ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General



**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE** GENERAL COUNSEL DIVISION

November 13, 2015

#### VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY

Attention: Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201 High Street, Suite 100 P.O. Box 1088 Salem, OR 97308-1088

Re: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER's Application to Reduce the Qualifying Facility Contract Term and Lower the Qualifying Facility Standard Contract Eligibility Cap OPUC Docket No.: UM 1734 DOJ File No.: 330030-GN0193-15

Filing Center:

On behalf of the Oregon Department of Energy, enclosed for filing today with the Commission in the above-captioned matter is the following document:

November 13, 2015 Cross-Response Testimony of Diane Broad and Philip Carver, Exhibit ODOE/200.

Sentin Manut Sincerely,

Renee M. France Senior Assistant Attorney General Natural Resources Section

Enclosures RMF:jrs/#6941350 DOCKET NO. UM 1734 EXHIBIT: ODOE/200 WITNESSES: BROAD AND CARVER

Before the

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

### **OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

## Cross-Response Testimony of DIANE BROAD AND PHILIP CARVER

November 13, 2015

Q. Please state your name and organization.

A. Diane Broad and Philip Carver, both testifying for the Oregon Department of Energy (Department).

Q. Please summarize your qualifications.

A. Diane Broad: I am an energy policy analyst with particular expertise in electric utility transmission and distribution systems and operations, renewable generator interconnection standards and procedures, and integration of variable energy resources. I gained this expertise through eighteen years of practice as an electrical engineer in consulting, serving electric utilities and renewable project developers, and in one year as a policy analyst at ODOE. I am a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Oregon.

**Philip Carver:** I have a bachelor's degree in economics from the University of California, San Diego (1972) and a Ph.D. in natural resource and utility economics from the Johns Hopkins University (1978).

From 1978 to 1980, I was an assistant professor at Dartmouth College.
From 1980 until 2008, I worked for the ODOE. During that time I testified in a number of Oregon Public Utility Commission (OPUC) dockets, including UM 1129, a previous docket related to implementing Section 210 of the federal Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) of 1978.

ODOE/200 Broad and Carver/2

1

From November 2008 to July 2009, I was the lead OPUC staff on the Renewable Portfolio standards rulemaking (AR 518). From May 2010 to December 2012, I was a half-time senior policy analyst with the OPUC. Since then I have worked half-time for ODOE as a senior policy analyst. This work focuses on removing key barriers to generating more renewable power and reducing energy use.

Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?

 A. Our testimony responds to PacifiCorp's Application to Reduce the Qualifying Facility (QF) Contract Term and Lower the QF Standard Contract Eligibility Cap, filed on May 21, 2015, and supported by the testimony of Mr. Bruce Griswold. Our testimony supports the position taken by Oregon Public Utility Commission Staff (Staff) on retaining the current contract length, but expresses a differing viewpoint on eligibility caps for wind and solar QFs.

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

A. The Department supports the position taken by Oregon Public Utility
Commission Staff (Staff) that the Commission should retain the current contract
term of 20 years, with the first 15 years at fixed prices.<sup>1</sup> The Department differs
with Staff with regard to lowering the eligibility cap, however, recommending
that the Commission retain a 10 MW cap for wind projects but consider a lower
eligibility cap for solar projects.

<sup>1</sup> Staff/100, Andrus/7, lines 4-6.

1	Q. What is the Department's position with regard to PacifiCorp's application
2	to reduce the fixed price term for standard QF contracts?
3	A. The Department opposes PacifiCorp's application to reduce the fixed price term
4	for standard QF contracts from 15 years to three years. As outlined by witness
5	John Hobbs in the Department's opening testimony, PacifiCorp's proposed
6	reduction in contract length would introduce several repricing events into the
7	term of a loan for a QF project, raising the price risk beyond the tolerance of
8	most commercial lenders. <sup>2</sup>
9	The Department agrees with Staff's conclusion that although technological
10	changes present new risks for utilities,
11 12 13 14 15	The need for QFs to have a reasonable ability to access financing still exists. To the extent the changing environment increases the risk that avoided cost prices will diverge from the utility's costs over time, this risk should not be addressed in a way that could significantly impair QF's ability to obtain financing and inhibit QF development in Oregon. <sup>3</sup>
16	Q. What is the Department's position with regard to PacifiCorp's application
17	to reduce the eligibility cap for standard QF contracts?
18	A. The Department recommends the Commission retain the 10 MW eligibility cap
19	for QF projects that utilize renewable resources other than solar (including wind
20	energy), but consider a lower eligibility cap for solar QF projects.
21	Q. What is the Department's reasoning for retaining the 10 MW eligibility cap
22	for wind QF projects?

<sup>2</sup> ODOE/100, Hobbs/2, lines 14-23. <sup>3</sup> Staff/100, Andrus/9, lines 11-15.

A. Wind resources vary more widely within small geographic areas than solar resources do, as a general rule. Wind resources can vary significantly due to topography such as ridge lines and changes in land use patterns that affect ground cover. Requiring a five-mile minimum distance between projects with the same owners is much more likely to affect developers' ability to site multiple wind projects than it would affect the ability to site multiple solar projects. In its Draft Seventh Plan, the Northwest Power and Conservation Council uses a wind power reference plant consisting of arrays of conventional 2.5 MW wind turbine generators.<sup>4</sup> Assuming a 2.5 MW wind turbine as the standard, a 10 MW wind QF project would consist of four turbines. This is a feasible size for a small project owner, such as a family farm, irrigation district, municipality or school district. With the working assumption that the developer of a wind QF is not executing multiple projects of similar characteristics across the state, a project of two, three or four turbines allows for economies of scale that are crucial for these small developers. The cost of interconnection studies and negotiating the interconnection agreement, for example, would be nearly the same for a 2.5 MW project as for a 10 MW project.

19

Even if the Commission lowers the cap for new wind QFs, renewing wind QFs up to 10 MW should still be eligible for standard contract pricing.

<sup>4</sup> Northwest Power and Conservation Council, "Draft Seventh Northwest Conservation and Electric Power Plan," Chapter 13, page 28, https://www.nwcouncil.org/media/7149663/7thplandraft\_chap13\_genres\_20151020.pdf, 2015) (October 20, 2015).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

### Q. Why should the Commission consider a lower eligibility cap for solar QF projects compared to wind QFs?

A. The solar resource does not vary as widely within small geographic areas compared to wind resources. This makes it easier for a developer to site multiple small projects while maintaining compliance with the five-mile minimum distance requirement between projects.

In opening testimony, Staff recommended the Commission consider a range of two to four MW for the eligibility cap for wind and solar QF projects.<sup>5</sup> While the Department does not agree with Staff's recommendation to reduce the cap for wind projects as described above, the Department believes this range is reasonable for solar QF projects.

The Department offers a three MW threshold for consideration. According to the interconnection standard for Oregon<sup>6</sup>, projects having a nameplate capacity 13 greater than or equal to three MW are responsible for installing more complex communications and telemetry equipment so the system operator can monitor real-time generation. This requirement points to three MW as a logical break point for solar.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

<sup>5</sup> Staff/100, Andrus/19, lines18-19. <sup>6</sup> Oregon Administrative Rules, OAR 860-082-0070(2) and (3).