

Oregon Citizens' Utility Board

610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205 (503) 227-1984 www.oregoncub.org

May 3, 2024

Via Electronic Filing

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201 High St SE, Suite 100 Salem, Oregon 97301-3398 puc.filingcenter@puc.oregon.gov

Re: Docket No. UG 490 –Errata Oregon Citizens' Utility Board Opening Testimony of Jahn Garrett

The Oregon Citizens' Utility Board (CUB) files these errata to the Opening Testimony of CUB Witness John Garrett.

- The erratum to CUB/200/Garrett/10 and the erratum to Exhibit CUB/203/Garrett/2 corrects incorrect values in the tables (these values are accurate in the workpapers).
- The Exhibit CUB/203 erratum also corrects pages that were attached out of order.
- The erratum to exhibit CUB/208/Garrett/2 includes stricken language that was meant to be omitted in the final draft, and footnotes inadvertently omitted from the spreadsheet.

Enclosed are redline pages with these corrections identified. Please contact me if you have any questions with this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/Jennifer Hill-Hart

Jennifer Hill-Hart
OSB #195484
Oregon Citizens' Utility Board
610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400
Portland, OR 97205
T. 503.227.1984
E. jennifer@oregoncub.org

- additional equity and discrimination concerns. Table 3 shows that 11% of the
- 2 Company's existing customer base is made up of multifamily customers.
- Comparatively, 33% of "new premise" customers will be multifamily customers. This
- 4 is concerning, since multifamily customers tend to be renters and/or lower-income.
- Targeting them with a higher rate runs counter to the attempts by this Commission to
- 6 address energy burden.

Table 3: New Premise Customers Breakdown²⁶

7
8

"New Pren	nise" Custon	ners	Existing Customers				
	Customers	Percentag		Customers	Percentage		
		e					
New MF	1525	33%	Existing MF	73,221	11%		
			_	71,695			
New SF	3081	67%	Existing SF	566,945	88%		
					89%		
Total "New			All Res Cust				
Premise"	4606		Count	643,247			
				638,640			

9 10

11

12

13

While the Company proposes a customer charge that is \$2 lower for multifamily customers across existing and "new premise" customers,²⁷ which CUB supports, this does little to offset the \$18.25 increase to the customer charge for "new premise" multifamily customers from UG 435 to UG 490.

1415

16

17

18

19

20

IV. The Line Extension Allowance (LEA) for Residential Customers

Q. Please provide an overview of your LEA testimony.

A. First, I assess the Company's novel LEA design, which maximally incents "loweruse" customers to connect to NW Natural's system. ²⁸ This design starkly contrasts the standard of providing higher allowances for customers that use more of a utility's product and subsequently generate higher revenues. The Company's LEA design

²⁶ See CUB/Garrett/203/New Premise Customer Rates.

²⁷ See NW Natural/1717/Walker/ Page 4.

²⁸ See NW Natural/1900/Therrien/ Page 25-26.

Request No.: UG 490 CUB DR 9

For residential customers in each month of the Test Year, what are the projected useper- therm rates (inclusive of the customer charge) for:

- a. all residential customers?
- b. existing residential customers?
- c. new premise residential customers?

Response:

(a., b., c.) See supporting workbook UG 490 CUB DR 9 Attachment 1.

Projected use per therm rates were calculated by dividing each month's projected usage per customer (UPC) into the monthly fixed charge, and then adding the UG 490 projected base rate.

Note: The response to "a. all residential customers" uses a weighted average of the UPC and customer fixed charge of all Residential categories: existing single family, existing multi-family, new premise single family, and new premise multi-family.

NW Natural												
UG 490 CUB DR 9												
Test Year Projected All Inclusive p	er therm Rates	, Residential										
A. All Residential Customers (Wei	ghted by Custo	mer Class)										
Customer Fixed Charge	\$ 9.89											
Proposed UG 490 Total Base Rate	\$ 0.90649											
All Residential Customer Count	643,247											
	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25	Jul-25	Aug-25	Sep-25	Oct-25
Weighted Avg UPC	75.91	106.04	106.05	94.69	77.02	56.51	32.44	21.65	16.63	13.95	16.95	39.80
All Inclusive per therm Rate:	\$ 1.03676	\$ 0.99975	\$ 0.99974	\$ 1.01092	\$ 1.03489	\$1.08149	\$1.21131	1.363268	1.50117	1.61511	1.48990	1.15493
B. Existing Single-Family Resident												
Customer Fixed Charge												
Proposed UG 490 Total Base Rate												
End of Test Year Customer Count												
% of all Residential Customers				F 1 2F	14 25	4 25					6 25	0 . 25
LIDO	Nov-24	<u>Dec-24</u>	Jan-25	<u>Feb-25</u>	<u>Mar-25</u>	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25	<u>Jul-25</u>	Aug-25	<u>Sep-25</u>	Oct-25
UPC		106.45	106.45	95.06	77.31	56.72	32.56				17.02	39.96
All Inclusive per therm Rate:	\$ 1.03771	\$ 1.00043	\$ 1.00043	\$ 1.01168	\$ 1.03583	\$1.08279	\$1.21357	\$1.36652	\$1.50519	\$1.61996	\$1.49407	\$1.15675
C. New Premise Single-Family Res	sidential Custor	ners										
Customer Fixed Charge	\$ 26.25											
Proposed UG 490 Total Base Rate	\$ 0.90649											
End of Test Year Customer Count	3,081											
% of all Residential Customers	0.48%	á										
	Nov-24	<u>Dec-24</u>	Jan-25	<u>Feb-25</u>	<u>Mar-25</u>	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25	<u>Jul-25</u>	Aug-25	<u>Sep-25</u>	Oct-25
UPC	51.16	73.09	75.00	63.93	53.54	39.89	22.82	13.93	9.46	8.16	11.05	27.36
All Inclusive per therm Rate:	\$ 1.41964	\$ 1.26563	\$ 1.25647	\$ 1.31712	\$ 1.39682	\$1.56460	\$2.05661	\$2.79084	\$3.68135	\$4.12299	\$3.28285	\$1.86582
Multi-Family Residential Custome	ars											
New Premise MF												
Customer Fixed Charge	\$ 24.25											
End of Test Year Count	-											
% of all Residential Customers	-											
	Nov-24	Dec-24	Jan-25	Feb-25	Mar-25	Apr-25	May-25	Jun-25	Jul-25	Aug-25	Sep-25	Oct-25
	51.16	73.09	75.00	63.93	53.54	39.89	22.82				11.05	27.36
Existing MF												
Customer Fixed Charge	\$ 8.00											
End of Test Year Count												
% of all Residential Customers	11.15%	ó										
	Nov-24	<u>Dec-24</u>	<u>Jan-25</u>	<u>Feb-25</u>	<u>Mar-25</u>	<u>Apr-25</u>	May-25	<u>Jun-25</u>	<u>Jul-25</u>	<u>Aug-25</u>	<u>Sep-25</u>	Oct-25
	76.21	106.45	106.45	95.06	77.31	56.72		21.74	16.70	14.02	17.02	39.96
Total MF Customer Count	73,221											

·			Oregon Resident	ial (02R) Acc	ounts with Full Year Billing	,	·	
	Total	Accounts	Accounts with 449 Therms/ Y	r or Fewer	Percentage That Used 4	Average Annual Usage (The		
2021	60	03,141	193,417		32.07%	, D		614.5
2022	61	11,191	157,881		25.83%	Ď		677.5
2023	61	17,097	179,075		29.02%	Ď		640.1
Average	6	10476	176791		28.97%	, D		644.0
Source: UG	490 CUB DR	10						
Source: UG	490 CUB DR	9						
	İ	New Premise Cus	stomers		Ex	isting Customers	,	
		Customers	Percentage			Customer	S	Percentage
Nev	w MF	1525	33%		Existing MF	73221 7169	5	11%
Ne	w SF	3081	67%		Existing SF	566945		88 89%
Total Nev	w Premise	4606		Tota	al Customer Count	643247 6 386	40	
MF - multi-	family							
SF - single-	-family							

	Existing Cust	New Premise Cust	Difference		
Proposed UG 490 Total Base Rate (\$/therm	0.90649	0.90649			
Annual UPC (set equal for analysis)	449	449			
Annual Variable Charge	\$407.01	\$407.01	\$0		
Customer Charge	\$10	\$26.25	\$16.25		
Mo/Yr	12	12			
Annual Fixed Charge	\$120	\$315	\$195		
				Avg Winter Bil	Multiple of Differenc
Annual Rate (\$)	\$527.01	\$722.01	\$195.00	\$67.42	2.9
Annual Rate/ Therm (\$/therm)	1.173750579	1.773929133	51.1%		
Source: UG 490 CUB DR 9 Attachment 1			_		

Inputs: Existing customer rates with 449 therms annual usage profile														
Proposed UG 490 Total Base Rate (\$/therm	0.90649													
Customer Charge (existing cust)	\$10.00													
	24-Nov	24-Dec	25-Jan	25-Feb	25-Mar	25-Apr	25-May	25-Jun	25-Jul	25-Aug	25-Sep	25-Oct		
UPC	51.16	73.09	75	63.93	53.54	39.89	22.82	13.93	9.46	8.16	11.05	27.36	Annual Thems	\$449.39
Monthly Rate	\$56.38	\$76.26	\$77.99	\$67.95	\$58.53	\$46.16	\$30.69	\$22.63	\$18.58	\$17.40	\$20.02	\$34.80	Annual Rate:	\$527.37
Winter Months Avg	\$67.42													
Source UG 490 CUB DR 9 Attachment 1														

Table 4: Residential	Cacv	Flectric	Heating	Systoms
rabie 4: Kesidendai	Gas v.	Liectric	пеаипе	Systems

	NWN Gas Service w/ Gas Furnace	NWN Gas Service w/ Hybrid Heating	Cold- Climate Air Source Heat Pump	Air Source Heat Pump	Electric Service w/ Air Source Heat Pump and Backup Generator		
NWN New Premise Customer Charge (15 Years-Worth)	\$4,725	\$4,725	N/a	N/a	N/a		
Gas Furnace [2]	\$5,500	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		
Heat Pump (without IRA rebate) [1]	N/a	N/a	\$11,000	\$7,000	\$7,000		
Hybrid Gas Furnace/ Electric Heat Pump [1]	N/a	\$8,350	N/a	N/a	N/a		
Generator Cost [4]	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	~\$2,500		
Total Cost Less Usage Charge	\$10,225	\$13,075	\$11,000	\$7,000	\$9,500		
Air conditioning? [1]	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Flexibility during electric outage?	Could power gas appliances.	Could power gas appliances.	Nothing would be powered.	Nothing would be powered.	Could flexibly power electric appliances and outage contingency devices, providing for heating, AC, refrigerators, lights, phones, and medical devices.		
Efficiency? [1]	Significantly less efficient than heat pumps, except possibly in frigid temperatures.	Good for "frigid" climates with temperatures that frequently drop below freezing, offering heat pump efficiency in cold conditions and a gas furnace during prolonged frigid temperatures.	Cold climate air source heat pumps are more expensive, but uphold higher performance at colder temperatures.	Air source heat pumps are highly efficier (up to 3x more efficient than furnaces),[2] but lose their efficiency edge over the higher-efficiency furnaces at frigid temperatures. "It's also important to not that the pump won't be usefless during extreme weather events: The efficiency will just be lowered."[1]			
Variable Rate: Gas versus Electric	This is the most complex challenging for anyone to a Portland-area resident is about half PGE's prop that the usage rate for PC times more heat energy to offset the difference in go that does not consistently not be unreasonable for per-therm variable rate or research the forward out company IRPs they co	o do, particularly on a with access to NW Na cosed usage rate in UE GE is about three times o a home than the elecas and electric usage ray drop below freezing, a customer to conserve ould be exchanged for looks of the gas versus	15-year forward-looking tural and PGE bills N-W- 435- [3 comparing usag higher. [5] However, an trical energy it consume- tes through higher effici meaning heat pumps op tively-assume that prese a comparable per-kWh electric systems in a de-	basis. That said, a Natural's propose e rates for PGE an electric heat pump s," [4] meaning the iency. This is partite closer to opt ntly this factor is r variable rate. If a carbonizing Orego	assuming the customer is dusage rate in UG 490. In Watural reveals per can deliver up to three heat pump could easily cularly true in a climate imal efficiency. It would oughly a wash, and their customer was to in, responses to gas		

^{1.} See Lawrence Bonk, "How Much Does Heat Pump Installation Cost?", Forbes Home (Feb. 29, 2024), https://www.forbes.com/home-improvement/hvac/heat-pump-installation-cost/(last visited April 17, 2024).

^{2.} See Cellucci, N. and Pelchen, L. How Much Does A Gas Furnace Cost In 2024? Forbes Home (Feb. 22,2024) https://www.forbes.com/home-improvement/hyac/how-much-does-a-gas-furnace-cost/ (last visited April 17, 2024)..

^{3.} See Heat Pump Systems, Dep't of Energy, https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/heat-pump-systems (last visited April 17, 2024).

^{4.} See Portable Generators, The Home Depot, https://www.homedepot.com/b/Outdoors-Outdoor-PowerEquipment-Generators-Portable-Generators/Dual-Fuel/N-5yc1vZbx9nZ1z1cr39 (last visited April 17, 2024).

 $^{5. \} NW \ Natural's \ 2023 \ variable \ rate (Per Therm \ Usage Charge; \$1.35/Therm) \ was drawn from \ https://www.nwnatural.com/-/media/nwnatural/pdfs/rates-external/or_rs-2-billing-rate-hist_2023a.pdf?rev=e88fa9d88b604572a7366e2c14943ee9&hash=BC0C051CF3B42C367CC3095E7F3D8190 . PGE's variable \ rate (14.51 \ cents/KWH) \ was drawn from an October 2023 \ PGE \ bill \ and \ included \ all \ per KWH \ charges \ except \ the "Green Futures Choice" \ charge.$