

This is an electronic copy. Format and font may vary from the official version. Attachments may not appear.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

ARB 50 (2)

In the Matter	)	
	)	
QWEST WIRELESS LLC, fka US WEST	)	
WIRELESS, LLC and QWEST CORPORATION,	)	ORDER
fka US WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC.	)	
	)	
Second Amendment to the Interconnection	)	
Agreement, Submitted for Commission Approval	)	
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the	)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	

DISPOSITION: AMENDMENT APPROVED

On January 15, 2003, Qwest Wireless LLC, fka US West Wireless, LLC and Qwest Corporation, fka US West Communications, Inc., filed a second amendment to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) by Order No. 98-013, recognizing the initial adoption of ARB 7 terms. A subsequent amendment has been approved with Order No. 98-318. The parties seek approval of the amendment under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement and amendment on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff noted that this amendment was entered into almost five years ago in March of 1998, but was not filed for approval at that time. Staff asked Qwest to explain the delay in filing, and Qwest responded that it now filed the amendment in response to Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Order No. 02-332, citing footnote number 1746 of the order. This order approved Qwest's request to provide InterLATA service in nine states. In footnote 1746, the FCC concluded that similar agreements dealing with Internet Calling Name Delivery Service (ICNAM) "likely should have been filed with the states." The service in the amendment is referred to as Transient Interim Signaling Capability Service instead of ICNAM. However, the two names refer to the same technical product. Staff notes that the terms included in the amendment are currently available in the Qwest SGAT at § 9.17.

Staff also noted that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff pointed out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the amendment. Staff concluded that the amendment to the previously approved agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

### **OPINION**

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendment to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendment be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendment should be approved.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendment to the previously approved agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendment should be approved.

**ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the amendment to the previously approved agreement, between Qwest Wireless LLC, fka US West Wireless, LLC and Qwest Corporation, fka US West Communications, Inc., is approved.

Made, entered, and effective \_\_\_\_\_.

---

**John Savage**  
Director  
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.