after receipt of an objection. If, after receiving a written response to a party's objection, the objecting party still objects to disclosure of either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information to the challenged individual, the Commission shall determine whether Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information must be disclosed to the challenged individual.

Copies of Highly Confidential Information may be provided to the in-house attorneys, in-house consultants, outside counsel and outside experts who have signed Exhibit B.

Persons authorized to review the Highly Confidential Information will maintain the documents and any notes reflecting their contents in a secure location to which only designated counsel and experts have access. No additional copies will be made, except for use during hearings and then such disclosure and copies shall be subject to the provisions of this Order. Any testimony or exhibits prepared that reflect Highly Confidential Information must be maintained in a secure location until removed to the hearing room for production under seal. Unless specifically addressed in this section,

all other sections of this Protective Order applicable to Confidential Information also apply to Highly Confidential Information.

4. Small Company. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Order, persons authorized to review Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information on behalf of a company with less than 5,000 employees shall be limited to the following: (1) a reasonable number of in-house attorneys who have direct responsibility for matters relating to Highly Confidential Information; (2) a reasonable number of outside counsel; (3) the company's employees and witnesses; and (4) independent consultants acting under the direction of the company's counsel or senior management and directly engaged in this proceeding. Such persons **do not** include individuals primarily involved in marketing activities for the company, unless the party producing the information, upon request, gives prior written authorization for that person to review the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. If the producing party refuses to give such written authorization, the company may, for good cause shown, request an order from the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") allowing that person to review the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. The producing party shall be given the opportunity to respond to the company's request before an order is issued.

5. Masking. Information or documents provided in this proceeding showing the identity of any fiber-based collocators in a wire center must be designated as Confidential. Similarly, any information or documents provided in this proceeding showing the identity of a telecommunications carrier's business lines or line counts must be provided in a "masked" format, identifying the information using a code, and must be

designated as Confidential. Each individual carrier will be provided its own code to verify data concerning that carrier. The government agencies will be provided a code for each carrier identified in the information or documents provided.

6. Objections to Admissibility. The furnishing of any document, data, study or other materials pursuant to this Protective Order shall in no way limit the right of the providing party to object to its relevance or admissibility in proceedings before this Commission.

7. Challenge to Confidentiality. This Order establishes a procedure for the expeditious handling of information that a party claims is Confidential or Highly Confidential. It shall not be construed as an agreement or ruling on the confidentiality of any document. Any party may challenge the characterization of any information, document, data or study claimed by the providing party to be Confidential in the following manner:

(a) A party seeking to challenge the confidentiality of any materials pursuant to this Order shall first contact counsel for the providing party and attempt to resolve any differences by stipulation;

(b) In the event that the parties cannot agree as to the character of the information challenged, any party challenging the confidentiality shall do so by appropriate pleading. This pleading shall:

(i) Designate the document, transcript or other material challenged in a manner that will specifically isolate the challenged material from other material claimed as confidential; and

(ii) State with specificity the grounds upon which the

documents, transcript or other material are deemed to be non-confidential by the challenging party.

(c) A ruling on the confidentiality of the challenged information, document, data or study shall be made by a Hearing Officer after proceedings in camera, which shall be conducted under circumstances such that only those persons duly authorized hereunder to have access to such Confidential materials shall be present. This hearing shall commence no earlier than five (5) business days after service on the providing party of the pleading required by section 7(b) above.

(d) The record of said in camera hearing shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN OREGON PUC DOCKET NO. UM 1326." Court reporter notes of such hearing shall be transcribed only upon agreement by the parties or order of the Hearing Officer and in that event shall be separately bound, segregated, sealed, and withheld from inspection by any person not bound by the terms of this Order.

(e) In the event that the Hearing Officer should rule that any information, document, data or study should be removed from the restrictions imposed by this Order, no party shall disclose such information, document, data or study or use it in the public record for five (5) business days unless authorized by the providing party to do so. The provisions of this subsection are intended to enable the providing party to seek a stay or other relief from an order removing the restriction of this Order from materials claimed by the providing party to be Confidential.

8. (a) Receipt into Evidence. Provision is hereby made for receipt into evidence in this proceeding materials claimed to be confidential in the following manner:

(i) Prior to the use of, or substantive reference to, any Confidential or Highly Confidential Information, the parties intending to use such information shall make that intention known to the providing party.

(ii) The requesting party and the providing party shall make a good-faith effort to reach an agreement so the information can be used in a manner which will not reveal its trade secret, confidential or proprietary nature.

(iii) If such efforts fail, the providing party shall separately identify which portions, if any, of the documents to be offered or referenced shall be placed in a sealed record.

(iv) Only one (1) copy of the documents designated by the providing party to be placed in a sealed record shall be made.

(v) The copy of the documents to be placed in the sealed record shall be tendered by counsel for the providing party to the Commission, and maintained in accordance with the terms of this Order.

(b) Seal. While in the custody of the Commission, materials containing Confidential Information shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN OREGON PUC DOCKET NO. UM 1326." and Highly Confidential Information shall be marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – USE RESTRICTED PER PROTECTIVE ORDER IN OREGON PUC DOCKET NO. UM 1326," and shall not be examined by any person except under the conditions set forth in this Order.

(c) In Camera Hearing. Any Confidential or Highly Confidential Information that must be orally disclosed to be placed in the sealed record in this

proceeding shall be offered in an in camera hearing, attended only by persons authorized to have access to the information under this Order. Similarly, any cross-examination on, or substantive reference to, Confidential or Highly Confidential Information (or that portion of the record containing Confidential or Highly Confidential Information or references thereto) shall be received in an in camera hearing, and shall be marked and treated as provided herein.

(d) Access to Record. Access to sealed testimony, records and information shall be limited to the Hearing Officer and persons who are entitled to review Confidential or Highly Confidential Information pursuant to section 1(c) above and have signed an Exhibit A or B, unless such information is released from the restrictions of this Order either through agreement of the parties or after notice to the parties and hearing, pursuant to the ruling of a Hearing Officer, the order of the Commission and/or final order of a court having final jurisdiction.

(e) Appeal/Subsequent Proceeding. Sealed portions of the record in this proceeding may be forwarded to any court of competent jurisdiction for purposes of an appeal, or to the FCC, but under seal as designated herein for the information and use of the court or the FCC. If a portion of the record is forwarded to a court or the FCC, the providing party shall be notified which portion of the sealed record has been designated by the appealing party as necessary to the record on appeal or for use at the FCC.

(f) Return. Unless otherwise ordered, Confidential Information and Highly Confidential Information, including transcripts of any depositions to which a claim of confidentiality is made, shall remain under seal, shall continue to be subject to the

protective requirements of this Order, and shall be returned to counsel for the providing party within thirty (30) days after final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. If the providing party elects to have Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information destroyed rather than returned, counsel of the receiving party shall verify in writing that the material has in fact been destroyed.

9. Use in Pleadings. Where references to Confidential or Highly Confidential Information in the sealed record or with the providing party is required in pleadings, briefs, arguments or motions (except as provided in section 7), it shall be by citation of title or exhibit number or some other description that will not disclose the substantive Confidential Information contained therein. Any use of or substantive references to Confidential or Highly Confidential Information shall be placed in a separate section of the pleading or brief and submitted to the Hearing Officer or the Commission under seal. This sealed section shall be served only on counsel of record and parties of record who have signed the nondisclosure agreement set forth in Exhibit A or B. All of the restrictions afforded by this Order apply to materials prepared and distributed under this section.

10. Summary of Record. If deemed necessary by the Commission or ALJ, the providing party shall prepare a written summary of the Confidential or Highly Confidential Information referred to in the Order to be placed on the public record.

11. The provisions of this Order are specifically intended to apply to all data, documents, studies, and other material designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential by any party to OREGON PUC DOCKET NO. UM 1326. In addition, experts and consultants of government agencies are subject to the provisions of this Protective Order

that are applicable to experts and consultants of parties.

12. This Protective Order shall continue in force and effect after these dockets are closed.

Dated:

By:

EXHIBIT A

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

I have read the foregoing Protective Order dated 8/17/07 in Oregon PUC Docket No. UM 1326, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Order.

Name

Employer

Job Title and Job Description

Business Address

Party

Signature

Date

EXHIBIT B

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

I have read the foregoing Protective Order dated 8/17/07 in Oregon PUC Docket No. UM 1326, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Order.

Name

Employer

Job Title and Job Description

Business Address

Party

Signature

Date