

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

ARB 709  
ARB 709(1 & 2)

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
NAVIGATOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS,	)	
LLC and VERIZON NORTHWEST INC.,	)	ORDER
	)	
Negotiated Interconnection Agreement and	)	
First and Second Amendments to the	)	
Interconnection Agreement, Submitted for	)	
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section	)	
252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

**DISPOSITION: AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS APPROVED**

Navigator Telecommunications, LLC and Verizon Northwest Inc. filed a negotiated interconnection agreement and a First and Second Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement, on November 16, and 29, 2005, respectively, with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of this agreement and amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement and amendment on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of these filings will be the date the Commission signs an order approving them, and any provision stating that the parties' agreement or amendment is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the agreement and amendments. Staff concluded that the agreement and amendments themselves do not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and amendments and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

**OPINION**

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendations and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement or the amendments. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the agreement or the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the agreement and amendments should be approved.


**CONCLUSIONS**

1. There is no basis for finding that the agreement and amendments discriminate against any telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and amendments.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the agreement or amendments is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The agreement and amendments should be approved.

**ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the agreement and amendments between Time Navigator Telecommunications, LLC and Verizon Northwest Inc. are approved.

Made, entered, and effective JAN 26 2006.



A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Michael Grant", is written over a horizontal line.

**Michael Grant**  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.