



**Portland General Electric Company**  
121 SW Salmon Street • 1WTC0306 • Portland, OR 97204  
portlandgeneral.com

January 20, 2022

***Via Electronic Filing***

Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
Attention: Filing Center  
P.O. Box 1088  
Salem, OR 97308-1088

RE: UE 394 – In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing today in the above-referenced docket is an Errata to Joint Testimony in Support of a Partial Stipulation originally filed on January 18, 2022.

Upon further review, the Parties have identified the following error to be corrected:

In Stipulation Parties Exhibit 300, on page Muldoon – Gehrke – Mullins – Bieber – Chriss – Steele – Ferchland / 5 at line 1, Stipulating Parties misidentified the \$10.0 million non-NVPC revenue requirement increase as a “reduction.” A corrected version of page 5 is attached in both redline and clean format. This misstatement does not impact Exhibits 301, 302 or 303.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (503) 464-7488. Please direct all formal correspondence and requests to the following e-mail address:

[pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com](mailto:pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com).

Sincerely,  
Jaki Ferchland  
Manager, Revenue Requirement

CC: UE 394 service list

1 resolved that, along with previous stipulations, result in a by the \$10.0 million increase  
2 reduction to non-NVPC revenue requirement are listed in the Stipulation. The five specific  
3 revenue requirement issues raised by parties in testimony and excluded from the Third Partial  
4 Stipulation are described below.

5 In addition to resolving non-NVPC revenue requirement issues, the Third Partial  
6 Stipulation resolves an issue raised by CUB related to customer deposits for residential  
7 customers, issues raised by Staff and AWEC related to load forecasting and resolves issues  
8 related to PGE's Decoupling Mechanism.

9 **Q. Which five revenue requirement issues are not resolved by the Third Partial Stipulation?**

10 A. First, Parties will continue litigating the availability of the Fee Free Bank Card  
11 program for commercial customers. Second, Parties will continue litigating the \$3.0 million  
12 wildfire mitigation and vegetation management holdback as it relates to the mechanism  
13 proposed by Staff. Parties agree that, should the Commission deem the \$3.0 million holdback  
14 appropriate this would reduce PGE's non-NVPC increase on the rate effective date from \$10.0  
15 million to \$7.0 million.

16 Third, Parties agree that this settlement does not constitute any agreement among the  
17 Parties that PGE is allowed to recover expenses associated with Amazon Pay that may be in  
18 excess of the per-transaction costs associated with other digital wallet payment options for  
19 customers.

20 Fourth, Parties will continue to litigate whether PGE has appropriately funded the Trojan  
21 Nuclear Decommissioning Trust.

22 Finally, Parties will continue to litigate whether the Commission should determine the  
23 appropriate ratemaking treatment for the Faraday Repowering Project in this case, a

1 resolved that, along with previous stipulations, result in a \$10.0 million increase to non-NVPC  
2 revenue requirement are listed in the Stipulation. The five specific revenue requirement issues  
3 raised by parties in testimony and excluded from the Third Partial Stipulation are described  
4 below.

5 In addition to resolving non-NVPC revenue requirement issues, the Third Partial  
6 Stipulation resolves an issue raised by CUB related to customer deposits for residential  
7 customers, issues raised by Staff and AWEC related to load forecasting and resolves issues  
8 related to PGE's Decoupling Mechanism.

9 **Q. Which five revenue requirement issues are not resolved by the Third Partial Stipulation?**

10 A. First, Parties will continue litigating the availability of the Fee Free Bank Card  
11 program for commercial customers. Second, Parties will continue litigating the \$3.0 million  
12 wildfire mitigation and vegetation management holdback as it relates to the mechanism  
13 proposed by Staff. Parties agree that, should the Commission deem the \$3.0 million holdback  
14 appropriate this would reduce PGE's non-NVPC increase on the rate effective date from \$10.0  
15 million to \$7.0 million.

16 Third, Parties agree that this settlement does not constitute any agreement among the  
17 Parties that PGE is allowed to recover expenses associated with Amazon Pay that may be in  
18 excess of the per-transaction costs associated with other digital wallet payment options for  
19 customers.

20 Fourth, Parties will continue to litigate whether PGE has appropriately funded the Trojan  
21 Nuclear Decommissioning Trust.

22 Finally, Parties will continue to litigate whether the Commission should determine the  
23 appropriate ratemaking treatment for the Faraday Repowering Project in this case, a