May 3, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL
PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 2148
Salem, OR 97308-2148
Re: UE 226 - Idaho Power Company's 2010 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up
Attention Filing Center:
Enclosed in the above-referenced docket are an original and five copies of Idaho Power Company's Supplemental Testimony and Exhibits of Courtney Waites.

A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached certificate of service. Please contact me with any questions.

Very truly yours,
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IDAHO POWER COMPANY
SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
COURTNEY WAITES

May 3, 2011
Q. Please state your name and business address.
A. My name is Courtney Waites. My business address is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise, Idaho 83702.
Q. Are you the same Courtney Waites that previously filed direct testimony in this matter?
A. Yes.
Q. What is the scope and purpose of your supplemental direct

## testimony?

A. My supplemental direct testimony will revise the calculation of the Annual Power Supply Expense True-up with use of the 2010 Oregon Results of Operations ("ROO") report to determine the deferral deadbands and the Earnings Test components. However, as I will show later in my testimony, this revision will not change the deferral amount proposed to be added to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account"). With this testimony I am also filing revised Exhibit Nos. 201, 202, 203 and 204.
Q. Please explain the revision you are making.
A. Order No. 09-373 clarifies which year's ROO report should be relied upon in calculating the deferral deadbands and the Earnings Test components of the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism. As directed in Order 09-373, the Company's initial February filing included the quantification of the dollar balance proposed to be added to the True-Up Balancing Account based on the 2009 ROO report. The results represented a preliminary estimate at the time, with this final determination being filed now that the 2010 ROO report has been completed.
Q. What are the power supply expense deadbands based on the 2010 ROO report?
A. Using the Company's authorized ROE from its last general rate case and the Company's 2010 Oregon rate base of $\$ 114,274,727$, the Upper Deadband of 250 basis points equals $\$ 2,357,800$ and the Lower Band of 125 Basis Points equals a negative $\$ 948,425$ (see Exhibit No. 202).
Q. Does this include the one-time modification to the Deadband approved in Order No. 10-191 and described in you direct testimony?
A. Yes. The Power Supply Expense Deadband calculation includes an increase to the Upper Deadband of $\$ 153,650$ and a reduction of $\$ 153,650$ to the Lower Deadband.
Q. Does the use of the 2010 ROO report impact any other areas of the initial filing?
A. Yes. In addition to changing the deadbands, the 2010 ROO report changes the Oregon allocation percentage used to calculate Oregon's share of the excess net power supply expenses. Exhibit No. 201, the Oregon PCAM Quantification for 2010 , reflects the revised deadbands and the revised Oregon allocation percentage. The Oregon allocation percentage is also used to calculate Oregon customers' emission sales benefits. Using the Oregon allocation percentage from the 2010 ROO report slightly decreases the total customer benefit of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Allowance sales to $\$ 23,073$ (see Exhibit No. 203).
Q. Do the corrections you describe above change the amount you proposed be added to the True-up Balancing Account?
A. No. Adding the customer benefit of $\$ 23,073$ from the $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Allowance sales to the Oregon Allocated Power Cost Deviation of negative $\$ 459,419$ still leaves an amount above the Lower Deadband of negative $\$ 948,425$; therefore the dollar amount to be considered to add to the True-Up Balancing Account is zero.
Q. In your initial filing you proposed using the same methodology approved to calculate the proceeds from the sale of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Allowances for the calculation of the proceeds from the sale of RECs in 2010. Does the use of the 2010 ROO report impact the calculation of the REC proceeds?
A. Yes. The Oregon allocation percentage is also used to calculate Oregon customers' REC sale benefits. Using the Oregon allocation percentage from the 2010 ROO report slightly decreases the total customer benefit of REC sales to $\$ 187,912$ (see Exhibit No. 204). However, since the time of our initial filing, the Commission issued Order No. 11-086, which changed the way the Company treats the REC proceeds.
Q. Please describe how REC proceeds are to be treated?
A. Rather than offsetting the Oregon Allocated Power Cost Deviation by the amount of the REC proceeds as originally proposed by the Company, the Commission's Order No. 11-086 directs the Company to apply net REC proceeds "as a credit to the Annual Power Cost Supply Expense True-up Balancing Account as part of the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM)" after all variances including deadbands and earnings review. While the Commission's order references the PCAM, the Company believes that it is the Commission's intent that the Company apply net REC proceeds to the power cost deferral currently in amortization, which is related to 2007 excess power costs. Further, Idaho Power representatives confirmed via telephonic conversation that the Commission Staff is in agreement with the Company's interpretation of Order No. 11086 with regard to treatment of REC proceeds.
Q. Does the previously described treatment of REC proceeds change the amount you propose to be added to the True-Up Balancing Account?
A. No. In accordance with the Commission's intent, the Company recommends that the 2010 proceeds from the sale of RECs be applied as credit to the
power cost deferral currently in amortization. As a result, there will be no impact to the amount proposed to be added to the True-Up Balancing Account. By offsetting the 2007 excess power cost deferral currently in amortization, customers will receive the benefits of 2010 REC sales immediately in the form of a reduced amortization period.
Q. In your initial filing, an Earnings Test was not performed because the Company was not proposing any deferral amounts be added to the True-Up Balancing Account. Does the use of the 2010 ROO report change the need for an Earnings Test?
A. No. Using the 2010 ROO report still results in a zero deferral amount to be added to the True-Up Balancing Account and therefore does not require an Earnings Test to be performed.
Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes it does.
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2010 PCAM
Twolva Monhs Ended Desermber 3, 2010


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 81,082,9 | 1418724121484 4. \$162589785:05 | $\begin{aligned} & 18,58,58 \\ & \hline 689 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,771,448 \\ 163,84 \\ 163,013,593,35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,313,987 \\ 581.11 \\ 166,7 \pi, 354.35 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12,37.8584 \\ \$ 78.25 \\ 226,550,113.87 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | $\$ 14.46$ $\$ 17.33$ ( 52.97 |  | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 57.84 \\ 0.151 .15) \\ 0.8583 \end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,56 |
|  | 23,572.95 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4.60 \% \\ \{492,238.10\} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $45,4,48,739$ |
|  | 2,357,799.62 ( $946,424.81)$ |  | 24.81) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 297,799.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\substack{8.001 \% \\ 0.000}$ <br> 0.000 <br> 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1089 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.0014\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Determination of Oregon PCAM Deadbands <br> Based on Idaho Power 2010 Results of Operations

(1) Rate Base
(2) $\%$ Equity in cap structure
(3) Equity in rate base
(4) 100 basis points
(5) Resulting return (NOI Effect)
(6) Net-to Gross Factor
(7) Revenue requirement
(A)
(B)
(1) Rate Base
(2) \% Equity in cap structure
(3) Equity in rate base
(4) 100 basis points
(5) Resulting return (NOI Effect)
(6) Net-to Gross Factor
(7) Revenue requirement

| Total System | Oregon |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,403,751,574$ | $\$ 114,274,727$ |
| $46.987 \%$ | $46.987 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,129,450,752$ | $\$ 53,694,266$ |
| $1.000 \%$ | $1.000 \%$ |
| $\$ 11,294,508$ | $\$ 536,943$ |
| 1.64200 | 1.64200 |
| $\$ 18,545,581$ | $\$$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8 8 1 , 6 6 0}$ |

(8) Upper Band of Basis Points
(9) Lower Band of Basis Points
(10) Upper Band w/one-time adjustment
\$153,650 \$2,357,799.62
(11) Lower Band w/one-time adjustment
$250 \quad \$ 2,204,149.62$
$125(\$ 1,102,074.81)$
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## eginning Balance

Amount Deferred
Ending Balance


Segrining Belance
Monthly Interesth Rate
Montiny interest
InterestAccrued to Date
Defertal Balance inclusing Interest

| s | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39.00 | 97.99 | 764.43 | 24.50 | 321.45 | 408.43 | 500.40 | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.830\% | 7.830\% | 8.081\% | 8.067\% | 8.061\% | 8.061\% | 8.067\% | 8.067\% | 8.067\% | 8.061\% | 8.057\% | 8.061\% | 8.061\% |
| \$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39.00 | 58.99 | 66.44 | 77.07 | 79.95 | 86.98 | 97.97 | 97.97 | 592.37 |
| \$ | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 39.00 | 97.99 | 164.43 | 241.50 | 321.45 | 408.43 | 500.40 | 59237 | \$59237 |
| \$ | - | . | - | 5.805 .88 | 8.820.01 | 9.988 .44 | 11.537.70 | 1214282 | 13.269 .18 | 14.700 .00 | 14.191 .97 | 1428394 | 14.283 .54 |

Tax Benefith from Above $\qquad$
Total Customer Berent
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|  |  | B | c | D | E | F | 6 | H |  | J | K | L | M | N | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | Oraon Renawabie Energy Cradit Soles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | January | Fabruay | March | Appil | May | June | July | A August | September | Ociober | Novembar | Dacambar | Totals |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{673,380.25}{7,592.099}$ | $\frac{1,032,078.10}{(5,368,74)}$ |  | $\frac{4855000.00}{4,843.86)}$ |  | $\frac{559,597.50}{(4.375 .003)}$ | 387395.00 | 449,942.50 | 478,157.50 | $\frac{442,172.50}{[8,324.00]}$ | $\frac{4485,724.35}{(28,54.49}$ |
|  | Wostem Dectric Coorcinating Coundif Fras |  |  |  |  | (1,0.001 |  | (3,402.81) | (505,67) | [212.72) | (554.34) | (380.30) | 7.189 | 1383.99 | $\frac{(28,504.99)}{7,256.81)}$ |
|  | Not Proceseds |  | - | . | E65.788.18 | 1,026,709.36 | - | 476,753.73 | (505.67 | 655,009,78 | 388,880,86 | 499.58220 | 474,280.32 | 435,484.51 | 4,44,9,93, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 10 | Oreaon Allication |  | 4.60\% | 4.e0\% | $4.60 \%$ | $4.60 \% 6$ | 4.50\% | 4.e09\% |  | $4.80 \%$ | 4.608 | $4.60 \%$ | 4.80\% | $4.80 \%$ | 4.60\% |
| 11 | Sthaing Percantaga |  | 90.0\% | 90.0\% | 80.0\% | 80.09\% | 80.0\% | 90.0\% | 80.0\% | 80.0\% | 80.0\% | 90.0\% | 90.0\% | 90.0\% | 90.0\%6 |
|  | Total Customor Benanit |  |  | $=$ | 27.563 .63 | 42,505.77 |  | 19,737,60 | (20.83) | 22,977.40 | 15,188.03 | 18,811.88 | 19.635 .21 | 18,02823 | 184.226 .81 |
| 14 | Lass Taxes © | 38.095\% |  |  | (10,773.00) | (16,847,83) |  | (7,718.42) |  | (8,883.02) | [5,937,76) | (,7,276,31) | [7676.38) | (1,048,14) | (72,023.47 |
| 15 | Customer Banafti Nat of Tax-Oreron |  |  | - | 18.787.83 | 25,888.14 | - | $12,021.19$ | (12.75) | 13.994 .38 | 9,250,27 | $11,335.58$ | 11,958.8? | 10,980.09 | 112,2093.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Principle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Easinotho Ealance |  |  | - | $\cdots$ | 18,788.53 | 42887.77 | 42,875.77 | 54.996.85 | 54,684.20 | 68,878.59 | 77,028.86 | 88,294,43 | 101,223,25 |  |
| 22 | Amount Doferad |  | - | - | 18,787.83 | 25,888.14 | - | 12,021.19 | (12.75) | 13,994.39 | 9,250:27 | $11,395.58$ | 11,958.62 | 10,880.08 | 112,203,34 |
| ${ }^{24}$ | Ending Eolance |  |  |  | 16,767.83. | 42.675 .77 | 42,675.77 | 54,696.95 | 54.684 .20 | 68,678.59 | 7,928.86 | 89,288.43 | 101.223, 25 | 112203,34 | 112,203,34 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | est |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Eeglanhg Balanca | s | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 112.77 | 399.44 | 688.11 | 1,053.54 | 1,420.88 | 1,88223 | 2,405.72 | 3,005.35 | 50.00 |
| $\frac{1}{30}$ | Manthy itharest Rala |  | 7.83\% | 7.83\% | $8.081 \%$ | $8.061 \%$ | 2.081\% | 8.0696 | 8.0818 | 8.0818 | 8.0819 | $8.081 \%$ | $8.061 \%$ | . $0.081 \%$ | $7.838 / 10.06176$ |
| 32 | Monthy Mitierest | 5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 112.77 | 28.8 .67 | 260.87 | ${ }^{367.43}$ | 367.34 | 481.35 | ${ }^{523.49}$ | ${ }_{589.83}$ | ${ }^{679.97}$ | 3,665.32 |
| $\frac{3}{34}$ | Inierest Accrued to Oata | si | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 112.77 | 399.44 | 688,11 | 1,053.54 | 1.420 .88 | 1,982.23 | 2.405 .72 | 3,005,35 | 3,685.32 | \$3,685,32 |
| -36 | Doferaral Ealoncal incluchg intersit | s |  |  | 18.788 .63 | ${ }_{42,788.54}$ | 43.075 .21 | 55,383.06 | 65,737,74 | ${ }^{70.088 .47}$ | 79,811.08 | 81.670 .15 | 104,228.60 | ${ }_{115,888.68}$ | 115,668.56 |
| -37 | Tax Benenit from Above |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72,023,47 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Tomic Customor Benant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187.312 .13 |
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