

**This is an electronic copy. Attachments may not appear.**  
**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**  
**OF OREGON**

ARB 192 (2-5)

In the Matter of the Second, Third, Fourth, )  
and Fifth Amendments to the Interconnection )  
Agreement Between FAIRPOINT ) ORDER  
COMMUNICATIONS and QWEST )  
CORPORATION, Submitted for Commission )  
Approval Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the )  
Telecommunications Act of 1996. )

**DISPOSITION: AMENDMENTS APPROVED**

On June 13, 2001, FairPoint Communications and Qwest Corporation filed four amendments to the interconnection agreement previously acknowledged by letter of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) recognizing the adoption of the terms of ARB 6, with a subsequent first amendment approved by Order No. 00-362, issued July 11, 2000. The parties seek approval of the current amendments under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice of the request for approval and an opportunity to comment. Only the Commission Staff (Staff) filed comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff recommended approval of the amendments. Staff concluded that the amendments to the previously approved agreement do not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

**OPINION**

The Commission adopts Staff's recommendation and concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the amendments to the previously approved agreement. No participant in the proceeding has requested that the amendments be rejected or has presented any reason for rejection. Accordingly, the amendments should be approved.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. There is no basis for finding that the amendments to the previously approved agreement discriminates against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement.
2. There is no basis for finding that implementation of the amended agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.
3. The amendments should be approved.

**ORDER**

IT IS ORDERED that the second, third, fourth, and fifth amendments to the previously approved agreement, between FairPoint Communications and Qwest Corporation, are approved.

Made, entered, and effective \_\_\_\_\_.

---

**Phil Nyegaard**  
Acting Director  
Utility Program

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.