

**Public Utility Commission** 

550 Capitol St NE, Suite 215

Mailing Address: PO Box 2148

Salem, OR 97308-2148

**Consumer Services** 1-800-522-2404

(503) 373-7394

Local: (503) 378-6600 Administrative Services

July 25, 2008

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATTENTION: FILING CENTER PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148

RE: <u>Docket No. UW 128</u> - In the Matter of NORTH HILL WATER CORP Request for a general rate revision.

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above-captioned docket is Staff's Direct Testimony in Docket UW 128.

/s/ Kay Barnes
Kay Barnes
Regulatory Operations Division
Filing on Behalf of Public Utility Commission Staff
(503) 378-5763
Email: Kay.Barnes@state.or.us

c: UW 128 Service List (parties)

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

## **UW 128**

# STAFF TESTIMONY OF

**KATHY MILLER** 

In the Matter of
North Hill Water Corporation
Request for a General Rate Increase

July 25, 2008

CASE: UW 128

WITNESS: Kathy Miller

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**STAFF EXHIBIT 100** 

In Support of The Stipulation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. A. My name is Kathy Miller. My business address is 550 Capitol Street NE, Suite 215, Salem, Oregon 97308-2148. Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE. A. I have been with the PUC since 1987 and have participated in water utility dockets involving rate filings, finance applications, property dispositions, exclusive service territory, adequacy of service, water and wastewater rulemakings, formal complaints, and affiliated interest matters. Q. DID YOU PREPARE ANY EXHIBITS FOR THIS DOCKET? A. Yes. Staff/101 contains the following documents in support of my testimony: Revenue Requirement Staff/101, page 1 Summary of Staff Adjustments Staff/101, page 2 **Revenue Sensitive Costs** Staff/101, page 3 Plant and Depreciation Staff/101, page 4, 5, 6 Residential Rate Design Staff/101, page 7 Q. HOW IS YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED? A. Staff testimony is organized as follows: 1. A Description and Regulatory History of North Hill; 2. Water System Deficiencies: 3. North Hill's Proposed Rates; 4. Staff's Analysis of North Hill's Filing; and 5. The Stipulated Revenue Requirement and Rates. Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? A. My testimony introduces and supports the Stipulation agreed to by the Parties in Docket UW 128.

Q. WHO ARE THE PARTIES IN THIS DOCKET?

A. The parties in this docket are: Sylvia Bush and Howard Taylor of North Hill Water Corporation (North Hill or Association) and Commission Staff (Staff).

#### 1. A Description and Regulatory History of North Hill

#### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE NORTH HILL.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. North Hill is a nonprofit, small water association with members located near Hermiston, Oregon. The Association provides domestic residential water service to approximately 28 members. The Association's water source is two wells. North Hill is currently dealing with two major water system problems.
- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW NORTH HILL BECAME A RATE REGULATED

  PUBLIC WATER UTILITY UNDER THE AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION

  OF THE COMMISSION?
- A. Upon request of the Oregon Economic Community Development Department (OECDD), Staff met with Sylvia Bush of North Hill on January 17, 2008, to explain the role of the Commission, discuss the needs of the water system, and determine if the Association was interested in obtaining a loan from the Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Loan Fund (SDWSRLF) to finance needed improvements.

To qualify for the SDWSRLF loan's one percent interest rate, the Association must be an economically disadvantaged community and rate regulated by the Commission. Regulation of an association has two requirements:

 Pursuant to ORS 757.063: the Commission must receive petitions requesting regulation from 20 percent of the Association members; and

 Pursuant to OAR 860-036-0030: the Association's average annual monthly residential rate must be above the threshold of \$33 established by the Commission.

## Q. WAS THE ASSOCIATION INTERESTED IN OBTAINING A LOAN FROM THE SDWSRLF?

A. Yes. On March 5, 2008, Staff held a meeting in Hermiston with the Association members. Twenty people attended the meeting. Nineteen members signed petitions for rate regulation at the close of the meeting. (The nonsignatory was a person who was there representing a member.) Nineteen members represent 68 percent of the customer base (28 customers). Sixty-eight percent exceeds the statutory regulatory threshold of 20 percent.

North Hill currently charges a flat water service rate of \$40 per month. This exceeds the statutory annual average monthly residential rate of \$33 per month. Therefore, pursuant to ORS 757.061 and ORS 757.063, both requirements for Commission regulation of the Association's rates and service were met.

The Commission confirmed the Association's change in regulatory status in Order No. 08-164, issued March 13, 2008. The Order required North Hill to file tariffs within 60 days of the Order.

## 7

8

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

#### 2. North Hill's System Deficiencies

#### Q. WHAT WATER SYSTEM PROBLEMS IS NORTH HILL EXPERIENCING?

A. North Hill is currently exceeding its water right and is in need of infrastructure improvements.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW NORTH HILL IS EXCEEDING ITS WATER RIGHT.

A. North Hill has two wells. Well No. 1 has a water right of 0.2 cubic feet per second (cfs) or 90 gallons per minute (gpm). Well No. 2 has a water right of 0.07 cfs or 31 gpm. According to the Water Resources Department (WRD), in July of 2006, the Association was drawing too much water from Well No. 2 and nothing from Well No. 1. Pumping more water than the water right allows is a WRD violation.

After consulting with WRD, North Hill applied to remedy the situation by transferring both water rights to a new well, to be constructed. The WRD order approving the transfer of the water rights requires that the well be in use by October 2008 (in order to perfect the new well under the transfer order). In June 2008, North Hill applied to WRD for an extension of that deadline to accommodate the construction schedule detailed in North Hill's capital improvement loan application.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SYSTEM DEFICIENCIES AND WHAT IMPROVEMENTS NEED TO BE MADE TO THE SYSTEM.

A. A 2006 engineering study by HBH Consulting Engineers noted several deficiencies. Both wells experience multiple problems including insufficient

supply, coliform, and lack of well head protection. The existing 10,000 gallon steel reservoir does not provide enough storage and provides no fire flow. The water reservoir is not an elevated tank; therefore, it is necessary to pressurize the system through a booster pump station. The existing booster pump station operates almost continuously to maintain the system pressure and is inefficient. The distribution system currently consist of 4 inch pipe that dead ends. Six inch pipe is required for fire flow, and the system should be looped.

The study indicates that a new well should be drilled deep enough to provide a long-term, reliable supply of water. A new 25,000 gallon reservoir should be constructed for storage (or 205,000 gallons if fire flows are provided). There should be two pumps in the booster pump station (again, no fire flow). Individual water meters should be installed.

The 2006 cost estimate for the improvements with no fire flow is \$463,700. The cost estimate for the improvements with fire flow is \$843,200.

#### Q. HOW DOES NORTH HILL INTEND TO FINANCE THE IMPROVEMENTS?

A. The Association applied for a low-interest loan from the SDWSRLF to finance the improvements.

#### Q. CAN NORTH HILL AFFORD THESE IMPROVEMENTS?

A. The Association cannot afford all the improvements, so the loan application was limited to the following projects: a new well; a new 25,000 gallon reservoir; improvements to the booster station; site fencing; installing source and individual water meters; and connecting the new well to a chlorine disinfection system and the new reservoir.

3

5

4

6

overhead costs allocated to each specific improvement, and total project cost. Staff allocated overhead costs (engineering, project management, Geotechnical report, bonding, insurance, contingencies, and legal expenses) based on the proportional cost of each specific improvement.

The table below identifies the construction costs of each improvement,

Table No. 1 – Loan Breakdown

		Allocated Overhead	
Item	Construction	Costs	Total
New Well	\$52,000	\$44,231	\$96,231
25,000-gallon Reservoir	\$65,000	\$55,289	\$120,289
Site Fencing (400 ft @			
\$14/ft)	\$5,600	\$4,763	\$10,363
Improve Booster Pump			
Station	\$52,000	\$44,231	\$96,231
New Water Meters (30 @ \$550)	\$16,500	\$14,035	\$30,535
TOTALS	\$191,100	\$162,550	\$353,650

#### WHAT IS THE STATUS OF NORTH HILL'S LOAN APPLICATION?

A. North Hill has been awarded a one percent interest loan in the amount of \$353,650, to be paid back over 30 years, with 25 percent loan forgiveness.

#### 3. North Hill's Proposed Rates

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE NORTH HILL'S RATE PROPOSAL AS FILED IN ITS APPLICATION.

A. On April 7, 2008, North Hill filed an application and proposed tariffs in compliance with Order No. 08-164. In its application, the Association proposed a \$14,150 increase in annual revenues, from \$9,250 to \$23,400 or a 153 percent increase. The Association proposed a 4.81 percent rate of return on a rate base of \$262,851, generating a monthly flat rate of \$75.

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

During the 2007 test year, the Association charged approximately 26 customers a monthly flat rate of \$30, which generated annual revenues of \$9,250. However, in January 2008, the Association raised the monthly flat rate to \$40. Given that increase, the current rates should generate revenues of \$12,480 instead of \$9,250. To achieve the Association's proposed monthly flat rate of \$75, the Association's proposed revenue requirement of \$23,400 is actually an 87.5 percent increase.

#### 4. Staff's Analysis of North Hill's Filing

- Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF STAFF'S ANALYSIS OF NORTH HILL'S FILING?
- A. Staff's analysis and recommendations of North Hill's application result in a 144 percent overall increase over its annual 2007 revenues, for a total annual revenue of \$22,566, with a one percent rate of return on a rate base of \$267,494, generating a monthly flat rate of \$67.16.
- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY NORTH HILL HAS A FLAT RATE VERSUS A METERED RATE.
- A. Currently, the Association has no meters and; therefore, does not meter water consumption or charge a volumetric rate to the members. However, the SDWSRLF requires that each water system receiving a loan install meters. The cost of the meters is included in the loan. Once the meters are in use and North Hill has established a consumption history, North Hill should file tariffs with the Commission for metered rates.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN STAFF'S ADJUSTMENTS TO THE ASSOCIATION'S TEST YEAR.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Staff's adjustments to North Hill's test year are shown in Staff/101, Miller/2.

  They include moving expenses into the appropriate accounts, moving capital expenditures to plant, and other appropriate adjustments based on the documentation provided by the Association. I will highlight some of Staff's adjustments:
  - Staff adjusted North Hill's Revenues by adding \$4,190 for a total of \$13,440 to represent the current rate of \$40 per month and a current customer count of 28.
  - Staff adjusted Purchased Power by adding \$508 for a total of \$4,045.
     This represents actual power costs for 2007, plus an additional 4 percent for expected future electric rate increases.
  - Staff adjusted Repairs to Water Plant by deducting \$208 for a total of \$365. This represents 2007 actual repairs plus a 3.5 percent inflation factor.
  - Staff adjusted Testing Expense by adding \$942 for a total of \$1,510.
     This represents a three-year average for North Hill's testing schedule.
  - 5. Staff adjusted Depreciation Expense by adding \$7,476 for a total of \$11,501. This represents actual 2009 Depreciation Expense. Staff used the 2009 depreciation expense to incorporate the Construction Work In Progress (CWIP) depreciation.

6. Staff adjusted Utility Plant by adding \$16,456 for a total of \$16,456. This represents the utility plant Staff identified that is in use and paid for by the Association.

- 7. Staff added \$353,650 in Construction Work in Progress. This represents the total amount of the capital improvements in progress but not yet used and useful.
- 8. Staff adjusted Accumulated Depreciation by adding \$14,896. This is a contra account deducted from plant. This represents the 2009 accumulated value of the wear and tear upon the system's plant.
- Staff added an account for Loan Forgiveness in the amount of \$88,413.
   This is also a contra account and is deducted from plant to protect members from paying part of the loan that was, in essence, a gift.
- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS IS,
  AND WHY IT IS ALLOWED IN RATES BEFORE IT IS USED AND
  USEFUL.
- A. Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) is the term for plant under construction, but not yet in service. While traditionally rates include costs of plant used and useful, ORS 757.355(2) provides the Commission authority to allow only water utilities to begin recovery of costs before the plant is used and useful.

1	

#### OAR 860-036-0757 states:

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

## 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Commission may allow into rates the costs of a specific capital improvement project in progress if:

- (1) The water utility uses the additional revenues solely for the purpose of completing the capital improvement project;
- (2) The water utility demonstrates that its access to capital is limited and it is in the public interest to provide funding for the capitol improvement through rates; and
- (3) Such costs are approved through tariffs filed with the Commission.

North Hill's capital improvement projects are underway. It is necessary to put CWIP into plant at this time in order for North Hill to collect revenues during the year sufficient to make its annual payment to the SDWSRLF. The first payment is schedule to be due December 2009.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW STAFF CALCULATED NORTH HILL'S UTILITY PLANT.

- A. Staff calculated North Hill's utility plant as broken out below:
  - 1. Total Original Utility Plant of \$16,456;
  - 2. Plus Construction Work in Progress of \$353,650;
  - 3. Less Loan Forgiveness of \$88,413;
  - 4. Less Accumulated Depreciation of \$14,896;
  - 5. Equals Total Net Plant of \$266,798.
  - Staff also adjusted the annual depreciation expense 25 percent to deduct for the 25 percent loan forgiveness.

1

6

8

9

7

10

12

13

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

## Q. PLEASE COMPARE NORTH HILL'S PROPOSED RATE BASE COMPONENTS AND STAFF'S PROPOSED RATE BASE COMPONENTS.

A. Staff has summarized North Hill's proposed rate base components and Staff's proposed rate base components in the table below:

Table 2 – Rate Base Comparison

	Utility Plant In Service + CWIP	Accumu- lated Deprecia -tion	Loan Forgive- ness	Net Utility Plant	Working Cash	Total Rate Base	Rate of Return
Association Proposed	\$265,238	(\$2,947)	\$0	\$262,291	\$560	\$262,851	4.81%
Staff's Proposed	\$370,106	(\$14,896)	(\$88,413)	\$266,798	\$696	\$267,494	1.00%

## Q. DID THE ASSOCIATION MEMBERS EXPRESS ANY CONCERNS **REGARDING NORTH HILL?**

A. The major concern expressed by the members of the Association was the affordability of rates.

## Q. WHAT ACTIONS WERE TAKEN TO REDUCE THE COSTS TO MAKE THE RATES MORE AFFORDABLE FOR THE MEMBERS?

- A. Staff worked with the OECDD and the Department of Human Resources Drinking Water Program (DWP) to reduce costs in the following was:
  - 1. Originally, the draft loan papers required approximately \$12,000 out of pocket administrative costs. Staff requested OECDD have those costs rolled into the loan. OECDD agreed; therefore, North Hill did not have any upfront out of pocket expense for the loan.
  - 2. The original improvements to the system were estimated at approximately \$500,000 (without fire flow). Staff requested OECDD

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

21

20

23

22

reduce the number of projects in consideration of the loan to include only the most pressing, immediate needs of the water system. By deferring some improvements, the loan was reduced from approximately \$500,000 to \$353,650.

- Staff requested that North Hill receive the 25 percent maximum loan forgiveness. OECDD agreed, reducing the loan even further by awarding 25 percent loan forgiveness. This produced a savings of \$88,413.
- 4. During the construction process, the old wells will require water testing. Because the old wells will not be providing service once the new well is complete, Staff requested and received a new testing schedule from the DWP (for the next three years). This will avoid any duplicate testing and provides a savings of approximately \$5,000.

## Q. WILL NORTH HILL HAVE ENOUGH REVENUE TO MAKE ITS LOAN PAYMENT?

A. Yes, absent any major catastrophes. Staff calculated a repayment at one percent over thirty years. The yearly payment, which is due every December, will be approximately \$10,277 per year. The actual amortization schedule from OECDD has not yet been issued.

The annual payment of \$10,277 can be accomplished by using the depreciation expense of \$11,501. In addition, North Hill has an opportunity to earn a one percent return on its rate base or \$2,675. The combined return on and recovery of plant should allow sufficient funds to service the SDWSRLF.

## 2

## 3

## 4

## 5

## 6

7

8

9

10

## 11

## 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

#### 5. The Stipulated Revenue Requirement and Rates

## Q. DID THE PARTIES AGREE WITH STAFF'S ANALYSIS AND ENTER INTO A STIPULATION?

A. Yes.

## Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE CURRENT RATES AND THE STIPULATED RATES.

A. A summary of the current monthly flat rate and line sizes, and the stipulated monthly flat rate and line sizes are shown in Table 4 below.

**Table 4 - Summary of Rates** 

		Size	Mo. Rate	Consumption
Current Rates	Flat Rate	½" to ¾"	\$40	Unlimited water
Stipulated Rates	Flat Rate	½" to ¾"	\$67.16	Unlimited water

#### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATE IMPACT ON THE CUSTOMERS?

- A. The stipulated rate design assigns 100 percent of the revenue requirement to a monthly flat rate with no volumetric charge on unlimited use. Therefore, all customers are impacted the same, regardless of quantity consumed.
- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE NORTH HILL'S TEST YEAR REVENUE REQUIREMENT, ITS PROPOSED REVENUE REQUIREMENT, AND THE STIPULATED REVENUE REQUIREMENT.
- A. Staff has summarized North Hill's test year revenue requirement, its proposed revenue requirement, and the stipulated revenue requirement in the table below:

Table 3 - Revenue Requirement Summary and Comparison

Table 3 - Revenue Requirement Su	illillary and C	oniparison	
	North Hill Test Year	North Hill Proposal	Stipulated Rev Require
Residential Water Sales			
TOTAL REVENUE	9250	23400	22566
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	6719	6719	8355
OTHER REVENUE DEDUCTIONS			
Depreciation Expense	4025	4025	11501
Property Tax			
Oregon Income Tax	10	10	10
Federal Income Tax			
TOTAL REVENUE DEDUCTIONS	10754	10754	19866
NET INCOME	(1504)	12646	2675
Utility Plant in Service	0	265238	16456
Construction Work in Progress			353650
Less Depreciation Reserve	0	2947	(14896)
Less Loan Forgiveness	0	0	(88413)
Net Utility Plant	0	262,291	266798
Materials and Supplies Inventory	0	0	0
Working Cash (Total Op Exp /12)	560	560	696
TOTAL RATE BASE	560	262,851	267494

## Q. ARE THE RESULTING RATES FAIR AND REASONABLE?

A. Yes, until such time that metered rates can be determined.

## Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE STIPULATION?

A. I recommend that the Commission admit the Stipulation into the UW 128 record and adopt the Stipulation in its entirety. The Association also asks that the rates be effective the first day of the month following the issuance of the order.

#### Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

CASE: UW 128

WITNESS: Kathy Miller

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**STAFF EXHIBIT 101** 

**Exhibits in Support Of Direct Testimony** 

North Hill Water Corporation UW 128 Test Year: 2007 Company Case Staff 144.0%

Staff/101 Miller/1

	rest real. 2007	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G
Acct.		Balance Per Application	Proposed Company	Adjusted Results	Proposed Staff	Adjusted Results	Staff Proposed	Proposed Results
No.	REVENUES	Test Year: 2007	Adjustments	(A+B=C)	Adjustments	(A+F=G)	Rev Changes	(G+H+I)
461.1	Residential Water Sales	9,250	14,150	23,400	4,190	13,440	9,125	22,565
471	Misc. Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Special Contracts	0	0	0 100	0	0	0 100	00.500
	TOTAL REVENUE	9,250	14,150	23,400 23,400	4,190   4,190	13,440 13,440	9,126	22,566 22,565
601	OPERATING EXPENSES Salaries and Wages - Employees	0		23,400	480 I	480		480
	Salaries and Wages - Employees  Salaries and Wages - Officers	0		0	0	0		0
	Employee Pension & Benefits	0		0	0	0		0
	Purchased Water	0		0	0	0		0
611	Telephone/Communications	0		0	0	0		0
615	Purchased Power	3,537		3,537	508	4,045		4,045
	Chemical / Treatment Expense	445		445	48	493		493
	Office Supplies	183		183	58	241		241
	Postage	41		41 0	124	165 0		165 0
	O&M Materials/Supplies	573		573	(208)	365		365
	Repairs to Water Plant Contract Svcs - Engineering	175		175	(175)	0		0
	Contract Svcs - Accounting	684		684	(184)	500		500
	Contract Svcs - Accounting	004		0	0	000		0
	Contract Svcs - Management Fees	0		0	0	0		0
	Contract Svcs - Testing	568		568	942	1,510		1,510
636	Contract Svcs - Labor	0		0	0	0		0
	Contract Svcs - Billing/Collection	0		0	0	0		0
	Contract Svcs - Meter Reading	0		0	0	0		0
	Contract Svcs - Other	0		0	0	0		0
	Rental of Building/Real Property	0		0	0	0		0
	Rental of Equipment Small Tools	0		0	0	0		0
	Computer/Electronic Expenses	0		0	0	0		0
	Transportation	87		87	13	100		100
	Vehicle Insurance	0		0	0	0		0
	General Liability Insurance	0		0	0	0		0
	Workers' Comp Insurance	0		0	0	0		0
659	Insurance - Other	0		0	0	0		0
	Public Relations/Advertising	0		0	0	0		0
	Amortz. of Rate Case	0		0	0	0	100	0
	Gross Revenue Fee (PUC)	0		0	34	34	(2)	32
	Water Resource Conservation	0		0	0	0		0
	Bad Debt Expense Cross Connection Control Program	0		0	0	0		0
	System Program Funds	0		0	0	0		0
673	Training and Certification	0		0	0	0		0
	Consumer Confidence Report	200		200	0	200		200
675	General Expense	226		226	0	226		226
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	6,719	0	6,719	1,639	8,358	(2)	8,355
	OTHER REVENUE DEDUCTIONS			6,719	1,639	8,358		8,355
	Depreciation Expense	4,025		4,025	7,476	11,501		11,501
	Amortization Expense	0		0	0	0		0
	Property Tax	0		0	0	0		0
	Payroll Tax	0		0	0	0		0
	Other	10		0 10	0	10	0	10
	Oregon Income Tax Federal Income Tax	0		0	0	0	0	0
409.10	TOTAL REVENUE DEDUCTIONS	10,754	0	10,754	9,114	19,868	(2)	19,866
	NET OPERATING INCOME	(1,504)	14,150	12,646	(4,924)	(6,428)	9,103	2,675
				Later Administration	1			
	Utility Plant in Service	0	265,238	265,238	16,456	16,456		16,456
	Plus Construction Work in Progress	0	0	0 0 0 4 7	353,650	353,650		353,650
108.1	Less Depreciation Reserve	0	2,947	2,947	(14,896)	(14,896)		(14,896
070	Less Loan Forgiveness	0		0	(88,413)	(88,413) 0	0	(88,413
	Amortization of CIAC	0	12012	0	0	0	0	0
281	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax  Net Utility Plant	0	262,291	262,291	266,798	266,798	0	266,798
<b>—</b>	Plus: (working capital)	1	202,231	262,291	200,700	266,798		266,798
151	Materials and Supplies Inventory	0	0	0	0	0		0
101	Working Cash (Total Op Exp /12)	560	0	560	136	696	0	696
	TOTAL RATE BASE	560	262,291	262,851	266,934	267,494	0	267,494
				4.81%	r sanda	-2.40%		1.00%

		North Hill Water Corporation Test Year: 2007				
	S	JMMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS		Staff Adjustments to		Staff/101
	Γ	SMIN/ICC OF ABSOCIMENTS		Rev Req Column D	Results	I D
		REVENUES				Reason Miller/2
1	461	Residential Water Sales	9,250	\$4,190	13,440	28 cust @ \$40 for 12 months
2	471	Misc. Revenues	0	\$0	0	
3		Special Contracts	0	\$0	0	
4		TOTAL REVENUE	9,250	\$4,190	13,440	
		OPERATING EXPENSES				
5		Salaries and Wages - Employees	0	\$480	480	not included in test yr exp
6		Salaries and Wages - Officers	0	\$0	0	
7		Employee Pension & Benefits	0	\$0	0	
8		Purchased Water	0	\$0 \$0	0	
9		Telephone/Communications Purchased Power		\$508	4,045	2007 and based on invaions 1.40/ addil
10 11		Chemical / Treatment Expense	3,537 445	\$48	493	2007 cost based on invoices + 4% add'l 2 yr average expense + 3.5% for inflation
12		Office Supplies	183	\$58	241	2007 reported expense w/3.5% inflation
13		Postage	41	\$124	165	calculated 28X14X0.42
14		O&M Materials/Supplies	0	\$0	0	Calculated 20/11-7/0.42
15		Repairs to Water Plant	573	(\$208)	365	2007 reported exp w/3.5% for inflation
16		Contract Svcs - Engineering	175	(\$175)	0	removed expense incurred 2006
17		Contract Svcs - Accounting	684	(\$184)	500	based on similar size system expense
18	633	Contract Svcs - Legal	0	\$0	0	
19	634	Contract Svcs - Management Fees	0	\$0	0	
20	635	Contract Svcs - Testing	568	\$942	1,510	average 3 year testing schedule
21		Contract Svcs - Labor	0	\$0	0	
22		Contract Svcs - Billing/Collection	0	\$0	0	
23		Contract Svcs - Meter Reading	0	\$0	0	
24		Contract Svcs - Other	0	\$0	0	
25		Rental of Building/Real Property	0	\$0	0	
26		Rental of Equipment	0	\$0	0	
27		Small Tools	0	\$0	0	
28		Computer/Electronic Expenses	0 87	\$0	100	A - A
29		Transportation Vehicle Insurance	0	\$13 \$0	0	test period exp + add'l for cost increase
30 31		General Liability Insurance	0	\$0	0	
32		Workers' Comp Insurance	0	\$0	0	
33		Insurance - Other	0	\$0	0	
34		Public Relations/Advertising	0	\$0	0	
35		Amortz. of Rate Case	0	\$0	0	
36		Gross Revenue Fee (PUC)	0	\$34	34	calculated PUC fee
37		Water Resource Conservation	0	\$0	0	
38		Bad Debt Expense	0	\$0	0	
39	671	Cross Connection Control Program	0	\$0	0	
40			0	\$0	0	
41	673	Training and Certification	0	\$0	0	
42		Consumer Confidence Report	200	\$0	200	based on Umpqua Lab estimate
43		General Expense	226	\$0	226	based on 2007 reported expense
44		TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	6,719	\$1,639	8,358	
0		OTHER REVENUE DEDUCTIONS	4.00-	A- 1	44.504	
45		Depreciation Expense	4,025	\$7,476	11,501	see plant schedule
46		Amortization Expense	0	\$0	0	
47		Property Tax Payroll Tax	0	\$0 \$0	0	
48 49		Other	0	\$0	0	
50		Oregon Income Tax	10	\$0	10	
51		Federal Income Tax	0	\$0	0	
52	703	TOTAL REVENUE DEDUCTIONS	10,754	\$9,114	19,868	
53		NET OPERATING INCOME	(1,504)	(\$4,924)	(6,428)	
- 50		3. 2. 3. 1113 113 113	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(ψ1,52-1)	(-, :=3)	
54	101	Utility Plant in Service	0	\$16,456	16,456	see plant schedule
55		Plus Construction Work in Progress	-	353,650	353,650	see plant schedule
56		Less Depreciation Reserve	0	(\$14,896)	(14,896)	see plant schedule
57		Less Loan Forgiveness	0	(\$88,413)	(88,413)	see plant schedule
58		Amortization of CIAC	0	\$0	Ò	
59	281	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	0	\$0	0	
60		Net Utility Plant	0	\$266,798	266,798	calculation
		Plus: (working capital)		\$0	0	
61	151	Materials and Supplies Inventory	0	\$0	0	
62		Working Cash (Total Op Exp /12)	560	\$136	696	1/12 of oeprating expense
63		TOTAL RATE BASE	560	\$266,934	267,494	
64		Rate of Return	-268.57%		-2.40%	

## Staff/101

•				K	liller/3
ion				- 1	IIIIEI/3
COSTS	COST OF	F CAPITAL			
1000.0	3001 0.	OAI IIAE	Camital		\\/ a i a la 4 a al
1,0000	DERT			Cost	Weighted Cost
1.0000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
0.0000	Bank	\$0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
0.0000	SDWRLF	\$265,238	100.00%	1.00%	1.00%
0.0025		\$265,238			1.00%
0.0000					
0.9975	EQUITY	\$0	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%
		\$265,238	100.00%		1.00%
% 0.0000					
0.9975	Banner Bank	\$3,112	1.17%	8.75%	0.10%
	Due in 10-200	8			
% 0.0000					
0.0000					
0.0025					
0.9975					
1.0025					
	0.0000 0.0025 0.0000 0.9975 % 0.0000 0.9975 % 0.0000 0.0000 0.0025	1.0000 DEBT  0.0000 Bank 0.0000 SDWRLF  0.0025 0.0000 0.9975 EQUITY  % 0.0000  0.9975 Banner Bank Due in 10-200  % 0.0000  0.0000	E COSTS	COST OF CAPITAL   Capital	COST OF CAPITAL   Capital

UW 128 PLANT & DEPRECIATION	ი	D	т	П	G	I	1	AF A	AG AH	Δ.	ΑI	Ą	Miller/4
Account Description	ired	Utility Plant Orig Cost	Less Excess Utility Plant Capacity Adj Orig Cost to Plant	Total Adj Plant	Life	Annual Deprec	Final Month of Deprec	006	007	2008	Accum Depr thru 2008	Remaining Plant 2008	2009 Depr Exp Dec Exp 2009 @ 75%
Organization						0		0	0	0	0	0	0
										0	0		0
						00			0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	00
302 Franchises													
				0	00	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
303   Land and Land Rights													
Original Cost of Land & Water Rights	Apr 1957	3,700		3,700	00	00		00	0	00	0 0	3,700	00
				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
				0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
304 Structures and Improvements Well House	Jan 2006	329.51		330	35		Jan 2041	9	9	9	27	303	9
Cyclone Fencing	Oct 2008	10.363		10,363	35	296	Oct 2043	0	0	56	56	10,307	>
305  Collecting and Impounding Reservoirs													
				0	50	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
				0	50			00	00		00	00	
306 Lake, River and Other Intakes													
				00	35	, 0		0	, 0	0		0	0
				0	35 35	0 0		0 0	00	00	00	00	0 0
				0	35	0		0	0	0		0	0
Wells and Springs 2008 New Well	Oct 2008	96,231		96,231		3,849	Oct 2033	0	0	962		95,269	
				0		0		0	0			0	0
				0	25	0 0		0 0	00	0 0	0	0 0	0
308 Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels													
				0	25	0		0	00	00		0	0
				0	25	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
				0	25	c		U	0				c
out output man				0		0		0	0	0		0	0
				0		0		0	0	0		0	0
				0	50 50	0 0		00	00	0 0	0	0	0 0
310 Power Generation Equipment													
				0	30	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
								٥٥	٥				0
								_	_				

	343				- }	341				1	340			I		339	Π	I	Ī	I	336		I	I	Ţ	_	225				3	Ver			T		222		I	I	337	_ L	I			330		I		į	320		I	-	311
	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment					Transportation Equipment					Office Furniture and Equipment					339 Other Plant					Cross Connection Control (utility owned)					- I yaranio	Hydrante				30 Water Meters	Meters and Meter installations				Selvices	Sprvices				I ransmission and Distribution Mains				25,000 gailon Reservoir - deprec at loan life 30 yrs	Distribution Reservoir and Standpipes				Trace House Equipment	320 Water Treatment Equipment		Pump Replacement	Booster Pump Station -	D. maina Fauinmont
	_					J																					_				SULC FOL	_										]			8007.100								May 2006	Oct 2008	٠
																															20 525														120,289									96,231	
0		0	0	0	2		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		c	0 0	0 0	0		c		0				0	0	0	30 838		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0			0	) c	120,289		0	0	0	0		0	5,437	96,231	
15		7	7	7	7		20	20	20	20		30	30	30	30		10	à o	15	15		40	à t	à t	36	5		20	20	20	200		30	30	30	30		50	50	50	50		50	T			20	20	20	20		20	20		
0		0	0	o c	2		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		-		0	0				0 0	0	2		0	0	0	4 537   004 3038		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0 0	>		0	0	4.010 Oct.2038	Š.	0	0	0	0		0	299 May 2026	4,812 Oct 2028	
00		0	0	٥	2		0	0	0			0	0	0	0		9	0 0	0	0		9	0 0	0 0	0	2		0	0	0	2		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0 0	2		0 0	0 0	0		0	0	0	٥		0	199	0	
00		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0	2		0	0	0	0		9		0	0		c	0 0	0		2		0	0	0	2		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	5 0	2		0	0 0	0		0	0	0	٥		0	290	0	
00		0	٥		>	-	0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0	0		0		0	0		O	0	0	0	2		0	0	0	287		0	0	0 0	2		0	0	0 0	2	_	0	0	752		0	0	0	0		0	290	902	
00		0	0		>		0	0	0			0	0	0	0				0	0		0						0	0				0		0 0	2		0		0	>	-			752 119		0	0	0	0				902 9	
00		0					0		0			0	0	0	0				0	0		c				,		0	0	ı	30 248		0		0 0	2		0	0	0 0			0	0	188	8	0	0	0	0				95,329	
		0		olc	·]_					<u>-</u>		0	0	0	0		ļ			0		<u> </u> c				<u>.</u>		o	<u></u>							<u>-</u>		<u></u>			<u>'</u>	(			3008		0	0	0	<u> </u>		<u></u>	299	6098 C/191111	

353,650	353,650 353,650	162,550	\$191,100	Subtotal
30,535	30,535	14,035	\$16,500	New Water Meters (30 @ \$550) _
96,231	96,231 96,231	44,231	\$52,000	Booster Pump Station
10,363	10,363	4,763	\$5,600	Site Fencing (400 ft @ \$14/ft)
120,289	120,289	55,289	\$65,000	25,000-gallon Reservoir
96,231	96,231	44,231	\$52,000	New Well
check	otai	CIGALIA		င်ပို့

									ALLOCATION O
				Install Meters	Booster Pump Station	Cyclone Fence - Around Well	25,000 Steel Reservoir	Well	ALLUCATION OF ORGANIZATION COSTS
353,650	191,100	162,550	191,100	16,500	52,000	5,600	65,000	52,000	
353 650	191,100	162,550	191,100 100.00%	16,500 8.63%	52,000 27.21%	5,600 2.93%	65,000 34.01%	52,000 27.21%	
353 650	191,100	162,550							Allocation

Orig Cost Item 7, 20, 235 Meters 120, 289 Reservoir 96, 231 Booster 96, 231 Well 10, 363 Fencing 353, 680

Annual Dep 1,527 4,010 4,812 3,849 296

less 25% 1,145 3,008 3,609 2,887 222

25% check ,145 1,145 ,008 3,008 ,609 3,609 ,887 2,887 222 222 10,871 10,871

Depreciation Expense 2009 630 Depreciation expense for plant other than CIAC Non-CWIP Depreciation Expense 2009 10,871 Removes 25% of depreciation expense from loan due to 25% loan forgiveness.	2008 accum + dap exp for 2009	Original Plant in Service 16,456 370,106 Plant Construction Work in Progress 353,550 (353,650) CWIP
	Non- CWIP Depreciation Expense 10,871 Regular Deprec Exp 630 11,501	1990 Dep exp 11501
	10,871 630 11,501	11501

0					Γ	348					347	T	Π			346	Ī				345					344	Ī	
Original Plant In Service						Miscel															Power							
nt in Ser						laneous					nic/Con					unicatio					Operate					Laboratory Equipment		
Vice	77					Miscellaneous Equipment					nputer E					Communication Equipment					Power Operated Equipment					<b>uipment</b>		
	TOTALS					ent					Electronic/Computer Equipment					nent					ment							
											7																	
1																Ш					J					Ш		
16.456			_																			_						
	370,106																											
37	-																											
370 106 Plant	0																											
lant	370,106																											
	6	)	3	)			0		0			0		0				0	)	0			0					0
		ō	ō	6	10		5	5	5	5		10	10	10	6		10	10	10	10		15	15	15	15		15	15
	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
	208																											
	r	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
	308	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
	3,509	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
	4,0																											
	4,025	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
199	366,081	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0
1990 Den exn	630				0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0		0		0	ا
	Г	0	0	0	יי	L	آح	<u></u>	<u>ت</u>	<u></u>	L	T_	יב	יי	בו		בת	<u></u>	<u>'</u>	ビ	L	1_	<u></u>	יי	<u></u>		MILLE	4
11501	10,871										.,																76	<u> </u>

North Hill Water Cor Test Year: 20 RESIDENTIAL RATE	07				Staff/101 Miller/7
Proposed Revenues of:	\$22,565				
FLAT RATE					
Variable Rate			\$0		
Flat Rate	Proposed Rev				
100.00%	\$22,565	=	\$22,565		
			\$22,565	TOTAL	
BASE RATE					
Size of Line	Number of Customers	Current Monthly Base Rate	Proposed Monthly Base Rate	Total Annual Revenues	Revenue at Current Rates
Residential	Customers	Rate	Nate	Revenues	Nates
N/A	28	\$40.00	\$67.16	\$22,565	\$13,440
3/4"		,	\$67.16		\$0
1"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
1.5"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
2"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
3"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
TOTALS	28			\$22,565	\$13,440
Commercial					
5/8" or 3/4"	N/A		\$0.00	00	
1" 1.5"			\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
2"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0 \$0
3"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
4"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
6"			\$0.00	\$0	\$0
	0		7 - 0 0	<b>.</b>	
	28				
			67.9%		67.9%
			PERCENT		% increase

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## **UW 128**

I certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all parties of record in this proceeding by delivering a copy in person or by mailing a copy properly addressed with first class postage prepaid, or by electronic mail pursuant to OAR 860-13-0070, to the following parties or attorneys of parties.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 25th day of July, 2008.

Kay Barnes

Public Utility Commission

V Barnes

**Regulatory Operations** 

550 Capitol St NE Ste 215

Salem, Oregon 97301-2551

Telephone: (503) 378-5763

## UW 128 Service List (Parties)

NORTH HILL WATER CORPORATION	
SYLVIA BUSH SECRETARY-TREASURER	31066 CECIL ST HERMISTON OR 97838 slbush@eotnet.net
HOWARD TAYLOR PRESIDENT	33554 DALLAS RD HERMISTON OR 97838-6326
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION	
KATHY MILLER	550 NE CAPITOL ST STE 215 SALEM OR 97301-2551 kathy.miller@state.or.us