



Public Utility Commission

550 Capitol St NE, Suite 215 **Mailing Address:** PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148 **Consumer Services** 1-800-522-2404 Local: (503) 378-6600 **Administrative Services** (503) 373-7394

November 3, 2009

Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATTENTION: FILING CENTER PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148

RE: <u>Docket No. UM 1442</u> – In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Investigation to determine if PACIFIC POWER's rate revision has been consistent with the methodologies and calculations required by Order No. 05-584.

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above-captioned docket is the Public Utility Commission Staff's Opening Testimony.

/s/ Kay Barnes Kay Barnes Regulatory Operations Division Filing on Behalf of Public Utility Commission Staff (503) 378-5763 Email: kay.barnes@state.or.us

c: UM 1442 Service List (parties)

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1442

STAFF OPENING TESTIMONY OF

Ed Durrenberger

In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Investigation to determine if PACIFIC POWER's rate revision has been consistent with the methodologies and calculations required by Order No. 05-584.

November 3, 2009

CASE: UM 1442 WITNESS: Ed Durrenberger

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 100

Direct Testimony

November 3, 2009

1

2

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

 A. My name is Ed Durrenberger, I am a Senior Utility Analyst in the Electric and Natural Gas Division for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon. My business address is 550 Capitol Street NE Suite 215, Salem, Oregon 97301-2551.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE.

A. My Witness Qualification Statement is found in Exhibit Staff/101.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- A. The Commission initiated Docket UM 1442 to investigate whether Pacific
 Power & Light (PacifiCorp or company) properly calculated its avoided cost
 prices in the current Schedule 37 using methodologies prescribed in
 Commission Order No. 05-584. The testimony that follows will discuss my
 evaluation of the company's avoided cost filing and what conclusions I have
 drawn about PacifiCorp's avoided cost rate.
 - Q. DID YOU PREPARE AN EXHIBIT FOR THIS DOCKET?
 - A. No.

Q. HOW IS YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

- A. My testimony is organized to step through the evaluation I made of the avoided cost filing by answering and discussing the following questions.
 - When should avoided costs be updated?
 - What are avoided cost values based on?

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
- Are the prices that have been used to calculate avoided costs consistent with market pricing? and
- Does the avoided cost rate filing by PacifiCorp in Advice 09-012 follow the methodology adopted by Order 05-584 and has the rate been updated in a manner consistent with the previous avoided cost rate update approved by the Commission?

Q. WHEN DO AVOIDED COSTS NEED TO BE UPDATED?

A. Avoided costs need to be updated within 30 days of the Commission's acknowledgement of a company Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) and at least every two years. Although PacifiCorp has recently filed an updated IRP, it will take several months for the filing to be fully evaluated and receive acknowledgment by the Commission. Avoided costs were last updated in the fall of 2007, so in the absence of a timely acknowledgement of the latest IRP, PacifiCorp filed to update its avoided cost in Advice 09-012 on July 9, 2009, in time to meet the two year update requirement.

16

14

15

Q. WHAT ARE AVOIDED COSTS BASED ON?

A. Avoided costs are the incremental costs to an electric utility of electric energy or capacity, or both, that the utility would incur if it were to generate the energy itself or purchase the power from another source but for the purchase from a Qualifying Facility (QF). To establish what the avoided cost is, the Order methodology requires that PacifiCorp differentiate between times when it has sufficient generation and long and short term firm power purchase agreements to meet all of its retail load and long term sales commitments and

1

the time when it does not have sufficient resources or is deficient and will need to be securing a major resource to meet future load and sales growth. The company develops an action plan based on the IRP projections for loads and resources. The action plan at some point requires that a new major base load resource to be added to accommodate load and sales projections. Up until that point the company is resource sufficient and avoided costs are based on the forward market prices for wholesale electricity. From the point where the company is no longer resource sufficient -- i.e., it is deficient -- the avoided costs are based on the fixed and variable costs of the proxy resource, a natural gas fired combined cycle combustion turbine (CCCT).

In this current filing, PacifiCorp used a 2008 IRP load/resource balance, and updated the inputs for known changes to loads and resources available as of May 2009. Based on that forecast, PacifiCorp is currently resource sufficient and remains sufficient for the purposes of setting avoided costs through 2013. Beginning in 2014, the company becomes resource deficient, because it projects the need to add a major resource at that time. With adoption of the new avoided cost rates in Advice 09-012, all eligible QF generators are able to receive avoided cost based power purchase agreements for up to 15 years with prices based on a sufficiency period from 2009 through 2013 and a deficiency period from 2014 through 2023.

Q.

DID PACIFICORP FOLLOW THE METHODOLOGY REQUIRED BY ORDER NO. 05-584?

A. Yes. The Order requires that to determine avoided costs there needs to be a determination of sufficiency and deficiency. Once the sufficiency/deficiency demarcation is determined, rates are calculated based on the forward wholesale electric market during sufficiency periods and the fixed and variable costs of the proxy resource during the deficiency period. PacifiCorp has accurately calculated the avoided costs on the basis of this methodology.

Q. DO THE PRICES USED TO CALCULATE THE COSTS APPEAR REASONABLE AND CONSISTENT WITH PRICE ESTIMATES THAT WERE AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF THE FILING?

A. Yes. At the time of the filing, I reviewed the forward wholesale electric price curves furnished with the filing. Even though PacifiCorp performs an adjustment to the prices based on a determination of the effect an assumed 50 MW QF would have to power dispatch costs, the prices used are consistent with independent forward electric prices projections from Energy Market Research (EMR) for regional wholesale power. Furthermore, during the deficiency period, when prices are based on the fixed and variable CCCT plant, the projections of natural gas prices going into the future also appeared reasonable as compared to gas price projections from the Northwest Power and Conservation Council's Sixth Power Plan. The CCCT plant fixed costs appeared reasonable for what was known at the time of the filing.

Q. WHAT CONCLUSION DO YOU MAKE ABOUT THE PACIFICORP AVOIDED COST RATE FILED IN ADVICE 09-012 AND ADOPTED BY THE COMMISSION ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2009?

22

1

A. I conclude that PacifiCorp filed its avoided cost rates using the methodologies required by Order No. 05-584. I further conclude that the prices PacifiCorp used to determine the rates were consistent with the projected market prices available to the company at the time they filed the rates. PacifiCorp calculated their rates without making any arithmetical errors, and the rates that were put into effect are reasonable. In addition, the current rates appear to have been calculated using the same methodologies that were used to determine the previous avoided cost rates that had been in place for two years after being approved in Advice No. 07-021.

1 ||

Q. DO YOU HAVE OTHER ISSUES YOU WISH TO DISCUSS?

A. Yes, with this filing PacifiCorp has updated its action plan from the plan that the Commission acknowledged in the company's most recent IRP. The updated plan calls for PacifiCorp to remain resource sufficient until the end of 2013 rather than until 2012 as previously planned. I am concerned about this plan change and the fact that it has not yet been fully evaluated by the Commission and other parties in the IRP evaluation process. If the sufficiency/deficiency date is not acknowledged as correct either the QF entering into a long term avoided cost power purchase agreement will receive less than the avoided costs or customers will be paying more than they are required to for the QF power. In addition, there is a possibility that the avoided cost rate could change to the avoided cost rate filing required after 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

IRP acknowledgement. This possibility creates a climate of uncertainty with QF rates that could hinder small renewable power development.

Q. WHAT DO YOU PROPOSE TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE?

A. I first have to acknowledge that previous avoided cost rate update filings have been adopted using updated actions plans as PacifiCorp proposed in this case. However, I would propose that whenever a significant change to the action plan is proposed, such as the one that PacifiCorp proposes in the avoided cost filing where the sufficiency/deficiency demarcation is shifted a year into the future, that the action plan be first acknowledged by the Commission if it is planned to be used in establishing new long term avoided costs. The IRP Order No. 07-002, guideline 3(f) envisions such an acknowledgement process. In future off cycle avoided cost filings, whenever the action plan changes significantly, such acknowledgement should be required.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

CASE: UM 1442 WITNESS: Ed Durrenberger

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 101

Witness Qualification Statement

November 3, 2009

WITNESS QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

NAME: Ed Durrenberger Public Utility Commission of Oregon **EMPLOYER:** Senior Utility Analyst TITLE: 550 Capitol St. NE, Ste. 215, Salem, Oregon 97301 ADDRESS: **B.S. Mechanical Engineering EDUCATION:** Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon I have been employed at the Public Utility Commission of **EXPERIENCE:** Oregon since February of 2004. My current responsibilities include staff research, analysis and technical support on a wide range of electric and natural gas cost recovery issues including net variable power costs and PURPA Qualifying Facility pricing and interconnection. I have over twenty years of engineering, operations and **OTHER EXPERIENCE:** maintenance experience with industrial boiler plants and associated equipment and utilities. I also have project management experience both in industrial chemical and manufacturing environment and in the high tech manufacturing environment.

UM 1442 Service List (Parties)

RENEWABLE ENERGY COALITION - EMAIL #2

***DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

JANET L PREWITT **(C)** ASSISTANT AG NATURAL RESOURCES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 janet.prewitt@doj.state.or.us

renerco@thnelson.com

***OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

VIJAY A SATYAL (C) SENIOR POLICY ANALYST

CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT ET AL

THOMAS M GRIM ATTORNEY

COMMUNITY RENEWABLE ENERGY ASSOCIATION

PAUL R WOODIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

D R JOHNSON LUMBER COMPANY

RANDY CROCKETT GENERAL COUNSEL

DAVISON VAN CLEVE

IRION A SANGER (C) ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY

DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC

MELINDA J DAVISON (C)

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

MICHAEL T WEIRICH **(C)** ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

LOVINGER KAUFMANN LLP

JEFFREY S LOVINGER

625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301 vijay.a.satyal@state.or.us

1001 SW FIFTH AVE STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 tgrim@cablehuston.com

1113 KELLY AVE THE DALLES OR 97058 pwoodin@communityrenewables.org

PO BOX 66 RIDDLE OR 97469 randyc@drjlumber.com

333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com

333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com

REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 michael.weirich@doj.state.or.us

825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 925 PORTLAND OR 97232-2150 lovinger@lklaw.com

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CAREL DEWINKEL (C)	625 MARION STREET NE SALEM OR 97301-3737 carel.dewinkel@state.or.us
TOM ELLIOTT (C)	628 MARION STREET NE

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

ED DURRENBERGER (C)	PO BOX 2148
	SALEM OR 97308-2148
	ed.durrenberger@state.or.us

PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT

JORDAN A WHITE SENIOR COUNSEL

PACIFICORP OREGON DOCKETS

OREGON DOCKETS

825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com

825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 1800

PORTLAND OR 97232 jordan.white@pacificorp.com

SALEM OR 97301-3737 tom.elliott@state.or.us

PGE RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS

DOUG KUNS

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 121 SW SALMON STREET, 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

J RICHARD GEORGE

121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 richard.george@pgn.com

RENEWABLE ENERGY COALITION

jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com

PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83707

RICHARDSON & O'LEARY PLLC

PETER J RICHARDSON (C)

STOLL BERNE

DAVID A LOKTING

209 SW OAK STREET, SUITE 500 PORTLAND OR 97204 dlokting@stollberne.com

peter@richardsonandoleary.com

THOMAS H NELSON ATTORNEY AT LAW

THOMAS H NELSON (C)

PO BOX 1211 WELCHES OR 97067-1211 nelson@thnelson.com; jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

UM 1442

I certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all parties of record in this proceeding by delivering a copy in person or by mailing a copy properly addressed with first class postage prepaid, or by electronic mail pursuant to OAR 860-13-0070, to the following parties or attorneys of parties.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 3rd day of November, 2009.

alr

Kay Barnes Public Utility Commission Regulatory Operations 550 Capitol St NE Ste 215 Salem, Oregon 97301-2551 Telephone: (503) 378-5763