

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 655(2)

In the Matter of)	
)	
METROPOLITAN)	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS OF OREGON,)	ORDER
INC., and QWEST CORPORATION)	
)	
Second Amendment to Interconnection)	
Agreement, Submitted for Commission)	
Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENT APPROVED

On March 3, 2005, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oregon, Inc., and Qwest Corporation (Qwest) filed a second amendment¹ to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission), recognizing the adoption of ARB 526 terms.² A subsequent amendment was approved in Order No. 05-217. The parties seek approval of the amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement and amendment on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement or amendment thereto reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

¹ Qwest included a letter and attachment with the ARB 655(2) filing. The attachment is captioned "Agreement Filing Under Protest and With Reservations of Rights." These documents inform the Commission that Qwest is filing the Qwest Platform Plus (QPP) portion of the ARB 655(2) amendment under protest.

² The Commission extended the comment due date to 45 days.

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and any provision stating that the parties' amendment is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

This amendment is nearly identical to recent filing amending the ARB 6 interconnection agreement between Qwest and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC (MCI). In that proceeding, the Commission determined that the QPP agreement was an interconnection agreement subject to filing obligations under Section 252 of the Act. *See* Order No. 04-661. The Commission subsequently approved both the QPP agreement and the Batch Hot Cut Amendment, which the parties had filed simultaneously. *See* Order No. 05-103. Because the present amendment in this docket is virtually the same as that approved in ARB 6, Staff recommends approval of the ARB 655(2) amendment.

OPINION

The Commission concludes that the amendment to the agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, the amendment should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously acknowledged agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier who is not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendment should be approved.

