

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

ARB 101(21)

In the Matter	)	
	)	
ADVANCED TELCOM, INC. and	)	
QWEST CORPORATION,	)	ORDER
	)	
Twenty-First Amendment to the Interconnection	)	
Agreement, Submitted for Commission Approval	)	
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the	)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

**DISPOSITION: AMENDMENT APPROVED; COMPANY NAME  
CORRECTED.**

On August 30, 2006, Advanced Telcom, Inc.(ATI)<sup>1</sup> and Qwest Corporation (Qwest) filed a twenty-first amendment to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), recognizing the adoption of ARB 6 terms. Subsequent amendments were approved with Orders No. 00-724, 01-341, 01-588, 02-175, 02-297, 02-810, 03-266, 04-726, 05-057, 05-129, 05-620, 05-837, 05-884, 05-1098, 06-045, and 06-091. The parties seek approval of the current amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the amendment on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and any provision stating that the parties' amendment is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

<sup>1</sup> The Commission recognized that it had inadvertently misspelled ATI's name in previous orders. All references to the company name of Advanced Telecom, Inc. in Orders No. 05-837, 05-884, 05-1098, 06-045, and 06-091 are corrected to be Advanced Telcom, Inc. The remainder of these orders is unchanged.

Staff recommended approval of the amendment. Staff concluded that the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

**OPINION**

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendment be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendment should be approved.

**CONCLUSIONS**


1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendment should be approved.

**ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement between Advanced Telcom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation is approved.

Made, entered, and effective SEP 11 2006.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Michael Grant**  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.