# Davison Van Cleve PC

#### Attorneys at Law

TEL (503) 241-7242 • FAX (503) 241-8160 • jog@dvclaw.com Suite 450 1750 SW Harbor Way Portland, OR 97201

September 27, 2022

#### Via Electronic Filing

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center 201 High St. SE, Suite 100 Salem OR 97301

Re: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Amortization of Boardman Deferral.

Docket No. UE 410

Dear Filing Center:

Please find enclosed the Opening Testimony of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers in the above-referenced docket.

Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jesse O. Gorsuch
Jesse O. Gorsuch

Enclosure

#### PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**UE 410** 

In the Matter of

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Application Regarding Amortization of Boardman Deferral.

# OPENING TESTIMONY OF BRADLEY G. MULLINS ON BEHALF OF

ALLIANCE OF WESTERN ENERGY CONSUMERS

**September 27, 2022** 

#### 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND OCCUPATION.

- 2 A. My name is Bradley G. Mullins. I am a consultant for MW Analytics, an independent
- 3 consulting practice representing utility customers before state public utility commissions in the
- 4 Northwest and Intermountain West. My witness qualification statement can be found at
- 5 Exhibit AWEC/101.

#### 6 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PARTY ON WHOSE BEHALF YOU ARE TESTIFYING.

- 7 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers ("AWEC"). AWEC is
- 8 a non-profit trade association whose members are large energy users in the Western United
- 9 States, including customers receiving electric services from Portland General Electric
- 10 Company ("PGE").

#### 11 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 12 A. I discuss the application of an earnings test to the 2020 balances accrued for the UM 2119
- Boardman deferral. I also address how to consider other 2020 deferral balances when
- performing the earnings test, including the UM 2115 Wildfire deferral, the UM 2064
- 15 COVID-19 Emergency deferral, the UM 1938 Transportation Electrification Pilot deferral, the
- 16 UM 2003 Electric Vehicle Charging deferral, and the UM 2078 Battery Storage deferral.

#### 17 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

- 18 A. With an earnings test performed in accordance with the April 25, 2022 Order in UE 394 (the
- 19 "2022 GRC"), PGE must write off the entire 2020 balance of the UM 2115 Wildfire deferral
- and refund the entire 2020 balance of the UM 2119 Boardman deferral. The total UM 2119
- Boardman deferral balance for 2020 is \$13,913,955 plus interest—yielding a net refund of
- \$16,044,135 in total. The 2020 earnings test has been detailed in **Exhibit AWEC/102** based

Docket No. UE 394, Order, at 52-55 (Apr. 25, 2022).

on PGE's results of operations. The calculation of the Boardman deferral balance, including interest accruals, may be found in **Exhibit AWEC/103**.

#### 3 Q. WHAT WAS THE FLAW IN PGE'S PROPOSED 2020 EARNINGS TEST?

A. PGE asserts that in 2020 it recognized a 9.47% Return on Equity ("ROE") before any refund of the Boardman deferral.<sup>2</sup> Based on that level, PGE recommends the Commission not require any refunds for the Boardman deferral in UE 410. PGE's earnings test calculation, however, is flawed because it assumes PGE will, in addition to writing off \$15,460,221 for the 2020 Wildfire deferral, write-off approximately \$16,523,600 in other deferrals not under consideration in this docket. PGE, however, is not proposing to write-off those other deferrals, nor has the Commission issued an order in those other cases that would require PGE to write-off those balances. Further, the COVID-19 deferral balance will likely not be subject to an earnings test; there is little probability that those balances will be written-off. When performing an earnings test, PGE cannot artificially reduce its earnings by assuming that it has written-off a balance unless the Commission has ordered that the amount be written-off. In **Exhibit AWEC/104,** I identify the specific write-offs PGE assumed in its flawed earnings test.

#### Q. WHAT WERE PGE'S EARNINGS BEFORE ANY WRITE-OFFS OR REFUNDS?

A. Before any write-offs or refunds, PGE's actual ROE was a 10.40%.<sup>3</sup> This value was reported in column (5) of PGE's results of operation. Thus, relative to its authorized ROE of 9.5%, PGE over earned by 0.90%, which equates to \$32,103,247 in revenues.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, before the 2020 earnings test would otherwise restrict the amount of the Boardman deferral refund, PGE would have to be required to write-off and/or refund at least \$32,103,247 in other deferred balances. As discussed below, the order of operations in applying the earnings tests

UM 410, PGE/100, Liddle-Ferchland/9:4-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See RE 119, 2020 Results of Operation (Revised Methodology), Cover letter at 2 (Apr. 29, 2022).

Exhibit AWEC/104, Mullins/1:2.

matters for determining final deferred amounts, although this level of over-earning is sufficient to warrant refunding the entire Boardman deferral in all possible scenarios.

# 3 Q. WHAT WRITE-OFFS DID PGE ASSUME WHEN IT APPLIED THE EARNINGS TEST TO THE BOARDMAN DEFERRAL?

5 A. The column (7) earnings of 9.47% are based on the reversal, *i.e.*, the assumption of a write-off, for several deferrals. The balances PGE assumes will be written-off in that calculation are detailed in **Table 1**, below.

**Table 1**PGE's Earnings Test Calculation, Before Boardman Deferral (\$000)

_	Pre-Tax NOI	NOI	Rate Base	ROE
Column (5) Results	426,787	378,140	5,037,526	10.40%
Write-off UM 1938 TE Pilots	(182)	(133)	-	
Results after UM 1938 TE Pilots Write-off	426,605	378,008	5,037,526	10.39%
Write-off UM 2003 EV Charging	(85)	(62)	-	
Results after UM 2003 EV Charging Write-off	426,520	377,945	5,037,526	10.39%
Write-off UM 2064 COVID Deferral	(10,239)	(7,475)	-	
Results after UM 2064 COVID Deferral Write-off	416,281	370,470	5,037,526	10.09%
Write-off UM 2078 Battery Storage	(18)	(13)	-	
Results after UM 2078 Battery Storage Write-off	416,263	370,457	5,037,526	10.09%
Write-off UM 2115 Wildfire Deferral	(15,460)	(11,288)	-	
Results after UM 2115 Wildfire Deferral Write-off	400,803	359,169	5,037,526	9.65%
Write-off Unspecified Adjustment	(6,000)	(4,381)	-	
Column (7) Results	394,803	354,788	5,037,526	9.47%

The column (5) earnings PGE reported assumes that PGE will recover all deferral balances that it recognized on its books for 2020. The underlying expense for booked deferrals are reserved and removed from 2020 results for later recovery. Thus, the results showing a 10.40% ROE assume that PGE will recover 100% of the deferrals tabulated in **Table 1**, above.

8

9

10

11

By reversing the deferrals in **Table 1**, PGE subsequently assumes that it will not be permitted to recover those balances.

#### 3 Q. DID PGE RECORD A REGULATORY ASSET FOR THE BOARDMAN DEFERRAL?

A. No. PGE did not record a reserve for the Boardman deferral in 2020 in its financial statements or its results of operations. Therefore, the column (5) results, with the 10.40% ROE, do not assume that any 2020 balances will be refunded for the UM 2119 Boardman deferral. PGE disclosed on its 2020 Form 10-K that "[a]s of December 31, 2021, PGE has not recorded a regulatory liability pursuant to [the Boardman] deferral application as the Company believes its current prices are just and reasonable in light of PGE's continued substantial investments in utility plant."<sup>5</sup>

# 11 Q. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE OTHER DEFERRALS PGE ASSUMES WILL BE WRITTEN-OFF?

The UM 2064 COVID-19 Emergency deferral has been approved, although amortization has not yet been authorized. An earnings test has not been performed for the COVID-19 Emergency deferral, nor has PGE written-off any balances in connection with the deferral. It is also unlikely that PGE will be required to write-off any of the COVID-19 Emergency deferral balances. In its April 22, 2022 Memo in UM 2064, Staff recommended "that an earnings test be applied only for the direct costs net of benefits." If Staff's recommendation is accepted, there will be no earnings test applied to indirect COVID-19 costs, and zero probability of a write-off of the COVID-19 Emergency deferral balances for 2020. The portion of the 2020 balance subject to an earnings test is a net credit to customers.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Α.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> PGE 2021 Form 10-K at 48.

<sup>6</sup> UM 2064, Staff Memo at 5 (April 22, 2022).

The 2020 balances for the UM 1938 Transportation Electrification Pilot and the UM 2003 Electric Vehicle Charging deferral were addressed in PGE's 2022 GRC. While PGE did not seek to amortize those balances in the 2022 GRC, PGE proposed that the balances continue to be deferred and included in Schedule 150 at a later date. As of this date, PGE has not sought amortization and no earnings test has been applied to the 2020 balances for these deferrals. Accordingly, PGE has not yet written-off any balances associated with these dockets.

The 2020 balances for the UM 2078 Energy Storage deferral were, like the Transportation Electrification deferrals, discussed in PGE's 2022 GRC. Like the Transportation Electrification Pilot, PGE proposed that the UM 2078 Energy Storage deferral balances continue to be deferred for later recovery in Schedule 138. As of this date, PGE has not sought amortization and no earnings test has been applied to the 2020 balances for these deferrals. Again, PGE has not yet written off any balances associated with these dockets.

Finally, the UM 2115 Wildfire deferral is being considered contemporaneously in UE 408. PGE is affirmatively proposing to write off \$15,460,222 in 2020 deferred balances based on the earnings test the Commission approved in PGE's 2022 GRC.

#### Q. WHAT WAS THE UNSPECIFIED ADJUSTMENT?

The \$6,000,000 unspecified adjustment was a hardcoded amount in PGE's results of operations. It was not supported by the specific deferral reversals in the back-up data PGE submitted for its Column (6) adjustments. On its face, this adjustment has the appearance of being a plug that would force PGE's column (7) earnings to be slightly less than the 9.50% earnings test threshold. Unless this amount can be tied to a specific deferral, which PGE is actually writing off, however, I recommend it be excluded from the earnings test calculation.

A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See UE 394, PGE/500, Bekkedahl–McFarland/15:16-16:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See UE 394, PGE/600, Klotz/5:13-6:11.

<sup>9</sup> See 2020 Results, Tab "p8&9 - Deferral Reversal", Cell "D39".

### 1 Q. WHAT AMOUNT OF THE UM 2064 COVID DEFERRAL MIGHT BE WRITTEN-OFF?

3 A. In Docket RE 186, PGE's quarterly COVID deferral report, it identified the following amounts

4 being deferred:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

**Table 2**PGE Indirect vs. Direct COVID-19 Emergency Deferral Costs<sup>10</sup>

Direct	
Direct O&M	2,633,771
Benefits	(2,764,171)
Total Direct	(130,400)
Indirect	
Bad Debt Expenses	7,663,306
Late Fee Revenue	2,389,460
Interest	137,161
Total Indirect	10,189,927
Total Covid	10,059,527

As can be seen, the indirect COVID costs were more than 100% of the total balance. The direct costs, net of benefits, on the other hand, were a net benefit to ratepayers. Staff proposed that an earnings test, and a potential write-off, only apply to the direct costs, net of benefits. Thus, while it has not yet been determined how these amounts will be recovered, under Staff's recommendation there is zero probability that PGE will be required to write-off the COVID-19 Emergency deferral balances. Regardless of how these amounts are ultimately handled, however, it is not appropriate in this docket to prejudge the potential application of an earnings test to those balances.

## Q. IS IT NECESSARY TO PERFORM EARNINGS TESTS SEQUENTIALLY?

14 A. Yes. When there are multiple deferrals under consideration for the same year, earnings tests

15 must, by definition, be performed in a sequence because a write-off of one deferral will impact

See RE 186, PGE March 2022 COVID Report at 1 (May 2, 2022). Note there are minor unreconciled differences between the amounts reported in PGE's Column (7) results and RE 186.

the earnings and earnings tests applicable to subsequent deferrals. Only one deferral can be considered at a time or else the earnings used for other deferrals will be inaccurate. Further, the order can impact which deferrals are written-off and can produce different levels of write-offs and/or refunds where there are different earnings thresholds.

#### 5 O. WHAT IS THE PROPER ORDERING OF DEFERRAL EARNINGS TESTS?

1

2

3

4

16

17

18

A. The ordering inherently must be the order that the deferrals are presented to the Commission for amortization. It is improper to prejudge the outcome of future proceedings. Given the evidentiary process, it would be improper to make assumptions about the application of earnings tests to deferrals in other dockets, which the Commission has not yet evaluated. It would also be improper to assume that a balance is being written off if it is not actually being written off.

# 12 Q. WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE EARNINGS TEST APPLICATION RELATED TO THE BOARDMAN DEFERRAL?

14 A. The appropriate earnings test application for the Boardman deferral is detailed in **Table 3**, below.

Table 3
Corrected 2020 Earnings Test For Boardman Deferral Refund

	Pre-Tax NOI	NOI	Rate Base	ROE
Column (5) Results	426,787	378,140	5,037,526	10.40%
Write-off UM 2115 Wildfire Deferral	(15,460)	(11,288)	-	
Results after UM 2115 Wildfire Deferral Write-off	411,327	366,853	5,037,526	9.95%
Refund UM 2119 Boardman Deferral	(13,914)	(10,159)	-	
Results after Boardman Deferral Refund	397,413	356,694	5,037,526	9.55%

Starting with column (5) results, the 2020 UM 2115 Wildfire deferral is written off, which reduces PGE's ROE to 9.95%. The entire balance of the UM 2119 Boardman deferral of \$13,913,955 is subsequently written off, yielding an ROE of 9.55%. Since the ROE after

the Boardman deferral refund still exceeds the earnings test threshold of 9.5%, it is necessary for PGE to refund the entire amount.

# 3 Q. WILL PGE STILL BE REQUIRED TO WRITE OFF OTHER DEFERRALS IN ADDITION TO THE BOARDMAN DEFERRAL?

- 5 A. While not being considered in this docket, the 2020 balances for the UM 1938 Transportation Electrification Pilot deferral, the UM 2003 Electric Vehicle Charging deferral, and the UM 6 7 2078 Battery Storage deferral would all be subject to a write-off, assuming the Commission 8 established a 9.5% earnings threshold to those balances. While it is possible the Commission 9 will make a different determination with respect to those balances, I have detailed that 10 calculation in **Exhibit AWEC/102**. Further, the exhibit demonstrates that even if the balances 11 were to be written off prior to the 2020 Boardman deferral, the entire amount of the 2020 12 Boardman deferral would still be refunded to ratepayers under the established earnings test.
- 13 Q. IS IT APPROPRIATE TO ACCRUE INTEREST ON THE BOARDMAN REFUND AMOUNT?
- 15 A. Yes. In **Exhibit AWEC/103**, I calculate interest on the 2020 balance, accrued at PGE's

  16 authorized cost of capital through January 1, 2023. During the amortization period, however,

  17 interest is calculated at the modified blended treasury rate. While the interest accruals are not

  18 considered in the earnings test application, they are not to be overlooked. It is appropriate to

  19 ensure that carrying charges are accrued in a consistent manner for all applicable deferrals.
- 20 Q. HOW DO YOU RECOMMEND AMORTIZING THE 2020 BOARDMAN DEFERRAL REFUND?
- A. I recommend sinking-fund amortization, at the modified blended treasury rate over a one-year period. If a longer-term amortization is selected, however, I recommend using a longer-term treasury rate, corresponding to the amortization period, plus 100 basis points.
- 25 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR OPENING TESTIMONY?
- 26 A. Yes.

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**UE 410** 

In the Matter of	)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	)
Application Regarding Amortization of Boardman Deferral.	)

# **EXHIBIT AWEC/101**

QUALIFICATION STATEMENT OF BRADLEY G. MULLINS



#### **Brad Mullins**

Principal Consultant Lummintie 13 FIN-90460 Oulunsalo, Finland USA +1 503 841-1465 brmullins@mwanalytics.com www.mwanalytics.com

#### ABOUT

MW Analytics is the professional consulting practice of Brad Mullins, a consultant and expert witness that represents utility customers in regulatory proceedings before state utility regulators. Brad has sponsored expert witness testimony in over 90 regulatory proceeding encompassing various subject matters, including revenue requirement, regulatory accounting, rate development, and new resource additions. MW Analytics has also assisted clients with informal regulatory, legislative and energy policy matters. In addition to providing regulatory services, MW Analytics also provides advisory, energy marketing and other energy consulting services.

#### EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE

Brad has a Master of Accounting degree from the University of Utah. After obtaining his master's degree, Brad worked as a Tax Consultant at Deloitte Tax in San Jose, California, specialized in research and development credits and deductions. Following Deloitte, Brad worked at PacifiCorp Energy as a Net Power Cost Analyst, responsible for preparing power cost forecasts, preparing testimony for regulatory filings, preparing annual power cost deferral filings, and developing qualifying facility avoided cost calculations.

#### REGULATORY APPEARANCES

Brad has sponsored expert witness testimony in the following regulatory proceedings:

Docket	Party	Topics
Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for authority to adjust its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of electric customers and for relief properly related thereto, PUC Nv. Docket No. 22-06014.	Smart Energy Alliance and Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC	Revenue Requirement
In re PacifiCorp 2021 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 404.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re the Application of Dominion Energy Utah to Increase Distribution Rates and Charges and Make Tariff Modifications Ut.PSC Docket No. 22-057-03	Nucor Steel-Utah	Cost of Service, Rate Spread and Rate Design
In re Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("NPC") and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy ("SPPC") for approval to merge into a single corporate entity, to transfer Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPC") 685 Sub 20, 688, and 688 Sub 6 from SPPC to NPC, and to consolidate generation assets, PUC Nv. Docket No. 22-03028.	Wynn Las Vegas, LLC and Smart Energy Alliance	Merger
In re Puget Sound Energy Requests for a General Rate Revision, Wa.UTC Docket. UE-	Alliance of Western	Revenue
220026 (cons.).	Energy Consumers	Requirement
In re Northwest Natural Gas Company, dba, NW Natural, Updated Depreciation Study Pursuant to OAR 860-027-0350, Or.PUC Docket No. UM 2214.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Depreciation
In re Portland General Electric Company, 2023 Annual Update Tariff, Schedule 125, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 402.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re PacifiCorp d.b.a Pacific Power, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC Docket	Alliance of Western	Revenue
No. UE 399.	Energy Consumers	Requirement
In re the Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of the cost recovery of the regulatory assets relating to the development and implementation of their Joint Natural Disaster Protection Plan., PUC NV. Docket No. 22-03006.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Single-Issue Rate Filing



Docket	Party	Topics
In re PacifiCorp d.b.a. Pacific Power, 2023 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 400.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Request for a General Rate Revision, Wa.UTC  Docket No. UG-210755	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement / Cost of Service
In re Northwest Natural Gas Company, dba NW Natural, Request for A General Rate Revision, Or.PUC. Docket No. UG 435	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement / Cost of Service
In re Formal Complaint of Tree Top Inc. against Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Wa.UTC Docket No. UG-210745	Tree Top, Inc.	Overrun Entitlement
In re Northwest Natural Gas Company, dba NW Natural, Request for Approval of an Affiliated Interest Agreement with Lexington Renewables, LLC, Or.PUC. Docket No. UI 451.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Affiliated Interest
In re Avista Corporation, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC Docket No. UG 433	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement / Cost of Service
In re PacifiCorp Power Cost Only Rate Case, Wa.UTC Docket No. UE-210402.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re PacifiCorp Limited Issue Rate Filing, Wa.UTC Docket No. UE-210532.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement / Settlement
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges in Idaho and Approval of Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Regulations, Id.PUC Case No. PAC-E-21-07.	PacifiCorp Idaho Industrial Customers	Revenue Requirement / Settlement
In re Portland General Electric, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 394.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their Economic Recovery Transportation  Electrification Plan for the period 2022-2024, PUC Nv. Docket No. 21-09004	Nevada Resort Association	Transportation Electrification
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2020 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 392.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Decrease Current Rates by \$14.9 Million to Refund Deferred Net Power Costs Under Tariff Schedule 95 Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism and to Decrease Current Rates by \$166 Thousand Under Tariff Schedule 93, REC and SO2 Revenue Adjustment Mechanism, Wy.PSC Docket No. 20000-599-EM-21.	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re Portland General Electric 2021 Annual Update Tariff Schedule 125, Or. PUC Docket No. UE 391.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of a regulatory asset account to recover costs relating to the development and implementation of their Joint Natural Disaster Protection Plan, PUC NV. Docket No. 21-03004.	Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Smart Energy Alliance	Single-Issue Rate Filing
In re PacifiCorp d.b.a. Pacific Power, 2022 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 390.	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re Avista 2020 General Rate Case, Wa.U.T.C. Docket No. UE-200900 (Cons.).	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement
In re NV Energy's Fourth Amendment to Its 2018 Joint Integrated Resource Plan, PUC Nv. Docket No 20-07023.	Wynn Las Vegas, LLC; Smart Energy Alliance	Transmission Planning
In Re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, 2020 General Rate Case, Wa.U.T.C. Docket No. UG-200568	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Revenue Requirement
In re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Petition to File Depreciation Study, Or.PUC Docket No. UM 2073	Alliance of Western Energy Consumers	Depreciation Rates
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Current Rates By \$7.4 Million to Recover Deferred Net Power Costs Under Tariff Schedule 95 Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism and to Decrease Current Rates by \$604 Thousand Under Tariff Schedule 93, Rec and So2 Revenue Adjustment Mechanism, Wy.PSC Docket No. 20000-582-EM-20	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re the Complaint of Willamette Falls Paper Company and West Linn Paper Company	Willamette Falls Paper	Consumer Direct Access, Tariff
against Portland General Electric Company, Or.PUC Docket No. UM 2107	Company	Dispute



Docket	Party	Topics
Cost Adjustment Mechanism, and to Discontinue Operations at Cholla Unit 4, Wy.PSC		
Docket No. 2000-578-ER-20	PO 100	Revenue
Avista Corporation 2021 General Rate Case, Or.PUC Docket No. UG 389	Alliance of Western	Requirement, Rate
Avista Corporation 2021 General Rate Case, Of FOC Docket No. OG 389	Energy Consumers	
	S.%.	Design
In an NIW Notice I Demonst for a Comment Beth Demoising On DIAC Deplet No. 11C 288	Alliance of Western	Revenue
In re NW Natural Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC Docket No. UG 388.	Energy Consumers	Requirement, Rate
	3735	Design
In re PacifiCorp, Request to Initiate an Investigation of Multi-Jurisdictional Issues and	Alliance of Western	Jurisdictional
Approve an Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol, Or.PUC, UM 1050.	Energy Consumers	Allocation
	Alliance of Western	Revenue
In re Puget Sound Energy 2019 General Rate Case, Wa.UTC Docket No. UE 190529.	Energy Consumers	Requirement, Coal
659 239	Energy Consumers	Retirement Costs
	Alliance of Western	Revenue
Avista Corporation 2020 General Rate Case, Wa.UTC Docket No. UE-190334 (Cons.)	Energy Consumers	Requirement, Rate
	Energy Consumers	Design
In re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation Application for Approval of a Safety Cost Recovery	Alliance of Western	D-41 D-1:
Mechanism, Or. PUC Docket No. UM 2026.	Energy Consumers	Ratemaking Policy
	4.11' CYY	Revenue
In re Avista Corporation, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC Docket No. UG 366.	Alliance of Western	Requirement, Rate
and the political residence of the political res	Energy Consumers	Design
In re Portland General Electric, 2020 Annual Update Tariff (Schedule 125), Or.PUC Docket	Alliance of Western	
No UE 359.	Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
	Alliance of Western	
In re PacifiCorp 2020 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC Docket No. UE 356.	Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
		C'1- T D-4-
In re PacifiCorp 2020 Renewable Adjustment Clause, Or PUC Docket No. UE 352.	Alliance of Western	Single-Issue Rate
9 ( <del>42</del> )	Energy Consumers	Filing
2020 Joint Power and Transmission Rate Proceeding, Bonneville Power Administration, Case		Revenue
No. BP-20.	Energy Consumers	Requirement, Policy
In the Matter of the Application of MSG Las Vegas, LLC for a Proposed Transaction with a	Madison Square Garden	Customer Direct
Provider of New Electric Resources, PUC Nv. Docket No. 18-10034	Transport adjust a curum	Access
Puget Sound Energy 2018 Expedited Rate Filing, Wa.UTC Dockets UE-180899/UG-180900	Alliance of Western	Revenue
(Cons.).	Energy Consumers	Requirement,
• AL 19000 • • C.	Energy Consumers	Settlement
Georgia Pacific Gypsum LLC's Application to Purchase Energy, Capacity, and/or Ancillary	Georgia Pacific	Customer Direct
Services from a Provider of New Electric Resources, PUC Nv. Docket No. 18-09015.	Georgia i acine	Access
Joint Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for approval of their 2018-		
2038 Triennial Integrated Resource Plan and 2019-2021 Energy Supply Plan, PUCN Docket	Smart Energy Alliance	Resource Planning
No. 18-06003.		
I - C1 N + 1 C - C+i - D+f - C1 D + Di - O- DUC D-1 +	Alliance of Western	Revenue
In re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC, Docket		Requirement, Rate
No. UE 347.	Energy Consumers	Design
I DA 10 1EL CO D CO 1D D CO	A 11'	Revenue
In re Portland General Electric Company Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC	Alliance of Western	Requirement, Rate
Docket No UE 335.	Energy Consumers	Design
		Revenue
In re Northwest Natural Gas Company, dba NW Natural, Request for a General Rate	Alliance of Western	Requirement, Rate
Revision, Or.PUC Docket No. UG 344.	Energy Consumers	Design
	2 72 727	Revenue
In re Cascade Natural Gas Corporation Request for a General Rate Revision, Wa.UTC,	Northwest Industrial Gas	Requirement, Rate
Docket No. UE-170929.	Users	Design
In the Matter of Hydro One Limited, Application for Authorization to Exercise Substantial	5053.8 BH300400	Design
Influence over the Policies and Actions of Avista Corporation, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM	Alliance of Western	Mongan
1897.	Energy Consumers	Merger
	Heat Industrial Press	
Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of a Significant Energy Resource	Utah Industrial Energy	New Resource
D'' 11/1 / D / C / 1 / D D '' 17/ DOOD 1 11/1	Consumers, & Utah	Addition
	Associated Energy Users	
035-40		
035-40 In re PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power, for a CPCN and Binding Ratemaking	PacifiCorp Idaho	New Resource
035-40 In re PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power, for a CPCN and Binding Ratemaking Treatment for New Wind and Transmission Facilities, Id.PUC Case No. PAC-E-17-07	PacifiCorp Idaho Industrial Customers	New Resource Addition
Decision and Voluntary Request for Approval of Resource Decision, Ut.PSC Docket No. 17-035-40  In re PacifiCorp, dba Rocky Mountain Power, for a CPCN and Binding Ratemaking Treatment for New Wind and Transmission Facilities, Id.PUC Case No. PAC-E-17-07 In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 327.	PacifiCorp Idaho	



Docket	Party	Topics
In re PacifiCorp 2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Wa.UTC Docket No. UE-170717	Boise Whitepaper, LLC	Power Cost Deferral
In re Avista Corporation 2018 General Rate Case, Wa.UTC Dockets UE-170485 and UG-170486 (Consolidated).	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, & Northwest Industrial Gas Users	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for authority to adjust its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of electric customers and for relief properly related thereto, PUCN. Docket No. 17-06003.	Smart Energy Alliance	Revenue Requirement
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Decrease Current Rates by \$15.7 Million to Refund Deferred Net Power Costs Under Tariff Schedule 95 Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism and to Decrease Current Rates By \$528 Thousand Under Tariff Schedule 93, REC and SO2 Revenue Adjustment Mechanism. Wy. PSC, Docket No. 20000-514-EA-17 (Record No. 14696).	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re the 2018 General Rate Case of Puget Sound Energy, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-170033 (Cons.).	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, & Northwest Industrial Gas Users	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2018 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 323.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 319.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re Portland General Electric Company, Application for Transportation Electrification Programs, Or.PUC, UM 1811.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Electric Vehicle Charging
In re Pacific Power & Light Company, Application for Transportation Electrification Programs, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1810.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Single-issue Ratemaking
In re the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Investigation to Examine PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power's Non-Standard Avoided Cost Pricing, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1802.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Qualifying Facilities
In re Pacific Power & Light Co., Revisions to Tariff WN U-75, Advice No. 16-05, to modify the Company's existing tariffs governing permanent disconnection and removal procedures, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-161204.	Boise Whitepaper, LLC	Customer Direct Access
In re Puget Sound Energy's Revisions to Tariff WN U-60, Adding Schedule 451, Implementing a New Retail Wheeling Service, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-161123.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Customer Direct Access
2018 Joint Power and Transmission Rate Proceeding, Bonneville Power Administration, Case No. BP-18.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Policy
In re Portland General Electric Company Application for Approval of Sale of Harborton Restoration Project Property, Or.PUC, Docket No. UP 334 (Cons.).	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Environmental Deferral
In re An Investigation of Policies Related to Renewable Distributed Electric Generation, Ar.PSC, Matter No. 16-028-U.	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Net Metering
In re Net Metering and the Implementation of Act 827 of 2015, Ar.PSC, Matter No. 16-027-R.	Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers	Net Metering
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of the 2016 Energy Balancing Account, Ut.PSC, Docket No. 16-035-01	Utah Associated Energy Users	Power Cost Deferral
In re Avista Corporation Request for a General Rate Revision, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-160228 (Cons.).	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, & Northwest Industrial Gas Users	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Decrease Current Rates by \$2.7 Million to Recover Deferred Net Power Costs Pursuant to Tariff Schedule 95 and to Increase Rates by \$50 Thousand Pursuant to Tariff Schedule 93, Wy.PSC, Docket No. 20000-292-EA-16.	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2017 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 307.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Portland General Electric Company, 2017 Annual Power Cost Update Tariff (Schedule 125), Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 308.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Pacific Power & Light Company, General rate increase for electric services, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-152253.	Boise Whitepaper, LLC	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In The Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority of a General Rate Increase in Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Wyoming of \$32.4 Million Per Year or 4.5 Percent, Wy.PSC, Docket No. 20000-469-ER-15.	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling



Docket	Party	Topics
<u>In re Avista Corporation, General Rate Increase for Electric Services.</u> Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-150204.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power to Decrease Rates by \$17.6 Million to Recover Deferred Net Power Costs Pursuant to Tariff Schedule 95 to Decrease Rates by \$4.7 Million Pursuant to Tariff Schedule 93, Wy.PSC, Docket No. 20000-472-EA-15.	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Deferral
Formal complaint of The Walla Walla Country Club against Pacific Power & Light Company for refusal to provide disconnection under Commission-approved terms and fees, as mandated under Company tariff rules, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-143932.	Columbia Rural Electric Association	Customer Direct Access / Customer Choice
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2016 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 296.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 294.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re Portland General Electric Company and PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power, Request for Generic Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Investigation, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1662.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Deferral
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, Application for Approval of Deer Creek Mine Transaction, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1712.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Single-issue Ratemaking
In re Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Investigation to Explore Issues Related to a Renewable Generator's Contribution to Capacity, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1719.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Resource Planning
In re Portland General Electric Company, Application for Deferral Accounting of Excess Pension Costs and Carrying Costs on Cash Contributions, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1623.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Single-issue Ratemaking
2016 Joint Power and Transmission Rate Proceeding, Bonneville Power Administration, Case No. BP-16.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Policy
In re Puget Sound Energy, Petition to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of Service and for Electric Rate Design Purposes, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-141368.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Cost of Service
In re Pacific Power & Light Company, Request for a General Rate Revision Resulting in an Overall Price Change of 8.5 Percent, or \$27.2 Million, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-140762.	Boise Whitepaper, LLC	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re Puget Sound Energy, Revises the Power Cost Rate in WN U-60, Tariff G, Schedule 95, to reflect a decrease of \$9,554,847 in the Company's overall normalized power supply costs, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-141141.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Wyoming Approximately \$36.1 Million Per Year or 5.3 Percent, Wy.PSC, Docket No. 20000-446-ER-14.	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers	Power Cost Modeling
In re Avista Corporation, General Rate Increase for Electric Services, RE, Tariff WN U-28, Which Proposes an Overall Net Electric Billed Increase of 5.5 Percent Effective January 1, 2015, Wa.UTC, Docket No. UE-140188.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design, Power Costs
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, Application for Deferred Accounting and Prudence Determination Associated with the Energy Imbalance Market, Or.PUC, Docket No. UM 1689.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Single-issue Ratemaking
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2015 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 287.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 283.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Revenue Requirement, Rate Design
In re Portland General Electric Company's Net Variable Power Costs (NVPC) and Annual Power Cost Update (APCU), Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 286.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Power Cost Modeling
In re Portland General Electric Company 2014 Schedule 145 Boardman Power Plant Operating Adjustment, Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 281.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Coal Retirement
In re PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt- Out (adopting testimony of Donald W. Schoenbeck), Or.PUC, Docket No. UE 267.	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Customer Direct Access

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

# **UE 410**

In the Matter of	)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	)
Application Regarding Amortization of Boardman Deferral.	)

# **EXHIBIT AWEC/102**

# **UM 2119 BOARDMAN DEFERRAL EARNINGS TEST**

UM 2119 Boardman Deferral Earnings Test (\$000)

		Revenue Requirement @ Authorized ROE			Earnings Impact of Write-Offs / Refunds				
				Rev. Req.		Pre-Tax			Rev. Req.
		Net Oper.		Def. /		Net Oper.	Net Oper.		Def. /
Line	Description	Income	Rate Base	(Suf.)	ROE %	Income	Income	Rate Base	(Suf.)
1 2	Apr. 29. 2022 Revised Results Column (5)	\$378,140	\$5,037,526	(32,103)	10.40%				
Writ	e-Offs / Refunds								
3	Wildfire Deferral	\$366,853	\$5,037,526	(16,134)	9.95%	(15,460)	(11,288)	-	15,969
4	Boardman Deferral Refund	\$356,694	\$5,037,526	(1,763)	9.55%	(13,914)	(10,159)	-	14,372
5	Adjusted Results After Boardman Refund	\$356,694	\$5,037,526	(1,763)	9.55% *	(29,374)	(21,447)	-	30,341
Othe	er Necessary Write-Offs / Refunds								
6	UM 1938 TE Pilots	\$356,561	\$5,037,526	(1,575)	9.54%	(182)	(133)	-	188
7	UM 2003 EV Charging	\$356,499	\$5,037,526	(1,487)	9.54%	(85)	(62)	-	88
8	UM 2078 Battery Storage	\$356,486	\$5,037,526	(1,468)	9.54%	(18)	(13)	-	18

<sup>\*</sup>Exceeds 9.5% earnings threshold; therefore no earnings test deduction required.

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

**UE 410** 

In the Matter of	)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	)
Application Regarding Amortization of Boardman Deferral.	)

# **EXHIBIT AWEC/103**

**BOARDMAN 2020 DEFERRAL BALANCE PLUS INTEREST** 

1	Depreciation Expense	AWEC DR 32	29,209,000
2	Labor O&M	1	11,674,504
3	Non-Labor O&M	\	17,645,453
4	Property Taxes	([8]+[9])*1.45%	522,387
5	Tax Benefit of Int.	-[12]*[18]*50%*[20]	(388,421)
6	Tax Expense	Sum([1]:[4])*[20]	(16,033,217)
7	<b>Net Operating Income</b>	Sum([1]:[6])	42,629,706
8	Gross Plant	AWEC DR 32	515,948,390
9	Accumulated Depreciation	AWEC DK 32	(479,921,727)
10	Accumulated Deferred Tax	1	14,434,882
11	Fuel Stock	1	5,639,588
12	Total Rate Base	Sum([8]:[11])	56,101,133
12	Total Rate Dase	Sum([0].[11])	20,101,133
13	Required Return	[12]*[19]	4,095,383
14	Post-Tax Rev. Req.	[13]+[7]	46,725,088
15	Pre-Tax Rev. Req. / Year	[14]/[21]	66,261,494
16	Cost of Conital		
16	Cost of Capital:	LIE 225 1-4 Calment	9.50%
17	Equity Debt	UE 335 1st Stlmnt.	
18		l ,	5.10%
19	Cost of Capital @ 50/50	\	7.30%
20	Tax Rate	UE 335 Wrkpprs.	0.2715
21	Revenue Conversion Factor	\	0.7052

Month	Beg.Balance	Accural	Amortization	Interest Rate	Interest	Ending Balance
10/1/2020	-	2,760,896		7.30%	8,398	2,769,293
11/1/2020	2,769,293	5,521,791		7.30%		8,324,726
12/1/2020	8,324,726	5,521,791		7.30%		13,913,955
1/1/2021	13,913,955			7.30%		13,998,598
2/1/2021	13,998,598			7.30%		14,083,756
3/1/2021	14,083,756			7.30%	85,676	14,169,433
4/1/2021	14,169,433			7.30%	86,197	14,255,630
5/1/2021	14,255,630			7.30%	86,722	14,342,352
6/1/2021	14,342,352			7.30%	87,249	14,429,601
7/1/2021	14,429,601			7.30%	87,780	14,517,381
8/1/2021	14,517,381			7.30%	88,314	14,605,695
9/1/2021	14,605,695			7.30%	88,851	14,694,547
10/1/2021	14,694,547			7.30%	89,392	14,783,938
11/1/2021	14,783,938			7.30%	89,936	14,873,874
12/1/2021	14,873,874			7.30%	90,483	14,964,357
1/1/2022	14,964,357			7.30%	91,033	15,055,390
2/1/2022	15,055,390			7.30%	91,587	15,146,977
3/1/2022	15,146,977			7.30%	92,144	15,239,121
4/1/2022	15,239,121			7.30%	92,705	15,331,826
5/1/2022	15,331,826			7.30%	27,078	15,358,904
5/9/2022	15,358,904			6.81%	61,879	15,420,783
6/1/2022	15,420,783			6.81%	87,545	15,508,328
7/1/2022	15,508,328			6.81%	88,042	15,596,370
8/1/2022	15,596,370			6.81%	88,542	15,684,912
9/1/2022	15,684,912			6.81%	89,045	15,773,957
10/1/2022	15,773,957			6.81%	89,550	15,863,507
11/1/2022	15,863,507			6.81%	90,058	15,953,565
12/1/2022	15,953,565			6.81%	90,570	16,044,135
1/1/2023	16,044,135		(1,350,229)	1.82%	24,334	14,718,240
2/1/2023	14,718,240		(1,350,229)	1.82%		13,390,334
3/1/2023	13,390,334		(1,350,229)	1.82%		12,060,414
4/1/2023	12,060,414		(1,350,229)	1.82%	18,292	10,728,477
5/1/2023	10,728,477		(1,350,229)	1.82%	16,272	9,394,520
6/1/2023	9,394,520		(1,350,229)	1.82%	14,248	8,058,540
7/1/2023	8,058,540		(1,350,229)	1.82%		6,720,534
8/1/2023	6,720,534		(1,350,229)	1.82%		5,380,498
9/1/2023	5,380,498		(1,350,229)	1.82%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,038,430
10/1/2023	4,038,430		(1,350,229)	1.82%		2,694,326
11/1/2023	2,694,326		(1,350,229)	1.82%		1,348,184
12/1/2023	1,348,184		(1,350,229)	1.82%	2,045	0
1/1/2024	0					

Total Amortization / Year (16,202,743)

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

# **UE 410**

In the Matter of	)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	)
Application Regarding Amortization of Boardman Deferral.	) ) )

# **EXHIBIT AWEC/104**

# PGE'S FLAWED EARNINGS TEST CALCULATION

PGE Proposed UM 2119 Boardman Deferral Earnings Test (\$000)

	Revenue Requirement @ Authorized ROE				Impact of Write-Offs / Refunds				
		Net Oper.		Rev. Req. Def. /		Pre-Tax Net Oper.	Net Oper.		Rev. Req. Def. /
Line	Description	Income	Rate Base	(Suf.)	ROE %	Income	Income	Rate Base	(Suf.)
1	Apr. 29. 2022 Revised Results								
2	Column (5)	\$378,140	\$5,037,526	(32,103.247)	10.40%				
PGE	Assumed Write-Offs								
3	UM 1938 TE Pilots*	\$378,008	\$5,037,526	(31,915)	10.39%	(182)	(133)	-	188
4	UM 2003 EV Charging*	\$377,945	\$5,037,526	(31,827)	10.39%	(85)	(62)	-	88
5	UM 2064 COVID Deferral*	\$370,470	\$5,037,526	(21,252)	10.09%	(10,239)	(7,475)	-	10,575
6	UM 2078 Battery Storage*	\$370,457	\$5,037,526	(21,233)	10.09%	(18)	(13)	-	18
7	UM 2115 Wildfire Deferral	\$359,169	\$5,037,526	(5,265)	9.65%	(15,460)	(11,288)	-	15,969
8	Unspecified Adjustment*	\$354,788	\$5,037,526	933	9.47%	(6,000)	(4,381)		6,197
9	Adjusted Results Before Boardman Refund	\$354,788	\$5,037,526	933	9.47%	(31,984)	(23,352)	-	33,036
10	UM 2119 Boardman Deferral Refund	\$344,630	\$5,037,526	15,304	9.07%	(13,914)	(10,159)	-	15,304

<sup>\*</sup>These amounts are not actually being written off. Therefore it was inappropriate to include them as a write-off in the earnings test calculation