Avista Corp.

AVISTA

1411 East Mission P.O. Box 3727 Spokane, Washington 99220-0500 Telephone 509-489-0500 Toll Free 800-727-9170

August 24, 2020

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301

RE: UG 389 – Joint Testimony in Support of Second Partial Settlement Stipulation

Attached for electronic filing, in lieu of physical copies as permitted in Order No. 20-088, is the Joint Testimony in Support of the Second Partial Settlement Stipulation in Docket UG-389. Also enclosed is Exhibit No. 201, which is a copy of the Second Partial Settlement Stipulation.

Please direct any questions regarding this filing to Patrick D. Ehrbar at (509) 495-8620.

Sincerely,

/s/ David J. Meyer

David J. Meyer Vice President and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs

Enclosure

UG 389 Joint Testimony/ 200 Gardner, et.al.

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UG 389

STAFF/AVISTA/AWEC/CUB EXHIBIT 200

Joint Testimony in Support of the Second Partial Settlement Stipulation

August 24, 2020

I. INTRODUCTION

2

Q. Please state your names and positions.

A. My name is Marianne Gardner. I am employed by the Public Utility 3 Commission of Oregon ("PUC") recently serving as the Program Manager, Rates and 4 Accounting in the Rates, Finance and Audit Division of the Utility Program. I am a graduate 5 of Oregon State University with a Masters of Business Administration and a graduate of 6 7 Montana State University with a Bachelor of Science in Accounting. I have approximately 22 years of professional accounting experience, including cost accounting, public accounting, 8 9 and non-profit accounting. My responsibilities include research, analysis, and 10 recommendations on a range of cost, revenue and policy issues for electric and natural gas utilities. In this docket, I am the Revenue Requirements Summary Witness for Staff. 11

My name is Annette M. Brandon. I am employed by Avista Utilities ("Company") as 12 a Manager of Regulatory Affairs in the Regulatory Affairs Department. I am a 2002 graduate 13 of Eastern Washington University with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business Administration 14 - Professional Accounting. I started with Avista in January 1999 as a Budget Analyst in the 15 Company's Transmission department. I spent three years in the Company's Tax Department 16 before moving to Resource Accounting for the next eight years. In this role, I was primarily 17 18 responsible for accounting for natural gas and associated budgeting and reporting requirements. I joined the Regulatory Affairs department as a Regulatory Analyst in 2012 and 19 was promoted to my current role in 2013. My primary responsibilities relate to oversight of 20 21 the purchase gas cost adjustment filings, Power Supply including general rate case adjustments, monthly/annual reporting, key contact for the Company's compensation and 22 benefits programs, and revenue requirement for Oregon. 23

Page 1 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

Gardner - Brandon - Miller - Gehrke - Mullins

My name is Joseph D. Miller. I am a 1999 graduate of Portland State University with 1 2 a Bachelor's degree in Business Administration, majoring in Accounting. In 2005, I graduated from Gonzaga University with a Master's degree in Business Administration. I 3 4 joined the Company in March 2008, after spending eight years in both the public and private accounting sector. I started with Avista as a Natural Gas Accounting Analyst in the 5 Company's Resource Accounting Department. In January 2009, I joined the State and Federal 6 7 Regulation Department as a Regulatory Analyst. My primary responsibility was coordinating discovery for the Company's general rate case filings. In my current role as Senior Manager 8 9 of Rates and Tariffs, I am responsible for the Company's electric and natural gas rate design 10 and tariff administration, among other things. My name is William Gehrke. I am an Economist with the Oregon Citizens' Utility 11 Board ("CUB"). As one of CUB's economists, my responsibilities include the review of 12

Board ("CUB"). As one of CUB's economists, my responsibilities include the review of
utility and telecommunications filings in Oregon on behalf of residential customers. In this
particular docket, I am representing residential customers' concerns arising from Avista's
General Rate Case filing.

My name is Bradley G. Mullins, and I am an Independent Energy and Utilities Consultant representing large energy consumers before state regulatory commissions. I am appearing in this matter on behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers ("AWEC"), a non-profit trade association of commercial and industrial electric and gas users in the states of Oregon, Idaho and Washington.

Hereafter, Staff, the Company, CUB and AWEC will collectively be referred to as the
"Parties."

23

Q. What is the purpose of your Joint Testimony?

Page 2 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

1	A. The purpose of our Joint Testimony is to describe and support the Second
2	Partial Settlement Stipulation, filed on August 13, 2020 between Staff, CUB, AWEC, and the
3	Company in Docket No. UG-389 (the "Second Stipulation"), which resolved all issues (except
4	for Working Capital) among the Parties for the general rate increase filed on March 13, 2020.
5	The Second Stipulation is the product of settlement discussions, open to all parties in this
6	proceeding.
7	Q. Have you prepared any Exhibits?
8	A. Yes. The Parties' Exhibit No. Joint Testimony/201 is the Second Stipulation
9	filed with the Commission on August 13, 2020.
10	
11	II. BACKGROUND
12	Q. Please describe the background behind the Company's original general
13	rate case filing.
14	A. On March 13, 2020, Avista filed revised tariff schedules to affect a general rate
15	increase for Oregon retail customers of \$6,777,000, 6.8% of its annual revenues or 9.8%
16	margin increase. The filing was suspended by the Commission on March 16, 2020, per its
17	Order No. 20-086. Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge Allan J. Arlow's Prehearing
18	Conference Notice of Telephone Prehearing Conference Memorandum of April 3, 2020, the
19	first settlement conference, held telephonically, occurred on May 7, 2020.
20	As a result of that first settlement discussion, the Parties agreed to settle all issues in
21	this Docket concerning the Cost of Capital, including Capital Structure, Long-Term Debt Cost
22	and Return on Equity, subject to the approval of the Commission, which was filed on May 18,
23	2020. Staff, CUB, and AWEC filed Opening Testimony on July 21, 2020, in response to the

Page 3 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

Gardner - Brandon - Miller - Gehrke - Mullins

Company's original filing on March 13, 2020. On August 3, 2020, a second telephonic
 settlement conference was held, and was attended by all Parties.

As a result of the settlement discussion held on August 3, 2020, the Parties have agreed to settle all remaining issues in this Docket, except Working Capital. This includes adjustments to the revenue requirement, rate spread and rate design issues, Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) accounting treatment, changes in customer deposit requirements, and modifications to the language regarding the Comfort Level Billing program. The issue of Working Capital will continue to be reviewed by the Parties under the existing Procedural Schedule.

Q. What was the Company's position with respect to the need for additional rate relief?

A. The Company explained in its original filing that over 67% (or approximately 12 \$4.5 million) of the Company's need for additional rate relief relates to increases in total rate 13 base, including changes in net plant investment (including return on investment, depreciation 14 and taxes¹, offset by the tax benefit of interest), representing an increase of approximately 15 \$31.9 million in additional net rate base for the Oregon jurisdiction over the current authorized 16 amount.² The remaining 33% (or approximately \$2.3 million) of the Company's requested 17 18 revenue requirement relates to an increase in Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") and administrative and general ("A&G") expenditures. These rate base and expense-related 19

¹ The largest portion of the overall increase in taxes are associated with the inclusion of the new Corporate Activity Tax ("CAT Tax") as described in adjustment 3.03, increasing the Company's proposed revenue requirement by approximately \$1.1 million.

 $^{^{2}}$ The authorized amounts for this analysis include rate base authorized for rates that were effective January 15, 2020.

- revenue requirement increases are net of the change in retail revenues since our last rate case 1 2 filed in 2019.
- 3

Q. Please provide how many data requests Avista responded to, and the general issues explored.

5 A. Avista responded to 293 data requests, with over 474 subparts, including 121 that were provided with the Company's filed case. The data requests covered a broad range of 6 7 areas including, but not limited to, cost of capital, capital additions, employee wages and benefits, working capital, operating and maintenance costs, property tax, regulatory expense 8 9 and various administrative and general related expenses, as well as issues related to load 10 forecasting and Avista's long run incremental cost study.

11

Did Staff, CUB and AWEC propose adjustments to the Company's Initial **O**. Filing? 12

- A. Yes, each of these parties filed opening testimony on July 21, 2020, in which 13 the Parties proposed adjustments to the Company's direct filing. 14
- 15

SUMMARY OF THE FIRST PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION III. 16

- Q. What revenue requirement adjustments to Avista's originally filed case are 17 included in the First Partial Settlement Stipulation? 18
- A. The adjustments reached in the First Partial Settlement Stipulation amounted to 19 a total reduction in Avista's revenue requirement increase request from \$6.777 million to a 20 21 base revenue increase request of \$5.685 million. The adjustments to Avista's revenue requirement reflected in the first Partial Settlement Stipulation are shown in Table No. 1 below: 22

1 <u>Table No. 1 – Summary of Adjustments to Revenue Requirement and Rate Base (First</u>

2 Partial Settlement)

	Revenue Requirement	Rate Base
	\$6,777	\$304,664
Cost of Capital		
Adjusts return on equity to 9.40%, long-term debt cost to 5.07%, with a common stock equity component of 50%, and overall Cost of Capital of 7.24%.	(1,092)	-
Total Adjustments	(\$1,092)	\$0
	\$5,685	\$304,664

8 This adjustment reduces Avista's requested cost of capital to an overall cost of capital 9 equal to 7.24% based on the following components: a capital structure consisting of 50% 10 common stock equity and 50% long-term debt, return on equity of 9.40%, and a long-term debt 11 cost of 5.07%. This combination of capital structure and capital costs is shown in the Table 12 No. 2 below.³

13 **Table No. 2 – Agreed-Upon Cost of Capital**

	AGREED-U	PON COST OF	F CAPITAL	
		Capital Structure	Debt Cost	Weighted Cost
Lo	ong Term Debt	50.00%	5.07%	2.54%
Co	ommon Equity	50.00%	9.40%	4.70%
To	otal	100.00%		7.24%
10		100.0070		,.2170
IV. TE	ERMS OF THE SECON	D PARTIAL S	ETTLEMEN	T STIPULAT

21 included in the Second Stipulation (Exhibit No. Joint Testimony/101)?

 $^{^3}$ The agreed-upon capital structure (50/50) and cost of equity (9.4%) represent a continuation of currently approved levels approved in Docket No. UG-366.

Gardner – Brandon – Miller – Gehrke – Mullins

A. The Parties support further reductions to Avista's requested revenue requirement to reflect the additional adjustments discussed below. The adjustments reached in this Second Stipulation through negotiation, which resolve all remaining issues with the exception of Working Capital, amount to a further reduction in Avista's revenue requirement increase request from \$5.685 million (as shown above) to a base revenue increase request of \$4.212 million. The Parties support the further adjustments to Avista's revenue requirement request, as shown in Table No. 3 below:

8 **<u>Table No. 3:</u>**

	SUMMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND RATE BASE (\$000s of Dollars)					
	(+	Revenue Requirement	Rate Base			
	Results of Partial Settlement Stipulation:	\$5,685	\$304,664			
Sec	ond Settlement Stipulation Adjustments:					
а	Wages & Salaries					
	This adjustment is related to reductions associated with the Company's overall increases					
	related to overtime, full-time employee equivalents (FTE), and associated payroll taxes, as	(239)	(58			
	well as expenses related to Executive Officer salaries.					
b	Advertising Expense					
	This adjustment removes the impact of certain Category A advertising expenses not	(4)				
	applicable to Oregon operations.	(4)				
с	Customer Accounts & Services					
	This adjustment decreases Customer Accounts & Services to reflect the most recent (June	(10)				
	2020) All-Urban CPI.	(10)				
d	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expense					
	This adjustment reduces the level of O&M expense based on a three-year trend analysis	(350)				
	scaled up by All Urban CPI, among other items.	(330)				
e	Administrative and General (A&G) expense					
	This adjustment reduces the level of A&G expense based on a three-year trend analysis	(31)				
	scaled up by All Urban CPI, among other items.	(51)				

f	Atmospheric Testing		
I	This adjustment updates the escalation factor to the June 2020 All-Urban CPI.	(3)	
g	Rate Case Expense	(0)	
8	This adjustment updates the escalation factor to the June 2020 All-Urban CPI.	(1)	
h	Plant in Service		
	This adjustment reduces the overall level of 2020 pro forma capital additions.	(100)	(1,092
i	O&M Offset	· · · ·	
	This adjustment reflects O&M offsets related to efficiencies resulting from the		
	implementation of several Enterprise Technology projects to an agreed-upon level.	(90)	
j	2020 Capital (Average Depreciation)		
9	This adjustment reduces the level of depreciation expense related to 2020 pro forma capital	(=0)	
	additions.	(70)	
k	2020 Capital (Net Salvage)		
	This adjustment is related to negative net salvage associated with plant retirements.	(13)	
1	Medical Benefit Expense		
	This adjustment is related to a 5% increase in medical benefit expense over 2019 test		
	period levels.	70	
m	Pension Benefit Expense		
	This adjustment decreases pension benefit expense to an agreed-upon level.	(256)	
n	Other Gas Expense	(100)	
	This adjustment reduces the level of Other Gas expense to reflect a three-year trend analysis		
	scaled up by All-Urban CPI.	(6)	
0	Taxes Other Than Income - Property		
v	This adjustment reflects an adjustment based on a three year average property tax rate.	(7)	
р	Director and Officer (D&O) Insurance	(7)	
þ	This adjustment reflects a sharing of 50/50 between shareholders and rate payers related to		
	Oregon-allocated D&O insurance.	(44)	
a	Load and Revenue Forecast		
q	This adjustment is related to an updated load forecast for the test year.	(6)	
r	Other Revenue	(0)	
1		(5)	
	This adjustment reflects an increase in Other Revenue due to customer growth. Allocations	(5)	
S	This adjustment reflects the correction/removal of certain expenses related to depreciation		
	and amortization expenses inadvertently included in the original case.	(492)	
t	Depreciation Expense		
ι	This adjustment reflects a correction for a formula error related to transportation		
	depreciation expense.	177	(18
	Meals, Entertainment, and Miscellaneous O&M Expenses		
u	This adjustment is related to a removal of certain expenses related to meals, entertainment		
	5	(31)	
	and miscellaneous O&M expense.		
v	Miscellaneous Restating		
	This adjustment removes the impact of an AFUDC deferral which was put in base rates in	163	
	Docket No. UG 366 on January 15, 2020.		
w	Legal Expense	(15)	
	This adjustment is related to a reduction in certain legal expenses.	(15)	
X	Miscellaneous Operations & Maintenance (O&M)		
	This adjustment is related to a reduction to miscellaneous O&M expenses.	(76)	
у	Tax Apportionment		
	This adjustment is related to the weighted average approach to calculating the Oregon Tax	(34)	
	Apportionment.		
	Total Adjustments:	(\$1,473)	(\$1,338)
			-
	Adjusted Base Revenue Requirement & Rate Base after Second Settlement Stipulation:	\$4,212	\$303,326

Q. Do the Stipulating Parties agree on all of the methodologies employed by 2 the Parties to determine each adjustment?

3

A. No, the Parties do not necessarily agree upon the methodologies used to determine the final adjustments included in the Stipulation, however the Parties believe that 4 5 the agreed-upon adjustments result in a reasonable financial settlement to address all of the issues in this docket, and result in an overall revenue requirement and rate spread and rate 6 7 design that will produce rates that are fair, just and reasonable.

8

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (a), Wages & Salaries? 9

10 A. Staff proposed an adjustment to the Company's Wages and Salaries expense for reductions associated with overtime, full-time employee equivalents (FTE), and associated 11 payroll taxes. AWEC proposed an adjustment to the Company's Wages and Salaries expense 12 for Executive Officer salaries. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an 13 agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by 14 15 \$239,000. The adjustment also reduces rate base by \$58,000.

16

O. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (b), **Advertising Expense Adjustment?** 17

18 A. Staff proposed an adjustment to remove the impact of certain Category A expenses they believed were not applicable to Oregon operations. For settlement purposes, the 19 Parties agreed-upon a lower level of advertising expense, thereby reducing the proposed 20 21 revenue requirement by \$4,000.

Page 9 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (c), 2 **Customer Account & Services Adjustment.**

3 A. Staff proposed an adjustment to Customer Accounts & Services to reflect the most recent (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to 4 reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue 5 requirement by \$10,000. 6

Please describe Issue (d), Operations & Maintenance (O&M) 7 Q. Adjustment? 8

9 A. Staff proposed an adjustment based on a three-year trend analysis scaled up by 10 All Urban CPI, among other items. AWEC also recommended an adjustment, where AWEC proposed removing all generic escalation from non-labor O&M expenses. For settlement 11 purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing 12 the proposed revenue requirement by \$350,000. 13

14

15

Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (e), **Q**. Administrative and General (A&G).

A. Staff proposed an adjustment based on a three-year trend analysis scaled up by 16 All Urban CPI, among other items. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to 17 18 an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by 19 \$31,000.

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (f), Atmospheric Testing.

A. Staff proposed an adjustment to update the escalation factor to the most recent 3 4 (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the revenue requirement by \$3,000. 5

6

O.

Please discuss the basis for adjustment (g) Rate Case Expense.

7 A. Staff proposed an adjustment to update the escalation factor to the most recent (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an 8 9 agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the revenue requirement by \$1,000.

10

O. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (h), Plant in Service.

12

11

A. In their testimony, Staff proposed a number of proposed adjustments to the plant additions included in the Company's original filing. These related to, among other items, 13 certain Enterprise Technology projects as well as the overall level of 2020 pro forma capital 14 additions. For its part, AWEC also proposed in testimony a number of adjustments to plant 15 and plant-related items (discussed below). For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to a 16 reduction in revenue requirement of \$100,000. The adjustment also reduces rate base by \$1.1 17 18 million. In addition, Avista agrees to provide an Officer Attestation, prior to the rate effective date, to the fact that Enterprise Technology and natural gas distribution projects above 19 \$250,000 are in-service for Oregon customers as of December 31, 2020 and describe the actual 20 21 costs for those projects for review by the Parties. If these projects are not complete and in 22 service by December 31, 2020, the revenue requirement associated with the projects will be removed from the test year rate base and the January 15, 2021 base rate change. 23

Page 11 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (i),
 O&M Offset.

A. Staff proposed an adjustment to reflect O&M offsets related to efficiencies resulting from the implementation of several Enterprise Technology projects. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$90,000.

7 8

Q. How did the Parties arrive at the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (j), 2020 Capital (Average Depreciation)?

9 A. In Opening Testimony, AWEC proposed an adjustment to reflect depreciation 10 expense on an "average of monthly average" basis, rather than on an "end of period" basis, for 2020 pro forma capital additions. To resolve this issue for settlement purposes, the Parties 11 agree to a reduction to the level of depreciation expense, resulting in a decrease to the revenue 12 requirement of \$70,000. While there was not necessarily agreement regarding the 13 methodology employed to arrive at the adjustment, the adjustment represented the approximate 14 impact of calculating accumulated depreciation based on the "end of period" plant levels in 15 Avista's pro-forma capital adjustment. 16

17

18

Capital (Net Salvage)?

0.

A. AWEC proposed a reduction related to negative net salvage associated with plant retirements. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a \$13,000 reduction in revenue requirement.

Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (k),

Page 12 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

2

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (l), Medical Benefit Expense?

- A. The Parties agreed to a 5% increase in medical benefit expense over 2019 test
 period levels, for settlement purposes. The result increases revenue requirement by \$70,000.
- 5

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (m),

6 **Pension Benefit Expense?**

A. In testimony, Staff proposed the use of the actual 2019 base year discount rate as the basis for calculating the pension expense. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a decrease in pension benefit expense, resulting in a reduction in the revenue requirement of \$256,000.

Q. How did the Parties arrive at the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (n), Other Gas Expense.

- A. Staff proposed an adjustment based on a three-year trend analysis scaled up by All Urban CPI. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a reduction in Other Gas Expense, resulting in a decrease in the revenue requirement of \$6,000.
- Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (o),
 Taxes Other Than Income.
- A. Staff proposed an adjustment based on a three year average property tax rate. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a reduction in the revenue requirement of \$7,000.

Page 13 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

O.

Director and Officer (D&O) Insurance.

A. In testimony, Staff proposed a sharing of 50/50 between shareholders and rate payers related to the Oregon-allocated D&O insurance. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a reduction in the revenue requirement of \$44,000.

Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (p),

- Q. Please explain the stipulated terms for the Load Forecasting Adjustment,
 Issue (q).
- A. The Company presented an updated load forecast for the test year. For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to use the revised forecast for Rate Schedules 424, 440, 444, and 456. This adjustment decreases the proposed revenue requirement by \$6,000.
- Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (r),
 Other Revenue.
- A. For settlement purposes the Parties agreed to reflect an increase in Other Revenue due to customer growth. This adjustment decreases the proposed revenue requirement by \$5,000.

Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (s), Allocations.

- A. This adjustment reflects the correction/removal of certain expenses related to depreciation and amortization expenses inadvertently included in the original case. The Parties agree in this Stipulation to correct for that error, which results in a decrease to the revenue requirement of \$492,000.
- Q. Please explain the context for the Stipulating Parties' agreement regarding issue (t), Depreciation Expense.

A. This adjustment reflects the correction for a formula related to depreciation 1 2 transportation expense inadvertently included in the Company's original filing. The Parties agree in this Stipulation to correct for that error, which results in an increase to the revenue 3 requirement of \$177,000. The adjustment also reduces rate base by \$188,000. 4 5 Q. Please explain the basis of the Second Stipulation relating to Issue (u), Meals, Entertainment, and Miscellaneous Expense. 6 7 A. Staff proposed to remove certain expenses related to meals and entertainment expenses, and miscellaneous O&M expenses. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to 8 9 reduce the revenue requirement by \$31,000. 10 **O**. Please explain the basis for the Stipulating Parties' agreement regarding issue (v), Miscellaneous Restating. 11 A. This adjustment removes the impact of an AFUDC deferral which was 12 inadvertently included in the Company's filed case. This deferral was put in base rates in 13 Docket No. UG 366 on January 15, 2020. This adjustment increases the proposed revenue 14 requirement by \$163,000. 15 **O**. Please explain the basis for the Stipulating Parties' agreement regarding 16 issue (w), Legal Expenses. 17 18 A. In testimony, AWEC proposed a reduction in certain legal expenses. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to a reduction in the proposed revenue requirement of 19 \$15,000. 20 21 **Q**. How did the Parties arrive at the Second Stipulation adjustment relating

22 to Issue (x), Miscellaneous Operations and Maintenance Adjustment?

A. In testimony, AWEC proposed a reduction to miscellaneous O&M expenses. 1 2 For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to a reduction in the proposed revenue requirement 3 of \$76,000. 4 Q. Please explain the reasonableness of the Stipulating Parties' agreement regarding issue (y), Tax Apportionment. 5 A. In testimony, CUB proposed that a weighted average approach to calculating 6 7 the Oregon Tax Apportionment. For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to CUB's 8 adjustment which reduces the proposed revenue requirement by \$34,000. 9 **RESOLUTION OF RATE SPREAD** 10 V. **O**. What is the agreement of the Parties relating to rate spread? 11 The Parties support the spread of the January 15, 2021 overall billed revenue 12 A. increase of \$4.212 million, or 4.2 percent, to the Company's service schedules as shown in 13 Table No. 4 below (and as shown in Attachment B to the Second Stipulation). The agreed-14 upon rate spread was based on a discrete customer impact offset ("CIO") adjustment to the 15 cost of service results, as described by AWEC witness Mr. Mullins in his opening testimony, 16 with two modifications. The first modification changed the CIO adjustment cap from 150% 17 18 of the average rate increase to 125%. The second modification changed the CIO adjustment 19 floor from 0.0% to 0.5%.

2			Revenue		
		Rate	Increase	% Increase in	% Increase in
3	Schedule Description	Schedule	(\$000s)	Base Revenue	Billed Revenue*
4	Residential	410	\$2,709	6.0%	4.3%
4	General Service	420	\$1,481	7.6%	5.2%
5	Large General Service	424	\$2	0.5%	0.2%
	Interruptible Service	440	\$8	0.5%	0.2%
6	Seasonal Service	444	\$0	0.5%	0.2%
	Transportation Service	456	<u>\$12</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
7	Total		<u>\$4,212</u>	<u>6.1%</u>	<u>4.2%</u>

Table No. 4: Agreed-Upon Rate Spread⁴ 1

8 * Billed Revenue includes base rate revenue plus revenues associated with natural gas supply, energy efficiency, intervenor funding, and other items. 9

10 The revenue requirement shown in Table No. 4 above does not reflect the final determination related to Working Capital, which is still at issue among the Parties. In its 11 testimony set to be filed on or about August 18, 2020, the Company will provide the proposed 12 rate spread which includes the effects of the Working Capital along with the revenue 13 requirement agreed to in this Stipulation. 14

15

Please explain why the Second Stipulation regarding rate spread is **O**. reasonable? 16

- A. The Stipulating Parties agree that the rate spread show in Table No. 4 above 17 18 represents a compromise that fairly balances the interests of the Stipulating Parties. While 19 the Parties may each hold different positions on rate spread issues, the Stipulating Parties support the Stipulation on cost of service and believe it results in rates that are fair, just and 20 21 reasonable.
- 22

⁴ Reproduced from Table No. 4, on page 11 of the Settlement Stipulation

VI. RESOLUTION OF RATE DESIGN

2 **Q**. What is the agreement of the Parties relating to rate design? A. The Parties support the following rate design: The Schedule 410 customer 3 charge will increase from \$10.00 to \$10.50, and Schedule 420 will remain unchanged at 4 \$17.00. To address Parties concerns related to recovering customer related costs under 5 Interruptible Schedule 440 the Parties agree to a customer charge of \$75.00 per month.⁵ All 6 7 other rate design components are as proposed by the Company in its original filing. Attachment C to the Settlement Stipulation provides the agreed-upon base rates.⁶ 8 0. Please explain why the Second Stipulation regarding rate design is 9 reasonable. 10 A. The Stipulating Parties agree that the monthly increase in the basic charge 11 represents a reasonable compromise that fairly balances the interests of the Stipulating Parties. 12 13 **RESIDENTIAL BILL CHANGE** VII. 14 15 **O**. What is the impact to the average residential bill as a result of the agreement of the Parties? 16 A. For the revenue requirement included in this Stipulation, based on an average 17 18 usage level of 47 therms per month, the average bill for a Schedule 410 residential customer, which includes both base and adder schedules⁷, would increase \$2.42 per month, or 4.3 19

⁵ The Company contends that the average cost per month for Meter Reading, Billing, Meters and Services is \$181.99 based on the Company's filed LRIC Study.

⁶ The agreed-upon billing determinants reflect the updated load adjustments as discussed in Section 7 item q above.

⁷ "Adder" schedules recover costs associated with natural gas supply (Schedules 461 and 462), energy efficiency (Schedules 469 and 478), intervenor funding (Schedule 476), and other items.

2	adjustment, previously discussed.
3	
4	VIII. DECOUPLING BASE
5	Q. Please describe any changes to the natural gas decoupling base as a result
6	of the agreement by the Parties.
7	A. Attachment D to the Second Stipulation reflects the new decoupling base
8	effective January 15, 2021 that is supported by the Parties. The new decoupling base provides
9	the "Monthly Allowed Customers" and "Monthly Decoupled Revenue per Customer" which
10	incorporate the effects of the settlement revenue requirement and billing determinants
11	discussed above. In its testimony set to be filed on or about August 18, 2020, the Company
12	will provide the proposed decoupling base which includes the effects of the Working Capital
13	along with the revenue requirement agreed to in this Stipulation.
14	
15	IX. OTHER ITEMS
16	Transportation Rate Schedules
17	Q. Please describe any changes to the Transportation Rate Schedules as a
18	result of the agreement by the Parties.
19	A. The Parties agree to add new transportation Schedules 425 and 439 as described
20	by Company witness Mr. Miller in his pre-filed testimony. In Opening Testimony, CUB
21	expressed concerns about possible cost shifting due to the expansion of Avista's transportation
22	customer schedules. To address CUB's concern regarding possible future cost shift, the new
23	transportation Schedules 425 and 439 will be limited to ten customers on each rate schedule.

percent, from \$56.40 to \$58.82. This bill change does not include the Working Capital

1

Page 19 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

1	If either of these Schedules are to reach the ten-customer limit, the Company will, in a
2	subsequent general rate case, address the concern in order to adjust the limit on customers.
3	
4	Curtailment Penalties
5	Q. What is the agreement of the Parties relating to the recording of
6	Entitlement and Curtailment Penalty Revenue?
7	A. Avista agrees that all entitlement and curtailment penalties are, and will be,
8	returned to core customers in the annual PGA filings. Avista will make a housekeeping filing
9	to correct the updated curtailment penalty amount specified in Rule 20 from \$1 to \$10.
10	
11	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)
12	Q. Please describe the changes related to the recording of AFUDC as agreed
13	to by the Parties.
14	A. Due to recommendations made by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
15	(FERC) in a recent audit of Avista, the Parties agree that the Company would defer the AFUDC
16	difference calculated between using the State AFUDC rate and the FERC AFUDC rate as a
17	regulatory asset (i.e. FERC Account No. 182.3), which is included in rate base, and amortize
18	this regulatory asset over the composite remaining life of the plant-in-service.
19	
20	Customer Deposits
21	Q. What is the agreement of the Parties relating to Customer Deposits?
22	A. In Opening Testimony, CUB requested that customer deposits be temporary lifted
23	for residential due to current economic conditions. In response to CUB's testimony, Parties

have agreed support the temporarily suspension the collection of residential customer deposits
 for two years from the effective date in this case.

3

4 Comfort Level Billing (CLB) Program

5 Q. What agreement has the Company made concerning its Comfort Level 6 Billing Program?

A. Avista agrees to further promote its CLB program to customers via bill inserts, social media, its website, and when customer's call in to the Call Center with financial hardship. Avista also agrees to add language to its website that promotes CLB to inform Oregon customers that those customers with past due balances are eligible for levelized payments plans or equal pay arrearage plans per OAR 860-021-0415 and directing those customers to contact the Company.

- 13
- 14

X. Statements of the Parties⁸

15 Statement of Avista

Q. Does Avista support the Second Stipulation which resolves all issues (except for working capital) in this Docket, including adjustments to the revenue requirement, rate spread and rate design issues, and additional reporting for certain capital projects?

A. Yes. The Settlement strikes a reasonable balance between the interests of Avista's customers and the Company on certain revenue requirement items, rate spread and

⁸ The Statements provided by each Party represent their views only as it relates to the Settlement, and should not be construed as being the views of the Parties collectively.

rate design issues, and additional reporting for certain capital projects. The Second Stipulation was a compromise among differing interests and represents give-and-take. The Second Stipulation also reaches consensus around all issues regarding rate spread and rate design. The Second Stipulation was entered into following the filing of testimony from Staff, CUB and AWEC, extensive discovery, audit and review of the Company's filing, its books and its records. For these reasons, the Settlement is in the public interest and should be approved by the Commission.

8

9 Statement of Staff

10

11

Q. Ms. Gardner, please explain why Staff believes the Second Stipulation is in the public interest.

A. Staff supports the Stipulation as a reasonable compromise of the issues in this 12 rate case that balances customers' interests and shareholder interests, and that results in fair, 13 just and reasonable rates. Staff notes that Avista's Interest Rate Risk Management Plan 14 remains the subject of an independent third-party review per Order 19-331, issued in Docket 15 UG 366, and was therefore not addressed in this rate case. Staff is confident none of the terms 16 of the Stipulation are contrary to Commission precedent. For those agreed-to terms for which 17 there is no Commission precedent, Staff's agreement was based on Staff's evaluation and 18 analysis of the issues, further informed by Staff practice in other rate cases. Staff's position 19 20 on these adjustments is supported by its opening testimony, a further evaluation of the available information and the conclusion that the agreed-to adjustments fall within a reasonable range 21 of outcomes at this time. 22

23

1 Statement of CUB

Q. Please explain why CUB believes the Second Stipulation is in the public interest.

A. CUB believes the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest as a reasonable 4 5 compromise of the issues addressed by Staff, AWEC and CUB in this docket. CUB believes 6 that the settlement is a fair compromise that protects Avista's Oregon residential ratepayers from an unreasonable increase to rates. This stipulation will provide a reduction of the 7 Company's initial revenue requirement request of \$6,777,000. CUB is supportive of the rate 8 9 spread proposed in this case, because AWEC's CIO method moves all customer classes closer to the cost of service. The Stipulation addresses the CUB's issues such as Customer Deposits, 10 11 Transportation customer expansion, residential customer rate design, comfort level billing and curtailment revenues. 12

In these difficult economic times, it was important to CUB to maintain a low residential customer charge and to expand options for customers to enroll in equal payment programs. Further, CUB's concerns about potential cost shifting related to the proposed expansion of the Company's transportation schedule are alleviated through the compromise to put a cap on this program. CUB will continue to evaluate the cost impacts of this program in upcoming regulatory proceedings. Based on the adjustments in this case, CUB felt that the balance of issues in the Stipulation is reasonable.

20

21 Statement of AWEC

Q. Please explain why AWEC believes the Second Settlement Stipulation is in
 the public interest.

Page 23 – JOINT TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION DOCKET NO. UG 389

Gardner - Brandon - Miller - Gehrke - Mullins

AWEC believes the Settlement Stipulation is in the public interest and 1 A. recommends the Commission approve the settlement because the best interests of Avista's 2 natural gas customers are served by the underlying fair compromise on revenue requirement, 3 and rate spread and rate design issues. While the signing parties may each hold different 4 positions on the individual components of Avista's natural gas revenue requirement, and rate 5 spread and rate design issues addressed in the Settlement Stipulation, AWEC supports the 6 7 Settlement Stipulation as it has brought down the overall gas revenue requirement increase from \$6,777,000 as originally filed to \$4,212,000, generally consistent with the testimony and 8 9 litigation positions of AWEC and other parties. 10 Further, the rate increase is spread consistent with the recommendation of AWEC using a CIO adjustment as reflected in my opening testimony. The adjustments to my original 11 recommendation including changing the CIO adjustment cap from 150 percent to 125 percent, 12 and changing the CIO adjustment floor from 0.0 percent to 0.5 percent. AWEC believes the 13

settlement represents a fair compromise of the rate spread issues as it recognizes the results of Avista's long run incremental cost study showing that some rate classes are well above cost of service. While the settlement does not completely move all rates to cost of service, AWEC supports the proposed margin revenue allocation because it makes a gradual movement to cost based rates. The overall result is a fair compromise between Avista and its customers.

- 19
- 20

XI. CONCLUSION

Q. Do the Parties agree that the Second Stipulation provided as Exhibit No. Joint Testimony/101 is in the public interest and results in an overall fair, just and reasonable outcome?

1	А.	Yes, the Parties do. The Stipulating Parties have reviewed Avista's opening
2	testimony, Sta	aff and the Intervenors' opening testimony, the Parties' responses to data requests,
3	and carefully	analyzed the issues. The Stipulating Parties find that the adjustments and
4	agreements in	this Stipulation represent a reasonable resolution of the issues presented by the
5	Parties and w	ill result in rates that are fair, just and reasonable.
6	Q.	What do the Parties recommend regarding the Stipulation?
7	А.	We recommend that the Commission adopt the Stipulation in its entirety.

8 Q. Does this conclude your Joint Testimony?

9 A. Yes.

UG 389 Joint Testimony/ 201 Gardner, et.al.

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UG 389

STAFF/AVISTA/AWEC/CUB EXHIBIT 201

Second Partial Settlement Stipulation of the Parties In the Matter of Avista's Request for a General Rate Revision

August 24, 2020

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
2	OF OREGON
3	UG 389
4 5 7 8 9	In the Matter of)) AVISTA CORPORATION, dba AVISTA)) UTILITIES) / Request for a General Rate Revision.) SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION
11	This Second Partial Settlement Stipulation ("Second Stipulation") is entered into for the
12	purpose of resolving all remaining issues in this Docket, with the exception of Working Capital.
13 14 15	PARTIES The Parties to this Second Stipulation are Avista Corporation ("Avista" or the "Company"), the Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff"), the Oregon Citizens' Utility Board
16	("CUB"), and the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers ("AWEC") (collectively, "Parties").
17	These Parties represent all who intervened and appeared in this proceeding. ¹
18	BACKGROUND
19	1. On March 13, 2020, Avista filed revised tariff schedules to effect a general rate
20	increase for Oregon retail customers of \$6,777,000, or 6.8% of its annual revenues. The filing was
21	suspended by the Commission on March 16, 2020, per its Order No. 20-086.

¹ The Parties previously entered into a Partial Settlement on Cost of Capital, which was filed on May 18, 2020.

- 2. Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge Allen J. Arlow's Prehearing Conference 1 Notice of Telephone Prehearing Conference Memorandum of April 3, 2020, the first settlement 2 conference, held telephonically, occurred on May 7, 2020. 3
 - 3.

5

6

As a result of that first settlement discussion, the Parties agreed to settle all issues in this Docket concerning the Cost of Capital, including Capital Structure, Long-Term Debt Cost and Return on Equity, subject to the approval of the Commission, which was filed on May 18, 2020.

4. Staff, CUB, and AWEC filed Opening Testimony on July 21, 2020, in response to 7 the Company's original filing on March 13, 2020. On August 3, 2020, a second telephonic 8 9 settlement conference was held, and was attended by all Parties.

5. As a result of the settlement discussion held on August 3, 2020, the Parties have 10 agreed to settle all remaining issues in this Docket, except Working Capital. This includes 11 adjustments to the revenue requirement, rate spread and rate design issues, Allowance for Funds 12 Used During Construction (AFUDC) accounting treatment, changes in customer deposit 13 14 requirements, and modifications to the language regarding the Comfort Level Billing program, based on the following terms, subject to the approval of the Commission. The issue of Working 15 Capital will continue to be reviewed by the Parties under the existing Procedural Schedule. 16

17

SUMMARY OF THE FIRST PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATON

18

6. **Adjustments to Filed Revenue Requirement:**

19 The adjustments reached in the first Partial Settlement amounted to a total reduction in Avista's revenue requirement increase request from \$6.777 million to a base revenue increase 20 21 request of \$5.685 million. The adjustments to Avista's revenue requirement reflected in the first 22 Partial Settlement Stipulation are shown in Table No. 1 below:

Page 2 - SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - DOCKET NO. UG 389

1 Table No. 1 – Summary of Adjustments to Revenue Requirement and Rate Base (Partial

2 <u>Settlement</u>)

	Revenue Requirement	Rate Base
	\$6,777	\$304,664
Cost of Capital Adjusts return on equity to 9.40%, long-term debt cost to 5.07%, with a common stock		
equity component of 50%, and overall Cost of Capital of 7.24%.	(1,092)	-
Total Adjustments:	(\$1,092)	\$(
	\$5,685	\$304,664

8

This adjustment reduces Avista's requested cost of capital to an overall cost of capital equal

9 to 7.24% based on the following components: a capital structure consisting of 50% common stock

10 equity and 50% long-term debt, return on equity of 9.40%, and a long-term debt cost of 5.07%.

11 This combination of capital structure and capital costs is shown in the Table No. 2 below.²

12 Table No. 2 – Agreed-Upon Cost of Capital

13	AGREED-UPON COST OF CAPITAL					
14		Capital Structure	Debt Cost	Weighted Cost		
15	Long Term Debt	50.00%	5.07%	2.54%		
	Common Equity	50.00%	9.40%	4.70%		
16	Total	100.00%		7.24%		

17

 $^{^{2}}$ The agreed-upon capital structure (50/50) and cost of equity (9.4%) represent a continuation of currently approved levels approved in Docket No. UG-366.

TERMS OF THE SECOND SETTLEMENT STIPULATON

2

7. Adjustments to Revenue Requirement:

The Parties support further reductions to Avista's requested revenue requirement to reflect the additional adjustments discussed below. The adjustments reached in this Second Stipulation through negotiation, which resolve all remaining issues with the exception of Working Capital, amount to a further reduction in Avista's revenue requirement increase request from \$5.685 million (as shown above) to a base revenue increase request of \$4.212 million. The Parties support the further adjustments to Avista's revenue requirement request, as shown in Table No. 3 below:

9 <u>Table No. 3 – Summary of Adjustments to Revenue Requirement and Rate Base (Second</u> 10 Stipulation)

	SUMMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUE REQUIREMENT AND R (\$000s of Dollars)	ATE BASE	
	Results of Partial Settlement Stipulation:	Revenue Requirement \$5,685	Rate Base \$304,664
Sec	and Settlement Stipulation Adjustments:	45,005	φ50-1,00-
а	Wages & Salaries		
	This adjustment is related to reductions associated with the Company's overall increases		
	related to overtime, full-time employee equivalents (FTE), and associated payroll taxes, as	(239)	(58
	well as expenses related to Executive Officer salaries.		
b	Advertising Expense		
	This adjustment removes the impact of certain Category A advertising expenses not	(4)	
	applicable to Oregon operations.	(4)	
с	Customer Accounts & Services		
	This adjustment decreases Customer Accounts & Services to reflect the most recent (June	(10)	
	2020) All-Urban CPI.	(10)	
d	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expense		
	This adjustment reduces the level of O&M expense based on a three-year trend analysis	(350)	
	scaled up by All Urban CPI, among other items.	(550)	
e	Administrative and General (A&G) expense		
	This adjustment reduces the level of A&G expense based on a three-year trend analysis	(31)	
	scaled up by All Urban CPI, among other items.	(51)	

21

	nd Settlement Stipulation Adjustments (Continued):	Revenue Requirement	Rate Base
f	Atmospheric Testing		
	This adjustment updates the escalation factor to the June 2020 All-Urban CPI.	(3)	
g	Rate Case Expense		
	This adjustment updates the escalation factor to the June 2020 All-Urban CPI.	(1)	
h	Plant in Service		
	This adjustment reduces the overall level of 2020 pro forma capital additions.	(100)	(1,092)
i	O&M Offset		
	This adjustment reflects O&M offsets related to efficiencies resulting from the	(00)	
	implementation of several Enterprise Technology projects to an agreed-upon level.	(90)	
j	2020 Capital (Average Depreciation)		
0	This adjustment reduces the level of depreciation expense related to 2020 pro forma capital	(=	
	additions.	(70)	
k	2020 Capital (Net Salvage)		
ĸ	This adjustment is related to negative net salvage associated with plant retirements.	(13)	
1	Medical Benefit Expense	(15)	
1	This adjustment is related to a 5% increase in medical benefit expense over 2019 test		
	•	70	
	period levels.		
m	Pension Benefit Expense		
	This adjustment decreases pension benefit expense to an agreed-upon level.	(256)	
n	Other Gas Expense		
	This adjustment reduces the level of Other Gas expense to reflect a three-year trend analysis	(6)	
	scaled up by All-Urban CPI.	(0)	
0	Taxes Other Than Income - Property		
	This adjustment reflects an adjustment based on a three year average property tax rate.	(7)	
р	Director and Officer (D&O) Insurance		
	This adjustment reflects a sharing of 50/50 between shareholders and rate payers related to	(14)	
	Oregon-allocated D&O insurance.	(44)	
q	Load and Revenue Forecast		
ч	This adjustment is related to an updated load forecast for the test year.	(6)	
r	Other Revenue	(0)	
1	This adjustment reflects an increase in Other Revenue due to customer growth.	(5)	
S	Allocations	(3)	
3	This adjustment reflects the correction/removal of certain expenses related to depreciation		
		(492)	
-	and amortization expenses inadvertently included in the original case.		
t	Depreciation Expense		
	This adjustment reflects a correction for a formula error related to transportation	177	(188)
	depreciation expense.		()
u	Meals, Entertainment, and Miscellaneous O&M Expenses		
	This adjustment is related to a removal of certain expenses related to meals, entertainment	(31)	
	and miscellaneous O&M expense.	(31)	
v	Miscellaneous Restating		
	This adjustment removes the impact of an AFUDC deferral which was put in base rates in	1/2	
	Docket No. UG 366 on January 15, 2020.	163	
w	Legal Expense		
	This adjustment is related to a reduction in certain legal expenses.	(15)	
X	Miscellaneous Operations & Maintenance (O&M)	(=0)	
	This adjustment is related to a reduction to miscellaneous O&M expenses.	(76)	
у	Tax Apportionment	(70)	
У	This adjustment is related to the weighted average approach to calculating the Oregon Tax		
		(34)	
	Apportionment.		
	Total Adjustments:	(\$1,473)	(\$1,338)
			\$202.22 <i><</i>
	Adjusted Base Revenue Requirement & Rate Base after Second Settlement Stipulation:	\$4,212	\$303,326

The following information provides an explanation for each of the adjustments in Table No. 2 above. Attachment A summarizes the Company's filed rate case and the stipulated adjustments. The numbers in parenthesis below represent the agreed-upon increase or decrease in revenue requirement associated with the item.

- a) <u>Wages and Salaries</u> (-\$239,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to the Company's
 Wages and Salaries expense for reductions associated with overtime, full-time
 employee equivalents (FTE), and associated payroll taxes. AWEC proposed an
 adjustment to the Company's Wages and Salaries expense for Executive Officer
 salaries. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level
 of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$239,000. The
 adjustment also reduces rate base by \$58,000.
- b) <u>Advertising Expense</u> (-\$4,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to remove the impact of
 certain Category A expenses they believed were not applicable to Oregon operations.
 For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed-upon a lower level of advertising expense,
 thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$4,000.
- c) <u>Customer Accounts & Services</u> (-\$10,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to Customer
 Accounts & Services to reflect the most recent (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For
 settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense,
 thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$10,000.
- d) <u>Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Adjustment</u> (-\$350,000): Staff proposed an
 adjustment based on a three-year trend analysis scaled up by All Urban CPI, among
 other items. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon
 level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$350,000.

- e) <u>Administrative and General (A&G) Adjustment</u> (-\$31,000): Staff proposed an
 adjustment based on a three-year trend analysis scaled up by All Urban CPI, among
 other items. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon
 level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$31,000.
- f) <u>Atmospheric Testing</u> (-\$3,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to update the escalation
 factor to the most recent (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For settlement purposes the
 Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the
 revenue requirement by \$3,000.
- g) <u>Rate Case Expense</u> (-\$1,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to update the escalation
 factor to the most recent (June 2020) All-Urban CPI. For settlement purposes the
 Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon level of expense, thereby reducing the
 revenue requirement by \$1,000.
- h) Plant in Service (-\$100,000): In their testimony, Staff proposed a number of proposed 13 14 adjustments to the plant additions included in the Company's original filing. These related to, among other items, certain Enterprise Technology projects as well as the 15 overall level of 2020 pro forma capital additions. For its part, AWEC also proposed in 16 17 testimony a number of adjustments to plant and plant-related items (discussed in ¶17 and ¶18 below). For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to a reduction in revenue 18 19 requirement of \$100,000. The adjustment also reduces rate base by \$1.1 million. In 20 addition, Avista agrees to provide an Officer Attestation, prior to the rate effective date, to the fact that Enterprise Technology and natural gas distribution projects above 21 \$250,000 are in-service for Oregon customers as of December 31, 2020 and describe 22 23 the actual costs for those projects for review by the Parties. If these projects are not

- complete and in service by December 31, 2020, the revenue requirement associated
 with the projects will be removed from the test year rate base and the January 15, 2021
 base rate change.
- i) <u>O&M Offset</u> (-\$90,000): Staff proposed an adjustment to reflect O&M offsets related
 to efficiencies resulting from the implementation of several Enterprise Technology
 projects. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to reductions to an agreed-upon
 level of expense, thereby reducing the proposed revenue requirement by \$90,000.
- j) <u>2020 Capital (Average Depreciation)</u> (-\$70,000): AWEC proposed an adjustment to
 reflect depreciation expense on an "average of monthly average" basis, rather than on
 an "end of period" basis, for 2020 pro forma capital additions. For settlement purposes,
 the Parties agree to a reduction to the level of depreciation expense, resulting in a
 decrease to the revenue requirement of \$70,000.
- k) <u>2020 Capital (Net Salvage)</u> (-\$13,000): AWEC proposed a reduction related to
 negative net salvage associated with plant retirements. For settlement purposes, the
 Parties agree to a \$13,000 reduction in revenue requirement.
- Medical Benefit Expense (+\$70,000): The Parties agreed to a 5% increase in medical
 benefit expense over 2019 test period levels, for settlement purposes. The result
 increases revenue requirement by \$70,000.
- m) <u>Pension Benefit Expense</u> (-\$256,000): In testimony, Staff proposed the use of the
 actual 2019 base year discount rate as the basis for calculating the pension expense.
 For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a decrease in pension benefit expense,
- resulting in a reduction in the revenue requirement of \$256,000.

- n) <u>Other Gas Expense</u> (-\$6,000): Staff proposed an adjustment based on a three-year trend
 analysis scaled up by All Urban CPI. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a
 reduction in Other Gas Expense, resulting in a decrease in the revenue requirement of
 \$6,000.
- o) <u>Taxes Other Than Income Property</u> (-\$7,000): Staff proposed an adjustment based
 on a three year average property tax rate. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to
 a reduction in the revenue requirement of \$7,000.
- p) <u>Director and Officer (D&O) Insurance</u> (-\$44,000): In testimony, Staff proposed a
 sharing of 50/50 between shareholders and rate payers related to the Oregon-allocated
 D&O insurance. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to a reduction in the
 revenue requirement of \$44,000.
- q) <u>Load and Revenue Forecast</u> (-\$6,000): The Company presented an updated load forecast for the test year. For settlement purposes, the Parties agreed to use the revised forecast for Rate Schedules 424, 440, 444, and 456. This adjustment decreases the proposed revenue requirement by \$6,000.
- r) <u>Other Revenue</u> (-\$5,000): For settlement purposes the Parties agreed to reflect an
 increase in Other Revenue due to customer growth. This adjustment decreases the
 proposed revenue requirement by \$5,000.
- s) <u>Allocations</u> (-\$492,000): This adjustment reflects the correction/removal of certain
 expenses related to depreciation and amortization expenses inadvertently included in
 the original case. The Parties agree in this Stipulation to correct for that error, which
 results in a decrease to the revenue requirement of \$492,000.

- t) <u>Depreciation Expense</u> (+\$177,000): This adjustment reflects the correction for a
 formula related to depreciation transportation expense inadvertently included in the
 Company's original filing. The Parties agree in this Stipulation to correct for that error,
 which results in an increase to the revenue requirement of \$177,000.
- u) <u>Meals, Entertainment, and Miscellaneous O&M Expenses</u> (-\$31,000): Staff proposed
 to remove certain expenses related to meals and entertainment expenses, and
 miscellaneous O&M expenses. For settlement purposes, the Parties agree to reduce the
 revenue requirement by \$31,000.
- v) <u>Miscellaneous Restating</u> (+\$163,000): This adjustment removes the impact of an
 AFUDC deferral which was inadvertently included in the Company's filed case. This
 deferral was put in base rates in Docket No. UG 366 on January 15, 2020. This
 adjustment increases the proposed revenue requirement by \$163,000.
- w) Legal Expense (-\$15,000): In testimony, Staff proposed a reduction in certain legal
 expenses. For settlement purposes the Parties agree to a reduction in the proposed
 revenue requirement of \$15,000.
- x) <u>Miscellaneous Operations and Maintenance (O&M)</u> (-\$76,000): In testimony, AWEC
 proposed a reduction to miscellaneous O&M expenses. For settlement purposes, the
 Parties agreed to a reduction in the proposed revenue requirement of \$76,000.
- y) <u>Tax Apportionment</u> (-\$34,000): In testimony, CUB proposed that a weighted average
 approach to calculating the Oregon Tax Apportionment. For settlement purposes, the
 Parties agreed to CUB's adjustment which reduces the proposed revenue requirement
 by \$34,000.
- 23
- 8. **Proposed Effective Date:** The proposed rate effective date is January 15, 2021.

9. **Rate Spread:** The Parties support the spread of the January 15, 2021, overall billed 1 revenue increase of \$4.212 million, or 4.2 percent, to the Company's service schedules as shown 2 in Table No. 4 below (and as shown in Attachment B to the Second Stipulation). The agreed-upon 3 rate spread was based on a discrete customer impact offset ("CIO") adjustment to the cost of 4 service results, as described by AWEC witness Mr. Mullins in his opening testimony, with two 5 6 modifications. The first modification changed the CIO adjustment cap from 150% of the average rate increase to 125%. The second modification changed the CIO adjustment floor from 0.0% to 7 8 0.5%.

9 Table No. 4: Agreed-Upon Rate Spread

16

17

10			Revenue		
		Rate	Increase	% Increase in	% Increase in
11	Schedule Description	Schedule	(\$000s)	Base Revenue	Billed Revenue*
12	Residential	410	\$2,709	6.0%	4.3%
12	General Service	420	\$1,481	7.6%	5.2%
13	Large General Service	424	\$2	0.5%	0.2%
	Interruptible Service	440	\$8	0.5%	0.2%
14	Seasonal Service	444	\$0	0.5%	0.2%
	Transportation Service	456	<u>\$12</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	0.5%
15	Total		<u>\$4,212</u>	<u>6.1%</u>	<u>4.2%</u>

^{*} Billed Revenue includes base rate revenue plus revenues associated with natural gas supply, energy efficiency, intervenor funding, and other items.

18 The revenue requirement shown in Table No. 4 above does not reflect the final 19 determination related to Working Capital, which is still at issue among the Parties. In its testimony 20 set to be filed on or about August 18, 2020, the Company will provide the proposed rate spread 21 which includes the effects of the Working Capital along with the revenue requirement agreed to in 22 this Stipulation. 1 10. **Rate Design:** The Parties support the following rate design: The Schedule 410 2 customer charge will increase from \$10.00 to \$10.50, and Schedule 420 will remain unchanged at 3 \$17.00. To address Parties concerns related to recovering customer related costs under 4 Interruptible Schedule 440 the Parties agree to a customer charge of \$75.00 per month.³ All other 5 rate design components are as proposed by the Company in its original filing. Attachment C to the 6 Settlement Stipulation provides the agreed-upon base rates.⁴

11. <u>Residential Bill Change:</u> For the revenue requirement included <u>in this Stipulation</u>,
based on an average usage level of 47 therms per month, the average bill for a Schedule 410
residential customer, which includes both base and adder schedules⁵, would increase \$2.42 per
month, or 4.3 percent, from \$56.40 to \$58.82. This bill change does not include the Working
Capital adjustment, previously discussed.

12. **Decoupling:** Attachment D to the Second Stipulation reflects the new decoupling 13 base effective January 15, 2021 that is supported by the Parties. The new decoupling base provides 14 the "Monthly Allowed Customers" and "Monthly Decoupled Revenue per Customer" which 15 incorporate the effects of the settlement revenue requirement and billing determinants discussed 16 above. In its testimony set to be filed on or about August 18, 2020, the Company will provide the 17 proposed decoupling base which includes the effects of the Working Capital along with the 18 revenue requirement agreed to in this Stipulation.

19

20

<u>Transportation Rate Schedules:</u> The Parties agree to add new transportation
 Schedules 425 and 439 as described by Company witness Mr. Miller in his pre-filed testimony.

³ The Company contends that the average cost per month for Meter Reading, Billing, Meters and Services is \$181.99 based on the Company's filed LRIC Study.

⁴ The agreed-upon billing determinants reflect the updated load adjustments as discussed in Section 7 item q above.

⁵ "Adder" schedules recover costs associated with natural gas supply (Schedules 461 and 462), energy efficiency (Schedules 469 and 478), intervenor funding (Schedule 476), and other items.

To address concern regarding possible future cost shift, the new transportation Schedules 425 and 439 will be limited to ten customers on each rate schedule. If either of these Schedules are to reach the ten-customer limit, the Company will, in a subsequent general rate case, address the concern in order to adjust the limit on customers.

5 14. <u>Curtailment Penalties:</u> Avista agrees that all entitlement and curtailment 6 penalties are, and will be, returned to core customers in the annual PGA filings. Avista will make 7 a housekeeping filing to correct the updated curtailment penalty amount specified in Rule 20 from 8 \$1 to \$10.

9 15. <u>Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)</u>: Due to 10 recommendations made by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) in a recent audit 11 of Avista, the Parties agree that the Company would defer the AFUDC difference calculated 12 between using the State AFUDC rate and the FERC AFUDC rate as a regulatory asset (i.e. FERC 13 Account No. 182.3), which is included in rate base, and amortize this regulatory asset over the 14 composite remaining life of the plant-in-service.

- 15 16. <u>Customer Deposits:</u> Avista agrees to temporarily suspend the collection of 16 residential customer deposits for two years from the rate effective date in this proceeding.
- 17 17. <u>Comfort Level Billing (CLB) Program:</u> Avista agrees to further promote its CLB 18 program to customers via bill inserts, social media, its website, and when customer's call in to the 19 Call Center with financial hardship. Avista also agrees to add language to its website that promotes 20 CLB to inform Oregon customers that those customers with past due balances are eligible for 21 levelized payments plans or equal pay arrearage plans per OAR 860-021-0415, and directing those 22 customers to contact the Company.

Page 13 - SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - DOCKET NO. UG 389

1 18. The Parties agree that this Second Stipulation is in the public interest and results in 2 an overall fair, just and reasonable outcome, and will serve to reduce the number of contested 3 adjustments in this case.

The Parties agree that this Second Stipulation represents a compromise in the 4 19. positions of the Parties. Without the written consent of all Parties, evidence of conduct or 5 6 statements, including but not limited to term sheets or other documents created solely for use in settlement conferences in this Docket, are not admissible in the instant or any subsequent 7 proceeding unless independently discoverable or offered for other purposes allowed under ORS 8 9 40.190. Nothing in this paragraph precludes a party from stating as a factual matter what the Parties agreed to in this Second Stipulation or in the Parties' testimony supporting the stipulation. 10 20. Further, this Second Stipulation sets forth the entire agreement between the Parties 11 and supersedes any and all prior communications, understandings, or agreements, oral or written, 12

13 between the Parties pertaining to the subject matter of this Stipulation.

14 21. This Second Stipulation will be offered into the record in this proceeding as evidence 15 pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Parties agree to support this Second Stipulation 16 throughout this proceeding and any appeal. The Parties further agree to provide witnesses to 17 sponsor the Second Stipulation at any hearing held, or, in a Party's discretion, to provide a 18 representative at the hearing authorized to respond to the Commission's questions on the Party's 19 position as may be appropriate.

20 22. If this Second Stipulation is challenged by any other party to this proceeding, the 21 Parties to this Second Stipulation reserve the right to cross-examine witnesses and put on such case 22 as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, including the right to raise issues 23 that are incorporated in the settlement embodied in this Second Stipulation. Notwithstanding this

Page 14 - SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - DOCKET NO. UG 389

reservation of rights, the Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's
adoption of the terms of this Second Stipulation.

23. The Parties have negotiated this Second Stipulation as an integrated document. If the Commission rejects all or any material portion of this Second Stipulation, or imposes additional material conditions in approving this Second Stipulation, any Party disadvantaged by such action shall have the rights provided in OAR 860-001-0350(9) and shall be entitled to seek reconsideration or appeal of the Commission's Order.

8 24. By entering into this Second Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, 9 admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Party 10 in arriving at the terms of this Second Stipulation. No Party shall be deemed to have agreed that 11 any provision of this Second Stipulation is appropriate for resolving the issues in any other 12 proceeding.

13 25. This Second Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart
 14 shall constitute an original document. The Parties further agree that any electronically-generated
 15 signature of a Party is valid and binding to the same extent as an original signature.

16 26. This Second Stipulation may not be modified or amended except by written
 17 agreement among all Parties who have executed it.

This Second Stipulation is entered into by each Party on the date entered below such Party'ssignature.

20 AVISTA CORPORATION STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON 21 22 23 By: _____ By: /s/ David J. Meyer 24 Johanna Riemenschneider David J. Meyer 25 26 Date: August 13, 2020 27 Date:

Page 15 - SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - DOCKET NO. UG 389

reservation of rights, the Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's
adoption of the terms of this Second Stipulation.

3 23. The Parties have negotiated this Second Stipulation as an integrated document. If the 4 Commission rejects all or any material portion of this Second Stipulation, or imposes additional 5 material conditions in approving this Second Stipulation, any Party disadvantaged by such action 6 shall have the rights provided in OAR 860-001-0350(9) and shall be entitled to seek 7 reconsideration or appeal of the Commission's Order.

8 24. By entering into this Second Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, 9 admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Party 10 in arriving at the terms of this Second Stipulation. No Party shall be deemed to have agreed that 11 any provision of this Second Stipulation is appropriate for resolving the issues in any other 12 proceeding.

This Second Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart
 shall constitute an original document. The Parties further agree that any electronically-generated
 signature of a Party is valid and binding to the same extent as an original signature.

26. This Second Stipulation may not be modified or amended except by written
agreement among all Parties who have executed it.

This Second Stipulation is entered into by each Party on the date entered below such Party's
signature.

20	AVISTA CORPORATION	STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY
21		COMMISSION OF OREGON
22		
23		
24	By:	By: /s/ Johanna Riemenschneider
25	David J. Meyer	Johanna Riemenschneider
26		
27	Date:	Date: <u>August 13, 2020</u>

Page 15 - SECOND PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION - DOCKET NO. UG 389

1	
2	
3	ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY
4	CONSUMER
5	
6	By:
7	Chad M. Stokes
8	
9	Date:08-13-2020

OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD

By: _____ Michael P. Goetz

Date: _____

1	
2	
3	ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY
4	CONSUMERS
5	
6	By:
7	Chad M. Stokes
8	
9	Date:

OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD

By: _ Michael P. Goetz

Date: _____8/13/20_____

			Twelv	Avista Utilities UG 389 e Months Ended 12.3 (\$000)	31.2021				
	PRESENT RATES								
SUMMARY SHEET	Base Period Results Per Company Filing 12 ME 12.31.19 (1)	Staff Required Change for Reasonable Return (8)	Staff Results at Reasonable Return (7) + (8) (9)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Operating Revenues General Business Transportation Other Revenues Total Operating Revenues	\$88,988 \$2,951 \$52,794 \$144,733	(\$23,005) \$3 (\$52,611) (\$75,613)	\$65,983 \$2,954 \$183 \$69,120	\$6,777 \$0 \$0 \$6,777	\$72,760 \$2,954 \$183 \$75,897	\$6 \$0 \$5 \$11	\$65,989 \$2,954 \$188 \$69,131	\$4,212 \$0 \$0 \$4,212	\$70,201 \$2,954 \$188 \$73,343
Operating Expenses Gas Purchased OPUC Fees Franchise Fees Uncollectibles General Operations & Maintenance Admin & General Expenses Total Operation & Maintenance Depreciation Amortization Taxes Other than Income Income Taxes Total Operating Expenses Net Operating Revenues	\$87,176 \$612 \$1,966 \$56 \$4,746 \$16,335 \$110,881 \$11,083 \$249 \$6,131 \$1,194 \$129,548 \$15,185	(\$87,176) (\$269) (\$426) \$259 \$3,831 \$458 (\$83,342) \$3,987 (\$612) \$1,559 (\$78,408) \$2,795	\$0 \$323 \$1,540 \$315 \$8,577 \$16,793 \$27,549 \$15,070 \$249 \$5,519 \$2,753 \$51,140 \$17,980	\$0 \$32 \$151 \$23 \$0 \$0 \$206 \$0 \$1701 \$1,907 \$4,870	\$0 \$355 \$1,691 \$338 \$8,577 \$16,793 \$227,755 \$15,070 \$249 \$5,519 \$4,454 \$53,047 \$22,850	\$0 \$0 \$0 (\$174) (\$1,327) (\$1,500) \$92 \$158 (\$16) \$323 (\$944) \$954	\$0 \$223 \$1,541 \$315 \$8,403 \$15,466 <u>\$26,048</u> \$15,162 \$407 \$5,503 \$3,076 \$50,196 \$18,934	\$0 \$20 \$94 \$14 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,057 \$1,185 \$3,026	\$0 \$343 \$1.635 \$330 \$8.403 \$15.466 \$26,176 \$15,162 \$407 \$5,503 \$4,133 \$\$1,382 \$21,961
Average Rate Base Utility Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes Accumulated Deferred Inv. Tax Credit Net Utility Plant Plant Held for Future Use	\$474,210 (\$135,955) (\$72,787) \$265,468 \$0	\$49,626 (\$14,544) (\$1,735) \$0 \$33,347 \$0	\$523,836 (\$150,499) (\$74,522) \$0 \$298,815 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$523,836 (\$150,499) (\$74,522) \$0 \$298,815 \$0	(\$1,150) (\$188) \$0 (\$1,338) \$0 \$0	\$522,686 (\$150,687) (\$74,522) \$0 \$297,477 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$522,686 (\$150,687) (\$74,522) \$0 \$297,477 \$0
Acquisition Adjustments Working Capital Fuel Stock Materials & Supplies Customer Advances for Construction	\$0 \$3,656 \$2,377 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$159 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,815 \$2,377 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,815 \$2,377 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,815 \$2,377 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,815 \$2,377 \$0 \$0
Weatherization Loans	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Prepayments Misc. Deferred Debits & Credits Misc. Rate Base Additions/(Deductions) Total Average Rate Base	\$0 (\$343) \$0 \$271,158	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$33,506	\$0 (\$343) \$0 \$304,664	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 (\$343) \$0 \$304,664	\$0 \$0 \$0 (\$1,338)	\$0 (\$343) \$0 \$303,326	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 (\$343) \$0 \$303,326
Rate of Return Implied Return on Equity	5.60% 6.100%		5.90% 6.100%		7.50% 9.900%	<i></i>	6.24% 7.404%		7.24% 9.400%

Avista Utilities Proposed Revenue Increase by Schedule Oregon - Natural Gas Pro Forma 12 Months Ended December 31, 2021 (000s of Dollars)

Line No.	Type of Service	Schedule Number	Distribution Revenue Under Present Rates	Proposed GRC Increase	Distribution Revenue Under Proposed Rates	Therms (000s)	Distribution Revenue Percentage Increase	Billed Revenue Under Present Rates	Proposed GRC Increase	Billed Revenue Under Proposed Rates	Billed Revenue Percentage Increase
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
1	Residential	410	\$44,931	\$2,709	\$47,640	52,670	6.0%	\$63,250	\$2,709	\$65,959	4.3%
2	General Service	420	\$19,385	\$1,481	\$20,866	29,002	7.6%	\$28,609	\$1,481	\$30,089	5.2%
3	Large General Service	424/425	\$496	\$2	\$498	3,264	0.5%	\$1,565	\$2	\$1,567	0.2%
4	Interruptible Service	439/440	\$1,623	\$8	\$1,631	13,929	0.5%	\$3,743	\$8	\$3,751	0.2%
5	Seasonal Service	444	\$34	\$0	\$34	199	0.5%	\$99	\$0	\$99	0.2%
6	Transportation Service	456	\$2,299	\$12	\$2,311	27,049	0.5%	\$2,218	\$12	\$2,230	0.5%
7	Special Contract	447	\$175	\$0	\$175	5,856	0.0%	\$175	\$0	\$175	0.0%
8	Total		\$68,943	\$4,212	\$73,155	131,968	6.1%	\$99,658	\$4,212	\$103,871	4.2%

Avista Utilities Comparison of Present & Proposed Natural Gas Rates Oregon - Natural Gas

Present Base Rates	Base Tariff <u>Change</u>	Proposed Base Rates
R	esidential Service Schedule	410
\$10.00 Customer Charge	\$0.50/month	\$10.50 Customer Charge
All Therms - \$0.63943/Therm	\$0.04076/therm	All Therms - \$0.68019/Therm
	General Service Schedule 42	20
\$17.00 Customer Charge	\$0.00/month	\$17.00 Customer Charge
All Therms - \$0.58382/Therm	\$0.05104/therm	All Therms - \$0.63486/Therm
Large G	General Service Schedule 424	and 425
\$50.00 Customer Charge	\$5.00/month	\$55.00 Customer Charge
All Therms - \$0.13887/Therm	-\$0.00055/therm	All Therms - \$0.13832/Therm
Interru	ptible Service Schedule 439	and 440
\$0.00 Customer Charge	\$75.00/month	\$75.00 Customer Charge
All Therms - \$0.11652/Therm	-\$0.00184/therm	All Therms - \$0.11468/Therm
	Seasonal Service Schedule 4	44
All Therms - \$0.17155/Therm	\$0.00086/therm	All Therms - \$0.17241/Therm
Seasonal Minimum Charge: _\$ 5,810.92		Seasonal Minimum Charge: \$ 5,840.04
Tra	nsportation Service Schedul	e 456
\$275.00 Customer Charge	\$25.00/month	\$300.00 Customer Charge
1st 10,000 Therms - \$0.15876/Therm Next 20,000 Therms - \$0.09555/Therm Next 20,000 Therms - \$0.07853/Therm Next 200,000 Therms - \$0.06147/Therm Over 250,000 Therms - \$0.03118/Therm	\$0.00014/therm \$0.00008/therm \$0.00007/therm \$0.00005/therm \$0.00003/therm	1st 10,000 Therms - \$0.15890/Therm Next 20,000 Therms - \$0.09563/Therm Next 20,000 Therms - \$0.07860/Therm Next 200,000 Therms - \$0.06152/Therm Over 250,000 Therms - \$0.03121/Therm
Schedule 456 Monthly Minimum Charge \$2,698.69		Schedule 456 Monthly Minimum Charge \$2,725.78

Avista Utilities Natural Gas Decoupling Mechanism (Oregon) Development of Decoupled Revenue by Rate Schedule - Natural Gas Docket No. UG-389 Rates Effective January 15, 2021

		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL CHEDULE 410	A COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL SCH. 420		G COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL SCH. 424/425	TERRUPTIBLE SCH 439/440	SEASONAL SCH 444	T	RANSPORTATION SCH 456/447
 Total Normalized 2021 Margin Revenue Settlement Margin Revenue Increase Total Delivery Revenue (2021 Test Year) (Ln 1 + Ln 2) 	\$ \$ \$	68,943,000 4,212,000 73,155,000	\$ 44,931,000 2,709,000 47,640,000	\$ 19,385,000 1,481,000 20,866,000		496,000 2,000 498,000	\$ 1,623,000 8,000 1,631,000	\$ 34,000 - 34,000	\$ \$ \$	2,474,000 12,000 2,486,000
 4 Customer Bills (2021 Test Year) 5 Proposed Basic Charges 6 Basic Charge Revenue (Ln 4 * Ln 5) 	\$	1,271,356 14,464,960	\$ 1,125,295 \$10.50 11,815,598	\$ 144,309 \$17.00 2,453,253	\$	857 \$55.00 47,129	\$ 450 \$75.00 33,780	\$ 37 \$0.00	\$	408 \$300.00 115,200
7 Decoupled Revenue (Ln 6 - Ln 3)	\$	58,690,040	\$ 35,824,403	\$ 18,412,747	\$	450,871	\$ 1,597,220	\$ 34,000	\$	2,370,800
8 Normalized Therms (2021 Test Year)		131,968,306	52,669,603	29,002,292		3,264,235	13,929,025	198,830		32,904,321
 9 Average Number of Customers (Line 8 / 12 mos.) 10 Annual Therms 11 Basic Charge Revenues 12 Customer Bills 13 Average Basic Charge 			\$ Residential 93,775 52,669,603 11,815,598 1,125,295 \$10.50	n-Residential Grou 12,138 46,394,382 2,534,162 145,653 \$17.40	р					Exempt from Decoupling Mechanism

Avista Utilities Natural Gas Decoupling Mechanism (Oregon) Development of Decoupled Revenue Per Customer - Natural Gas Docket No. UG-389 Rates Effective January 15, 2021

Line No.		Source]	Residential	 n-Residential Schedules*
	(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)
1	Decoupled Revenue	Page 1	\$	35,824,403	\$ 20,494,838
2	Test Year Number of Customers 2021	Revenue Data		93,775	12,138
3	Decoupled Revenue Per Customer	(1)/(2)	\$	382.03	\$ 1,688.52

*Schedules 420, 424, 425, 439, 440, and 444

Avista Utilities Natural Gas Decoupling Mechanism (Oregon) Development of Monthly Decoupled Revenue Per Customer - Natural Gas Docket No. UG-389 Rates Effective January 15, 2021

Line No.		Source	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	TOTAL
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(1)	(m)	(n)	(0)
1															
2	Natural Gas Delivery Volume														
3	<u>Residential</u>														
4	- Weather-Normalized Therm Delivery Volume	Monthly Rate Year	8,676,502	6,962,438	6,276,681	4,433,535	2,529,145	1,683,573	1,404,461	1,359,425	1,369,522	3,004,514	6,118,531	8,851,276	52,669,603
5	- % of Annual Total	% of Total	16.47%	13.22%	11.92%	8.42%	4.80%	3.20%	2.67%	2.58%	2.60%	5.70%	11.62%	16.81%	100.00%
6															
7	Non-Residential Sales*														
8	- Weather-Normalized Therm Delivery Volume	Monthly Rate Year	6,056,803	5,233,025	4,778,562	3,650,474	2,460,876	2,136,004	2,232,358	2,293,829	2,458,385	3,658,899	5,194,291	6,240,876	46,394,382
9	- % of Annual Total	% of Total	13.06%	11.28%	10.30%	7.87%	5.30%	4.60%	4.81%	4.94%	5.30%	7.89%	11.20%	13.45%	100.00%
10															
11	Monthly Decoupled Revenue Per Customer ("RP	<u>C'')</u>													
12	<u>Residential</u>														
13	- Decoupled Revenue per Customer	Page 2 - Decoupled RPC													\$ 382.03
14	- Monthly Decoupled Revenue per Customer	(5) x (13)	\$ 62.93	\$ 50.50 \$	45.53	\$ 32.16	\$ 18.34	\$ 12.21	\$ 10.19	\$ 9.86	\$ 9.93	\$ 21.79 \$	44.38	\$ 64.20	\$ 382.03
15	- Monthly Allowed Customers		94,058	94,061	94,074	93,970	93,808	93,521	93,213	93,012	93,005	93,534	94,222	94,817	
16	Non-Residential Sales*														
17	- Decoupled Revenue per Customer	Page 2 - Decoupled RPC													\$1,688.52
18	- Monthly Decoupled Revenue per Customer	(9) x (17)	\$ 220.44	\$ 190.46 \$	173.92	\$ 132.86	\$ 89.56	\$ 77.74	\$ 81.25	\$ 83.48	\$ 89.47	\$ 133.17 \$	189.05	\$ 227.14	\$ 1,688.52
19	- Monthly Allowed Customers		12,173	12,194	12,189	12,161	12,147	12,127	12,087	12,069	12,059	12,082	12,143	12,221	

20 *Schedules 420, 424, 425, 439, 440, and 444.