

ENTERED AUG 16 2004

**This is an electronic copy. Format and font may vary from the official version.
Attachments may not appear.**

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 132(10 & 11)

In the Matter of)	
)	
ONEEIGHTY NETWORKS, INC., and)	
QWEST CORPORATION)	ORDER
)	
Tenth and Eleventh Amendment to)	
Interconnection Agreement, Submitted for)	
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section)	
252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENTS APPROVED

On May 20 and 27, 2004, OneEighty Networks, Inc., and Qwest Corporation filed tenth and eleventh amendments, respectively, to the interconnection agreement and subsequent amendments previously approved by the Public Utility Commission by Orders No. 99-377, 99-526, 01-517, 01-589, 02-618, 03-299, 04-058 and 04-268. The parties seek approval of the amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement and amendments on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and any provision stating that the parties' amendments are effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the amendments. Staff concluded that the amendments to the previously approved agreement do not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendments should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendments to the previously approved agreement discriminate against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendments should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the amendments to the previously approved agreement between OneEighty Networks, Inc., and Qwest Corporation are approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

Lee Sparling
Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A

ORDER NO. 04-465

copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.