

**This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 408

In the Matter of)	
)	
DIGITAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)	ORDER
dba DTI, and UNITED TELEPHONE)	
COMPANY OF THE NORTHWEST)	
(SPRINT))	
)	
Negotiated Interconnection Agreement)	
Submitted for Commission Approval Pursuant)	
to Section 252 (e) of the Telecommunications)	
Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AGREEMENT APPROVED

On March 11, 2002, Digital Telecommunications, Inc., doing business as DTI, and United Telephone Company of the Northwest (Sprint) filed an interconnection agreement with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of this agreement under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff noted that the agreement states on page six, paragraph 3.1: “This Agreement, and any modification hereof, will be submitted to the Commission for approval in accordance with § 252 of the Act within thirty (30) days after obtaining the last required signature.” Staff also noted that the last signature was obtained on November 30, 2001, but the agreement was not filed with the Commission until March 11, 2002. This is an exceptionally long time between execution and filing, at which time the agreement becomes available to the public. This lag time is not in accordance with what the parties committed to in the agreement.

Staff believes this lengthy delay in filing is an unacceptable practice and cautions all companies to avoid such lag time in filing future agreements.

Staff noted that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 251 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff pointed out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommended approval of the agreement. Staff concluded that the agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

OPINION

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the agreement be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the agreement should be approved.

CONCLUSIONS

1. There is no basis for finding that the agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The agreement should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the agreement, between Digital Telecommunications, Inc., doing business as DTI, and United Telephone Company of the Northwest (Sprint), is approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____.

John Savage
Director
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095.

A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.