825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, Oregon 97232



May 5, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol Street NE, Ste 215 Salem, OR 97301-2551

Attn: Filing Center

RE: Docket UE 217 – PacifiCorp's Request for a General Rate Increase Errata to Exhibit PPL/1000

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power ("Company") encloses for filing an original and five copies of errata pages to the Direct Testimony of Gregory N. Duvall, Exhibit PPL/1000, Duvall/9-10. In addition to replacement pages of the testimony, a redline version is enclosed to show the changes. Specifically, this errata filing corrects the 2009 actual megawatt-hours in Tables 2 and 3 to reflect the weather normalized sales for 2009. In the initial filing, these tables inadvertently reflected non-weather normalized sales for 2009.

Please contact Joelle Steward, Regulatory Manager, at (503) 813-5542 for questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

Andrea L. Kelly

Vice President, Regulation

Enclosure

cc: Service List – UE 217

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, in Dockets UE 217, on the date indicated below by email and/or US Mail, addressed to said parties at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.

Michael T. Weirich Department of Justice Regulated Utility & Business Section 1162 Court St. NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 Michael.weirch@doj.state.or.us

Amie Jamieson (W) McDowell & Associates PC 520 SW Sixty Ave., Suite 830 Portland, OR 97204 amie@mcd-law.com

Jordan A. White (W) Pacific Power & Light 1407 W. North Temple, Suite 320 Salt Lake City, UT 84116 Jordan.white@pacificorp.com

Deborah Garcia Oregon Public Utility Commission PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148 deborah.garcia@state.or.us

Gordon Feighner (C)(W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205 Gordon@oregoncub.org

G. Catriona McCracken (C)(W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org

Kevin Elliott Parks (C)(W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205 Kevin@oregoncub.org Katherine A. McDowell (W) McDowell & Associates PC 520 SW Sixty Ave., Suite 830 Portland, OR 97204 Katherine@mcd-law.com

Joelle Steward (W) Pacific Power & Light 825 NE Multnomah St., Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232 Joelle.steward@pacificorp.com

Oregon Dockets (W) Pacific Power & Light 825 NE Multnomah St., Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com

Kurt J. Boehm Boehm Kurtz & Lowry 36 E. Seventh St., Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202 <u>kboehm@bkllawfirm.com</u>

Robert Jenks (C)(W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205 Bob@oregoncub.org

Raymond Myers (C)(W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205 ray@oregoncub.org

Melinda J. Davison (C) Davison Van Cleve PC 333 SW Taylor, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204 <u>mail@dvclaw.com</u> Elizabeth C. Knight Dunn Carney Allen Higgins & Tongue 851 SW 6th., Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97204 <u>eknight@dunncarney.com</u>

Holly Rachel Smith Hitt Business Center 3803 Rectortown Rd. Marshall, VA 20115 holly@raysmithlaw.com

Douglas C. Tingey Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon St., 1WTC13 Portland, OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

Richard Lorenz (W) Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen & Lloyd LLP 1001 SW Fifth Ave., Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97204-1136 rlorenz@cablehuston.com

Daniel Charbonneau Sequoia Partners LLC 7000 Monument Dr. Grants Pass, OR 97526 carling@charter.net Kevin Higgins 215 State St., Suite 200 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-2322 Khiggins@energystrat.com

Randall Dahlgren Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon St., 1WTC0702 Portland, OR 97204 Pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

Randall J. Falkenberg (C) RFI Consulting Inc. 8343 Roswell Rd. Sandy Springs, GA 30350 consultrfi@aol.com

Glen Nugent Cascade Line Builders LLC 1330 Timberidge Rd. Grants Pass, OR 97527 <u>Glen.nugent@hotmail.com</u>

Steve W. Chriss (C) Walmart 2001 SE 10th St. Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com

DATED: May 5, 2010

Ariel Son Coordinator, Regulatory Operations

Clean Version

1		First, the Company projects each rate schedule's share of the customer class sales.
2		Second, the Company multiplies the projected rate schedule share by the
3		forecasted customer class sales to produce the sales forecast by rate schedule.
4	Q.	How is the number of bills for each schedule forecasted?
5	A.	Similar to the forecast of the rate schedule sales forecast, the rate schedule bill
6		forecast is carried out in several steps. First, the Company calculates the ratio of
7		bills to sales by rate schedule to bills by customer class. Second, this ratio is
8		projected for the test period based on the regression results. Third, the ratio is
9		multiplied by the customer class bills to produce the bills by rate schedule.
10	Sum	mary of Results
11	Q.	How does the sales forecast for the 12-months ending December 31, 2011,
12		compare to the weather normalized MWh sales for the 12-months ending
13		December 31, 2009?
14	A.	Table 2 shows that sales for the total Company, test period forecasted sales are 1.9
15		percent higher than weather normalized sales in 2009.

Total Company			
	2009	January 2011 to December 2011	Percentage Change
	Actual	GRC Forecast	
Residential	15,571,938	15,733,922	1.04%
Commercial	16,079,415	16,398,542	1.98%
Industrial	18,709,871	19,082,896	1.99%
Irrigation	1,241,000	1,357,020	9.35%
Public Authority	437,126	438,660	0.35%
Lighting	144,764	141,480	-2.27%
Total	52,184,113	53,152,520	1.86%

Table 2 - Total Company Sales Comparison (MWh)

1

2

Table 3 shows that for Oregon, forecasted test period sales are 2.5 percent lower

than weather normalized sales in 2009.

	2009	January 2011 to December 2011	Percentage Change
	Actual	GRC Forecast	
Residential	5,392,503	5,309,420	-1.54%
Commercial	4,943,084	4,886,460	-1.15%
Industrial	2,482,227	2,256,190	-9.11%
Irrigation	240,207	285,110	18.69%
Lighting	38,605	37,480	-2.91%
Total	13,096,626	12,774,660	-2.46%

Table 3 - Oregon Sales Comparison (MWh)

3 Q. How does the sales forecast for the 12-months ending December 31, 2011

4

used in this case compare to the sales forecast used in Docket UE 210?

A. As shown in Table 4, the total Company sales have gone down by 1.2 percent. As
shown in Table 5, the Oregon sales forecast has gone down by about 4.6 percent,
which is primarily attributed to the slowdown and closures in the wood product
industry.

Redline Version

1		First, the Company projects each rate schedule's share of the customer class sales.
2		Second, the Company multiplies the projected rate schedule share by the
3		forecasted customer class sales to produce the sales forecast by rate schedule.
4	Q.	How is the number of bills for each schedule forecasted?
5	А.	Similar to the forecast of the rate schedule sales forecast, the rate schedule bill
6		forecast is carried out in several steps. First, the Company calculates the ratio of
7		bills to sales by rate schedule to bills by customer class. Second, this ratio is
8		projected for the test period based on the regression results. Third, the ratio is
9		multiplied by the customer class bills to produce the bills by rate schedule.
10	Sumn	nary of Results
11	Q.	How does the sales forecast for the 12-months ending December 31, 2011,
12		compare to the weather normalized MWh sales for the 12-months ending
13		December 31, 2009?
14	A.	Table 2 shows that sales for the total Company, test period forecasted sales are
15		0.81.9 percent higher than weather normalized sales in 2009.

	Total Company		
	2009 Janua	ry 2011 to December 2011	Percentage Change
	Actual	GRC Forecast	
Residential	15,571,938	15,733,922	1.04%
Commercial	16,079,415	16,398,542	1.98%
Industrial	18,709,871	19,082,896	1.99%
Irrigation	1,241,000	1,357,020	9.35%
Public Authority	437,126	438,660	0.35%
Lighting	144,764	141,480	-2.27%
Total	52,184,113	53,152,520	1.86%
Total Company			
	2009	Jan 2011 to Dec 2011	Percentage Change
	Actual	GRC Forecast	
Residential	15,998,64	40 15,733,9	-1.65%
Commercial	16,194,25	57 16,398,5	42 1.26%
Industrial	18,712,08	30 19,082,8	96 1.98%
Irrigation	1,222,18	39 1,357,0	20 11.03%
Public Authority	437,59	96 438,6	60 0.24%
Lighting	144,76	54 141,4	80 -2.27%
Total	52,709,52	26 53,152,5	20 0.84%

Table 2 - Total Company Sales Comparison (MWh)

Table 3 shows that for Oregon, forecasted test period sales are 4.82.5 percent

lower than weather normalized sales in 2009.

1

2

Table 3 - Oregon Sales Comparison (MWh)