1 2	SES Exhibit 100 Witness: Kevin C. Higgins			
3				
4				
5	BEFORE THE PUBLIC			
6 7	OF THE STA	TE (OF OREGON	RECEIVED
8				_
9				JUL 15 2009
10	In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba)		
11	Pacific Power)	Docket No. UE-207	Public Utility Commission of Oregon Administrative Hearing Division
12	2010 Transition Adjustment)		
13	Mechanism)		
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19				
20.				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
26				
27	Reply Testimony	of I	Kevin C. Higgins	
28	1 7		88	
29	on b	ehal	lf of	
30				
31	Sempra Energ	gv S	olutions LLC	
32	. 1	<i>5</i> 0		
33				
34	July	14 ′	2009	
J T	July			

REPLY TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS

1	
2	

3

T	ntro	dn	ctic	۱n
Д,	uuv	uu	uu	ш

- 4 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- Kevin C. Higgins, 215 South State Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah,
 84111.
- 7 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am a Principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC. Energy Strategies is a private consulting firm specializing in economic and policy analysis applicable to energy production, transportation, and consumption.
- 11 Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this phase of the proceeding?
- 12 A. My testimony is being sponsored by Sempra Energy Solutions LLC

 13 ("SES"). SES is a retail energy supplier that serves commercial and industrial

 14 end-use customers in 15 states, the District of Columbia, and Baja California. In

 15 Oregon, SES is currently serving in excess of 300 customer meters in Portland

 16 General Electric's service territory and approximately 20 customer meters in

 17 PacifiCorp's territory.
- 18 Q. Please describe your professional experience and qualifications.
- My academic background is in economics, and I have completed all
 coursework and field examinations toward a Ph.D. in Economics at the University
 of Utah. In addition, I have served on the adjunct faculties of both the University
 of Utah and Westminster College, where I taught undergraduate and graduate
 courses in economics. I joined Energy Strategies in 1995, where I assist private

and public sector clients in the areas of energy-related economic and policy
analysis, including evaluation of electric and gas utility rate matters.

Prior to joining Energy Strategies, I held policy positions in state and local government. From 1983 to 1990, I was economist, then assistant director, for the Utah Energy Office, where I helped develop and implement state energy policy. From 1991 to 1994, I was chief of staff to the chairman of the Salt Lake County Commission, where I was responsible for development and implementation of a broad spectrum of public policy at the local government level.

Have you ever testified before this Commission?

Yes. I have testified in several prior proceedings in Oregon, including the
previous PacifiCorp Transition Adjustment Mechanism case, UE-199 (2008). I
have also participated in three PacifiCorp general rate cases, UE-179 (2006), UE170 (2005), and UE-147 (2003). In addition, I testified in the Portland General
Electric ("PGE") general cases, UE-197 (2008) and UE-180 (2006), as well as in
the PGE restructuring proceeding, UE-115 (2001).

Q. Have you participated in any workshop processes sponsored by this

Commission?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

20

21

22

23

Q.

A.

Q.

18 A. Yes. In 2003, I was an active participant in the collaborative process

19 initiated by the Commission to examine direct access issues in Oregon, UM-1081.

Have you testified before utility regulatory commissions in other states?

Yes. I have testified in over one hundred proceedings on the subjects of utility rates and regulatory policy before state utility regulators in Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky,

1	Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York,
2	Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia,
3	Washington, West Virginia, and Wyoming. I have also prepared affidavits that
4	have been filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
5	A more detailed description of my qualifications is contained in SES
6	Exhibit 101, attached to my direct testimony.
7	
8	Overview and Conclusions

9 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

10 A. My testimony supports the continued application of the modifications to
11 the calculation of Schedules 294 and 295 transition adjustments adopted in the
12 Settlement Agreement submitted September 4, 2008, and approved as part of UE13 199.

A.

Calculation of the Transition Adjustment (Schedules 294 and 295)

Q. What is your understanding of the purpose of the Transition Adjustment?

My understanding is that the purpose of the Transition Adjustment is to provide the appropriate credit or charge for customers who choose direct access service. The Transition Adjustment is applied either through Schedule 294 or Schedule 295. The former is applied to customers who choose a one-year direct access option, whereas the latter is applied to customers who choose a three-year direct access option.

The logical premise behind the Transition Adjustment is to credit or charge direct access customers the difference between PacifiCorp's regulated price and the estimated market value of the electricity that is freed up when a customer chooses direct access service. This is calculated by subtracting the former from the latter. If the result is a positive number, the difference is applied as a credit to the direct access customer. If the result is a negative number, the difference is applied as a charge to the direct access customer.

The current practice is to calculate the Transition Adjustment using PacifiCorp's GRID model. According to PacifiCorp's tariff, the estimated market value of the electricity that is freed up when a customer chooses direct access service is determined by running two system simulations – one simulation with PacifiCorp serving the direct access customer and one simulation with the Company not serving the direct access consumer. At the present time, these simulations are run assuming direct access occurs in 25 MW decrements, which are shaped using the load shape of the rate schedule being analyzed for purposes of determining its Schedule 294 or 295 credit (charge). The difference between the two scenarios is used to calculate the impact on PacifiCorp's total system, which is then used to determine the Weighted Market Value of the energy freedup due to direct access. The Weighted Market Value of the energy is then compared to the customer's energy-only tariff rate schedule to determine the Schedule 294 or 295 credit (charge).

1	Continued Application	of UE-199	Settlement	Provisions

2	Q.	What Settlement provisions in UE-199 directly apply to the calculation of the		
3		Schedule 294 and 295 rates?		
4	A.	In the previous Transition Adjustment Mechanism ("TAM") case, UE-		
5		199, I proposed some specific changes in the calculation of the Weighted Market		
6		Value of freed-up energy within the framework of the GRID model used by		
7		PacifiCorp. Section 15 of the Settlement Agreement reached in UE-199 included		
8		compromise provisions that are responsive to the concerns I raised in that docket.		
9		Specifically, Section 15 provides as follows:		
110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119		15. <u>Transition Adjustment</u> : The Parties agree to modify the calculation of the Transition Adjustment for direct access in two ways: (1) the Company will relax the market cap limitations in the GRID model by 15MW at Mid-Columba and 10MW at COB to determine the value of the freed up power; and (2) any remaining monthly thermal generation that is backed down for assumed direct access load will be priced at the simple monthly average of the COB price, the Mid-Columbia price, and the avoided cost of thermal generation as determined by GRID. The monthly COB and Mid-Columbia prices will be applied to the heavy load hours or light load hours separately. The existing balancing account mechanisms will remain in effect.		
21	Q.	Has PacifiCorp continued to apply the provisions of Section 15 in the		
22		calculation of sample TAM calculations for Schedules 30-Secondary and 48-		
23		Primary filed in this docket?		
24	A.	Yes. PacifiCorp's calculation of sample TAM calculations for Schedules		
25		30-Secondary and 48-Primary filed in this docket continues to apply the Section		
26		15 provisions of the Settlement Agreement reached in UE-199.		
27	Q.	Do you support the continued application the Section 15 provisions from the		
28		UE-199 Settlement in UE-207?		

1	A.	Yes, I do. In my opinion, the Section 15 provisions improve the
2		measurement of the Weighted Market Value of the energy freed-up due to direct
3		access. Consequently, I support the continued application of the Section 15
4		provisions in this docket.

- The UE-199 Settlement Agreement of May 2009 indicates that "Parties agree to meet and review whether to recommend to the Commission an extension in length for the shopping window for PacifiCorp's multi-year direct access option beginning in November 2009." Do you wish to comment on this provision?
- Yes. Due to scheduling difficulties associated with the approval of the Settlement in UE-199, the direct access window for the multi-year access option was compressed in 2008, limiting the opportunity for customers to review and potentially select this option. In light of those circumstances, SES supports an extension of the shopping window for multi-year customers to three weeks beginning in November 2009. SES anticipates working with the Parties to address this issue as part of this docket.

17 Q. Does this conclude your reply testimony?

18 A. Yes, it does.

A.

Q.

KEVIN C. HIGGINS Principal, Energy Strategies, L.L.C. 215 South State St., Suite 200, Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Vitae

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

<u>Principal</u>, Energy Strategies, L.L.C., Salt Lake City, Utah, January 2000 to present. Responsible for energy-related economic and policy analysis, regulatory intervention, and strategic negotiation on behalf of industrial, commercial, and public sector interests. Previously <u>Senior Associate</u>, February 1995 to December 1999.

Adjunct Instructor in Economics, Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah, September 1981 to May 1982; September 1987 to May 1995. Taught in the economics and M.B.A. programs. Awarded Adjunct Professor of the Year, Gore School of Business, 1990-91.

Chief of Staff to the Chairman, Salt Lake County Board of Commissioners, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1991 to January 1995. Senior executive responsibility for all matters of county government, including formulation and execution of public policy, delivery of approximately 140 government services, budget adoption and fiscal management (over \$300 million), strategic planning, coordination with elected officials, and communication with consultants and media.

Assistant Director, Utah Energy Office, Utah Department of Natural Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1985 to January 1991. Directed the agency's resource development section, which provided energy policy analysis to the Governor, implemented state energy development policy, coordinated state energy data collection and dissemination, and managed energy technology demonstration programs. Position responsibilities included policy formulation and implementation, design and administration of energy technology demonstration programs, strategic management of the agency's interventions before the Utah Public Service Commission, budget preparation, and staff development. Supervised a staff of economists, engineers, and policy analysts, and served as lead economist on selected projects.

<u>Utility Economist</u>, Utah Energy Office, January 1985 to August 1985. Provided policy and economic analysis pertaining to energy conservation and resource development, with an emphasis on utility issues. Testified before the state Public Service Commission as an expert witness in cases related to the above.

Acting Assistant Director, Utah Energy Office, June 1984 to January 1985. Same responsibilities as Assistant Director identified above.

<u>Research Economist</u>, Utah Energy Office, October 1983 to June 1984. Provided economic analysis pertaining to renewable energy resource development and utility issues. Experience includes preparation of testimony, development of strategy, and appearance as an expert witness for the Energy Office before the Utah PSC.

<u>Operations Research Assistant</u>, Corporate Modeling and Operations Research Department, Utah Power and Light Company, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1983 to September 1983. Primary area of responsibility: designing and conducting energy load forecasts.

<u>Instructor in Economics</u>, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1982 to April 1983. Taught intermediate microeconomics, principles of macroeconomics, and economics as a social science.

<u>Teacher</u>, Vernon-Verona-Sherrill School District, Verona, New York, September 1976 to June 1978.

EDUCATION

Ph.D. Candidate, Economics, University of Utah (coursework and field exams completed, 1981).

Fields of Specialization: Public Finance, Urban and Regional Economics, Economic Development, International Economics, History of Economic Doctrines.

Bachelor of Science, Education, State University of New York at Plattsburgh, 1976 (cum laude).

Danish International Studies Program, University of Copenhagen, 1975.

SCHOLARSHIPS AND FELLOWSHIPS

University Research Fellow, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 1982 to 1983. Research Fellow, Institute of Human Resources Management, University of Utah, 1980 to 1982. Teaching Fellow, Economics Department, University of Utah, 1978 to 1980. New York State Regents Scholar, 1972 to 1976.

EXPERT TESTIMONY

"In The Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15768. Direct testimony submitted July 9, 2009.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of Westar Energy, Inc., and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Consider the Issue of Rate Consolidation and Resulting Rate Design," **Kansas** Corporation Commission," Docket No. 09-WSEE-641-GIE. Direct testimony submitted June 26, 2009.

"Illinois Commerce Commission on Its Own Motion vs Commonwealth Edison Company, Investigation of Rate Design Pursuant to Section 9-250 of the Public Utilities Act," **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket No. 08-0532. Direct testimony submitted May 22, 2009.

"In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for Approval of Energy Efficiency Plan, Including an Energy Efficiency Rider and Portfolio of Energy Efficiency Programs," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00495. Direct testimony submitted May 11, 2009.

"In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed Pursuant to NRS§704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for Authority to Increase Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Customers, Begin to Recover the Costs of Acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, Constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental Retrofits and Other Generating, Transmission and Distribution Plant Additions, to Reflect Changes in Cost of Service and for Relief Properly Related Thereto, Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, Docket No. 08-12002. Direct testimony submitted April 14, 2009 (revenue requirement) and April 21, 2009 (cost of service/rate design). Cross examined May 6, 2009.

"Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to the Ind. Code 8-1-2.5, *Et Seq.*, for the Implementation of an Electric Distribution System "SmartGrid" and Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Distribution Automation Investments, and a Distribution Renewable Generation Demonstration Project and Associated Accounting and Rate Recovery Mechanisms, Including a Ratemaking Proposal to Update Distribution Rates Annually and a "Lost Revenue" Recovery Mechanism, in Accordance with Ind. Code 8-1-2-42(a) and 8-1-2.5-1 *Et Seq.* and Preliminary Approval of the Estimated Costs and Scheduled Deployment of the Company's SmartGrid Initiative," **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43501. Direct testimony submitted February 27, 2009.

"In The Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for an Increase in Electric Distribution Rates," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-709-EL-AIR; "In the Matter of the

Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Tariff Approval," Case No. 08-710-EL-ATA; "In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval to Change Accounting Methods," Case No. 08-711-EL-AAM. Direct testimony submitted February 26, 2009.

"In The Matter of the Amended Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$28.8 Million per Year (6.1 Percent Overall Average Increase)", **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-333-ER-08. Direct testimony submitted January 30, 2009. Summary of cross answer testimony submitted February 27, 2009. Settlement testimony submitted March 13, 2009. Cross examined March 24, 2009.

"In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-1094-EL-SSO; "In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Revised Tariffs, Case No. 08-1095-EL-ATA; "In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority Pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code §4905.13," Case No. 08-1096-EL-AAM; In the Matter of the Application of Dayton Power and Light Company for Approval of Its Amended Corporate Separation Plan, Case No. 08-1097-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted January 26, 2009. Deposed February 6, 2009. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation filed February 24, 2009.

"Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC for Authority to Change Rates," Public Utility Commission of **Texas**, SOAH Docket No. 473-08-3681, PUC Docket No. 35717. Direct testimony submitted November 26, 2008. Cross examined February 3, 2009.

"In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan; An Amendment to Its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale of Certain Generating Assets", Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-917-EL-SSO; "In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Its Electric Security Plan; and an Amendment to Its Corporate Separation Plan," Case No. 08-918-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted October 31, 2008. Cross examined November 25, 2008.

"Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric and Gas Base Rates," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00252. Direct testimony submitted October 28, 2008.

"Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of Base Rates," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2008-00251. Direct testimony submitted October 28, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Service," **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-08-10. Direct testimony submitted October 24, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted December 3, 2008. Cross examined December 19, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 08-035-38. Direct testimony submitted October 7, 2008 (test period) and February 12, 2009 (revenue requirement). Cross examined October 28, 2008 (test period).

"In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. § 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-935-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted September 29, 2008. Deposed October 13, 2008. Cross examined October 21, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes In Their Charges for Electric Service," State Corporation Commission of **Kansas**, Docket No. 08-WSEE-1041-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 29, 2008. Cross Answer testimony submitted October 8, 2008.

"In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company's Application for Increase in Electric Rates," **Virginia** State Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2008-00046. Direct testimony submitted September 26, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications with Reconciliation Mechanism and Tariffs for Generation Service," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 08-936-EL-SSO. Direct testimony submitted September 9, 2008. Deposed September 16, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172. Direct testimony submitted August 29, 2008 (interim rates), December 19, 2008 (revenue requirement), January 9, 2009 (cost of service, rate design), and July 1, 2009 (settlement agreement). Cross examined September 16, 2008 (interim rates).

"Verified Joint Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc., Indianapolis Power & Light Company, Northern Indiana Public Service Company and Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana, Inc. for Approval, if and to the Extent Required, of Certain Changes in Operations That Are Likely To Result from the Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc.'s Implementation of Revisions to Its Open Access Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff to Establish a Co-Optimized, Competitive

Market for Energy and Ancillary Services Market; and for Timely Recovery of Costs Associated with Joint Petitioners' Participation in Such Ancillary Services Market," **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43426. Direct testimony submitted August 6, 2008. Direct testimony in opposition to Settlement Agreement submitted November 12, 2008. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation.

"In The Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15244. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2008.

"Portland General Electric General Rate Case Filing," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-197. Direct testimony submitted July 9, 2008. Surrebuttal testimony submitted September 15, 2008.

"In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power, 2009 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, Schedule 200, Cost-Based Supply Service," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-199. Reply testimony submitted June 23, 2008. Joint testimony in support of stipulation submitted September 4, 2008.

"2008 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-072300 and UG-072301. Response testimony submitted May 30, 2008. Cross-Answer testimony submitted July 3, 2008. Joint testimony in support of partial stipulations submitted July 3, 2008 (gas rate spread/rate design), August 12, 2008 (electric rate spread/rate design), and August 28, 2008 (revenue requirements). Cross examined September 3, 2008.

"Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to the Ind. Code 8-1-2.5, Et Seq., for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code 8-1-2.5-1Et Seq. and 8-1-2-42(a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with Its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs in Its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests," Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 43374. Direct testimony submitted May 21, 2008. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation.

"Cinergy Corp., Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Cinergy Power Investments, Inc., Generating Facilities LLCs," **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, Docket No. EC-08-78-000. Affidavit filed May 14, 2008.

"Application of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs, Public Utility Commission of **Texas**, Docket No. 34800 [SOAH Docket No. 473-08-0334]. Direct testimony submitted April 11, 2008. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation.

"Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO, Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates, Illinois Power Company d/b/a/ AmerenIP Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service Rates," Illinois Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 07-0585, 07-0586, 07-0587, 07-0588, 07-0589, 07-0590. Direct testimony submitted March 14, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted April 8, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Authority to Implement an Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Recovery and Incentives," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 07A-420E. Answer testimony submitted March 10, 2008. Cross examined April 25, 2008.

"An Investigation of the Energy and Regulatory Issues in Section 50 of Kentucky's 2007 Energy Act," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Administrative Case No. 2007-00477. Direct testimony submitted February 29, 2008. Supplemental direct testimony submitted April 1, 2008. Cross examined April 30, 2008.

In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of Its Operations throughout the State of Arizona, **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-07-0402. Direct testimony submitted February 29, 2008 (revenue requirement), March 14, 2008 (rate design), and June 12, 2008 (settlement agreement). Cross examined July 14, 2008.

"Commonwealth Edison Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Rates," **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket No. 07-0566. Direct testimony submitted February 11, 2008. Rebuttal testimony submitted April 8, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to File a General Rate Case," Utah Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-057-13. Direct testimony submitted January 28, 2008 (test period), March 31, 2008 (rate of return), April 21, 2008 (revenue requirement), and August 18, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted September 22, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 12, 2008 (rate of return) and October 7, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design).

Cross examined February 8, 2008 (test period), May 21, 2008 (rate of return), and October 15, 2008 (cost of service, rate spread, rate design).

"In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge," Utah Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-035-93. Direct testimony submitted January 25, 2008 (test period), April 7, 2008 (revenue requirement), and July 21, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted September 3, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 23, 2008 (revenue requirement) and September 24, 2008 (cost of service, rate design). Cross examined February 7, 2008 (test period).

"In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and The Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Distribution Service, Modify Certain Accounting Practices and for Tariff Approvals," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case Nos. 07-551-EL-AIR, 07-552-EL-ATA, 07-553-EL-AAM, and 07-554-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted January 10, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Wyoming, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$36.1 Million per Year, and for Approval of a New Renewable Resource Mechanism and Marginal Cost Pricing Tariff," **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 20000-277-ER-07. Direct testimony submitted January 7, 2008. Cross examined March 6, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service to Electric Customers in the State of Idaho," **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-07-8. Direct testimony submitted December 10, 2007. Cross examined January 23, 2008.

"In The Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution Of Electricity and Other Relief," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-15245. Direct testimony submitted November 6, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 20, 2007.

"In the Matter of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., Application for Authority to Establish Increased Rates for Electric Service," **Montana** Public Service Commission, Docket No. D2007.7.79. Direct testimony submitted October 24, 2007.

"In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 334," **New Mexico** Public Regulation

Commission, Case No. 07-0077-UT. Direct testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 19, 2007. Cross examined December 12, 2007.

"In The Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2007 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 25060-U. Direct testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Cross examined November 7, 2007.

"In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Accounting Order to Defer the Costs Related to the MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company Transaction," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 07-035-04; "In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power, a Division of PacifiCorp, for a Deferred Accounting Order To Defer the Costs of Loans Made to Grid West, the Regional Transmission Organization," Docket No. 06-035-163; "In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Accounting Order for Costs related to the Flooding of the Powerdale Hydro Facility," Docket No. 07-035-14. Direct testimony submitted September 10, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony submitted October 22, 2007. Cross examined October 30, 2007.

"In the Matter of General Adjustment of Electric Rates of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2006-00472. Direct testimony submitted July 6, 2007. Supplemental direct testimony submitted March 14, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of Sempra Energy Solutions for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for Competitive Retail Electric Service," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-03964A-06-0168. Direct testimony submitted July 3, 2007. Rebuttal testimony submitted January 17, 2008.

"Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for a Determination that Additional Electric Generating Capacity Will Be Used and Useful," **Oklahoma** Corporation Commission, Cause No. PUD 200500516; "Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for a Determination that Additional Baseload Electric Generating Capacity Will Be Used and Useful," Cause No. PUD 200600030; "In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order Granting Pre-Approval to Construct Red Rock Generating Facility and Authorizing a Recovery Rider," Cause No. PUD200700012. Responsive testimony submitted May 21, 2007. Cross examined July 26, 2007.

"Application of Nevada Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto," Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, Docket No. 06-11022. Direct testimony submitted March 14, 2007 (Phase III – revenue requirements) and March 19, 2007 (Phase IV – rate design). Cross examined April 10, 2007 (Phase III – revenue requirements) and April 16, 2007 (Phase IV – rate design).

"In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service," **Arkansas** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 06-101-U. Direct testimony submitted February 5, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony submitted March 26, 2007.

"Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company, both d/b/a Allegheny Power – Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates and Charges," Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 06-0960-E-42T; "Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company, both d/b/a Allegheny Power – Information Required for Change of Depreciation Rates Pursuant to Rule 20," Case No. 06-1426-E-D. Direct and rebuttal testimony submitted January 22, 2007.

"In the Matter of the Tariffs of Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P Increasing Electric Rates for the Services Provided to Customers in the Aquila Networks-MPS and Aquila Networks-L&P Missouri Service Areas," **Missouri** Public Service Commission, Case No. ER-2007-0004. Direct testimony submitted January 18, 2007 (revenue requirements) and January 25, 2007 (revenue apportionment). Supplemental direct testimony submitted February 27, 2007.

"In the Matter of the Filing by Tucson Electric Power Company to Amend Decision No. 62103, **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-05-0650. Direct testimony submitted January 8, 2007. Surrebuttal testimony filed February 8, 2007. Cross examined March 8, 2007.

"In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area," **Missouri** Public Service Commission, Case No. ER-2007-0002. Direct testimony submitted December 15, 2006 (revenue requirements) and December 29, 2006 (fuel adjustment clause/cost-of-service/rate design). Rebuttal testimony submitted February 5, 2007 (cost-of-service). Surrebuttal testimony submitted February 27, 2007. Cross examined March 21, 2007.

"In the Matter of Application of The Union Light, Heat and Power Company d/b/a Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for an Adjustment of Electric Rates," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2006-00172. Direct testimony submitted September 13, 2006.

"In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company's Application for Increase in Electric Rates," **Virginia** State Corporation Commission, Case No. PUE-2006-00065. Direct testimony submitted September 1, 2006. Cross examined December 7, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, To Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, and to Amend Decision No. 67744, **Arizona** Corporation Commission," Docket No. E-01345A-05-0816. Direct testimony submitted August 18, 2006 (revenue requirements) and September 1,

2006 (cost-of-service/rate design). Surrebuttal testimony submitted September 27, 2006. Cross examined November 7, 2006.

"Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No 1454 – Electric," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 06S-234EG. Answer testimony submitted August 18, 2006.

"Portland General Electric General Rate Case Filing," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-180. Direct testimony submitted August 9, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 22, 2006.

"2006 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-060266 and UG-060267. Response testimony submitted July 19, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 23, 2006.

"In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company's Oregon Annual Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-179. Direct testimony submitted July 12, 2006. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 21, 2006.

"Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan," **Pennsylvania** Public Utilities Commission, Docket Nos. P-00062213 and R-00061366; "Petition of Pennsylvania Electric Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan," Docket Nos. P-0062214 and R-00061367; Merger Savings Remand Proceeding, Docket Nos. A-110300F0095 and A-110400F0040. Direct testimony submitted July 10, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2006. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 18, 2006. Cross examined August 30, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for approval of its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules & Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 06-035-21. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2006 (Test Period). Surrebuttal testimony submitted July 14, 2006.

"Joint Application of Questar Gas Company, the Division of Public Utilities, and Utah Clean Energy for the Approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff Adjustment Option and Accounting Orders," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 05-057-T01. Direct testimony submitted May 15, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2007. Cross examined September 19, 2007.

"Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO, Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS, Illinois Power Company d/b/a AmerenIP, Proposed General Increase in Rates for Delivery Service (Tariffs Filed December 27, 2005)," Illinois Commerce Commission,

Docket Nos. 06-0070, 06-0071, 06-0072. Direct testimony submitted March 26, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2006.

"In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, both dba American Electric Power," Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 05-1278-E-PC-PW-42T. Direct and rebuttal testimony submitted March 8, 2006.

"In the Matter of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota," **Minnesota** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. G-002/GR-05-1428. Direct testimony submitted March 2, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2006. Cross examined April 25, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for an Emergency Interim Rate Increase and for an Interim Amendment to Decision No. 67744," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-06-0009. Direct testimony submitted February 28, 2006. Cross examined March 23, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in Their Charges for Electric Service," State Corporation Commission of **Kansas**, Case No. 05-WSEE-981-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 9, 2005. Cross examined October 28, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Recover Costs Associated with the Construction and Ultimate Operation of an Integrated Combined Cycle Electric Generating Facility," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**," Case No. 05-376-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2005. Cross examined August 12, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Filing of General Rate Case Information by Tucson Electric Power Company Pursuant to Decision No. 62103," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-04-0408. Direct testimony submitted June 24, 2005.

"In the Matter of Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Unbundle and Realign Its Rate Schedules for Jurisdictional Retail Sales of Electricity," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14399. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2005. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 1, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and Other Relief," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14347. Direct testimony submitted June 3, 2005. Rebuttal

testimony submitted June 17, 2005.

"In the Matter of Pacific Power & Light, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company's Oregon Annual Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE 170. Direct testimony submitted May 9, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2005. Joint testimony regarding partial stipulations submitted June 2005, July 2005, and August 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. for a Rate Increase," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01461A-04-0607. Direct testimony submitted April 13, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 16, 2005. Cross examined May 26, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 04-035-42. Direct testimony submitted January 7, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application by Golden Valley Electric Association, Inc., for Authority to Implement Simplified Rate Filing Procedures and Adjust Rates," Regulatory Commission of **Alaska**, Docket No. U-4-33. Direct testimony submitted November 5, 2004. Cross examined February 8, 2005.

"Advice Letter No. 1411 - Public Service Company of Colorado Electric Phase II General Rate Case," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 04S-164E. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted December 13, 2004. Testimony withdrawn January 18, 2005, following Applicant's withdrawal of testimony pertaining to TOU rates.

"In the Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2004 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 18300-U. Direct testimony submitted October 8, 2004. Cross examined October 27, 2004.

"2004 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-040641 and UG-040640. Response testimony submitted September 23, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted November 3, 2004. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted December 6, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for an Investigation of Interjurisdictional Issues," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-035-04. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2004. Cross examined July 19, 2004.

"In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Kentucky Utilities Company," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00434.

Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

"In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Louisville Gas and Electric Company," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00433. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Interim and Base Rates and Charges for Electric Service," **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-03-13. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 19, 2004. Cross examined April 1, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Applications of the Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Continue and Modify Certain Regulatory Accounting Practices and Procedures, for Tariff Approvals and to Establish Rates and Other Charges, Including Regulatory Transition Charges Following the Market Development Period," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 03-2144-EL-ATA. Direct testimony submitted February 6, 2004. Cross examined February 18, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, To Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, To Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, and For Approval of Purchased Power Contract," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-03-0437. Direct testimony submitted February 3, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2004. Direct testimony regarding stipulation submitted September 27, 2004. Responsive / Clarifying testimony regarding stipulation submitted October 25, 2004. Cross examined November 8-10, 2004 and November 29-December 3, 2004.

"In the Matter of Application of the Detroit Edison Company to Increase Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, etc.," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13808. Direct testimony submitted December 12, 2003 (interim request) and March 5, 2004 (general rate case).

"In the Matter of PacifiCorp's Filing of Revised Tariff Schedules," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-147. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 21, 2003.

"Petition of PSI Energy, Inc. for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service, etc.," **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 42359. Direct testimony submitted August 19, 2003. Cross examined November 5, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for a Financing Order Approving the Securitization of Certain of its Qualified Cost," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13715. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 2003. Cross examined April 23, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of Adjustment Mechanisms," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-02-0403. Direct testimony submitted February 13, 2003. Surrebuttal testimony submitted March 20, 2003. Cross examined April 8, 2003.

"Re: The Investigation and Suspension of Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado, Advice Letter No. 1373 – Electric, Advice Letter No. 593 – Gas, Advice Letter No. 80 – Steam," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 02S-315 EG. Direct testimony submitted November 22, 2002. Cross-answer testimony submitted January 24, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Implement the Commission's Stranded Cost Recovery Procedure and for Approval of Net Stranded Cost Recovery Charges," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13350. Direct testimony submitted November 12, 2002.

"Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company: Adjustments in the Company's Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs," Public Service Commission of **South Carolina**, Docket No. 2002-223-E. Direct testimony submitted November 8, 2002. Surrebuttal testimony submitted November 18, 2002. Cross examined November 21, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for a General Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-057-02. Direct testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted October 4, 2002.

"The Kroger Co. v. Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc.," **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, EL02-119-000. Confidential affidavit filed August 13, 2002.

"In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for determination of net stranded costs and for approval of net stranded cost recovery charges," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13380. Direct testimony submitted August 9, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Cross examined September 10, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for an Order to Revise Its Incentive Cost Adjustment," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket 02A-158E. Direct testimony submitted April 18, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Generic Proceedings Concerning Electric Restructuring Issues," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-00000A-02-0051, "In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company's Request for Variance of Certain Requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1606," Docket No. E-01345A-01-0822, "In the Matter of the Generic Proceeding Concerning the Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator," Docket No. E-00000A-01-0630, "In the Matter of Tucson Electric Power Company's Application for a Variance of Certain Electric Competition Rules Compliance Dates," Docket No. E-01933A-02-0069, "In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471. Direct testimony submitted March 29, 2002 (APS variance request); May 29, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues); and July 28, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Rebuttal testimony submitted August 29, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Cross examined June 21, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues) and September 12, 2003 (Arizona ISA).

"In the Matter of Savannah Electric & Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14618-U. Direct testimony submitted March 15, 2002. Cross examined March 28, 2002.

"Nevada Power Company's 2001 Deferred Energy Case," Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, PUCN 01-11029. Direct testimony submitted February 7, 2002. Cross examined February 21, 2002.

"2001 Puget Sound Energy Interim Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UE-011571. Direct testimony submitted January 30, 2002. Cross examined February 20, 2002.

"In the Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14000-U. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2001. Cross examined October 24, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 01-35-01. Direct testimony submitted June 15, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 31, 2001.

"In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company's Proposal to Restructure and Reprice Its Services in Accordance with the Provisions of SB 1149," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-115. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 4, 2001. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted July 27, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of APS Energy Services, Inc. for Declaratory Order or Waiver of the Electric Competition Rules," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No.E-01933A-00-0486. Direct testimony submitted July 24, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 99-057-20. Direct testimony submitted April 19, 2000. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 24, 2000. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 31, 2000. Cross examined June 6 & 8, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP; "In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected May 2, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company for Approval of Their Transition Plans and for Authorization to Collect Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected April 11, 2000.

"2000 Pricing Process," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, oral comments provided March 6, 2000 and April 10, 2000.

"Tucson Electric Power Company vs. Cyprus Sierrita Corporation," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-000001-99-0243. Direct testimony submitted October 25, 1999. Cross examined November 4, 1999.

"Application of Hildale City and Intermountain Municipal Gas Association for an Order Granting Access for Transportation of Interstate Natural Gas over the Pipelines of Questar Gas Company for Hildale, Utah," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 98-057-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application by Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of Its Filing as to Regulatory Assets and Transition Revenues," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01773A-98-0470. Direct testimony submitted July 30, 1999. Cross examined February 28, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; "In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No.

RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 30, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 6, 1999. Cross examined August 11-13, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; "In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 4, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 12, 1999. Cross examined July 14, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; "In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; "In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; "In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted November 30, 1998.

"Hearings on Pricing," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided November 9, 1998.

"Hearings on Customer Choice," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided June 22, 1998; June 29, 1998; July 9, 1998; August 7, 1998; and August 14, 1998.

"In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. U-0000-94-165. Direct and rebuttal testimony filed January 21, 1998. Second rebuttal testimony filed February 4, 1998. Cross examined February 25, 1998.

"In the Matter of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.'s Plans for (1) Electric Rate/Restructuring Pursuant to Opinion No. 96-12; and (2) the Formation of a Holding Company Pursuant to PSL, Sections 70, 108, and 110, and Certain Related Transactions," **New York** Public Service Commission, Case 96-E-0897. Direct testimony filed April 9, 1997. Cross examined May 5, 1997.

"In the Matter of the Petition of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Enforcement of Contract Provisions," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 96-2018-01; "In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for an Order Approving an Amendment to Its Power

Purchase Agreement with Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates," Docket Nos. 05-035-46, and 07-035-99. Direct testimony submitted July 8, 1996. Oral testimony provided March 18, 2008.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, for Approval of Revised Tariff Schedules and an Alternative Form of Regulation Plan," **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 2000-ER-95-99. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 1996.

"In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 95-057-02. Direct testimony submitted June 19, 1995. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 25, 1995. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 7, 1995.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of the Reasonableness of the Rates and Tariffs of Mountain Fuel Supply Company," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-057-15. Direct testimony submitted July 1990. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 1990.

"In the Matter of the Review of the Rates of Utah Power and Light Company pursuant to The Order in Case No. 87-035-27," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-035-10. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 15, 1989. Cross examined December 1, 1989 (rate schedule changes for state facilities).

"In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power & Light Company and PC/UP&L Merging Corp. (to be renamed PacifiCorp) for an Order Authorizing the Merger of Utah Power & Light Company and PacifiCorp into PC/UP&L Merging Corp. and Authorizing the Issuance of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs, and Transfer of Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Authorities in Connection Therewith," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-27; Direct testimony submitted April 11, 1988. Cross examined May 12, 1988 (economic impact of UP&L merger with PacifiCorp).

"In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for Approval of Interruptible Industrial Transportation Rates," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-057-07. Direct testimony submitted January 15, 1988. Cross examined March 30, 1988.

"In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power and Light Company for an Order Approving a Power Purchase Agreement," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-18. Oral testimony delivered July 8, 1987.

"Cogeneration: Small Power Production," **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, Docket No. RM87-12-000. Statement on behalf of State of Utah delivered March 27, 1987, in San Francisco.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of Rates for Backup, Maintenance, Supplementary, and Standby Power for Utah Power and Light Company," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-035-13. Direct testimony submitted January 5, 1987. Case settled by stipulation approved August 1987.

"In the Matter of the Application of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Approval of the Cogeneration Power Purchase Agreement," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-2018-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 16, 1986. Cross examined July 17, 1986.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of Demand-Side Alternatives to Capacity Expansion for Electric Utilities," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 84-999-20. Direct testimony submitted June 17, 1985. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 29, 1985. Cross examined August 19, 1985.

"In the Matter of the Implementation of Rules Governing Cogeneration and Small Power Production in Utah," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 80-999-06, pp. 1293-1318. Direct testimony submitted January 13, 1984 (avoided costs), May 9, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and November 17, 1986 (avoided costs). Cross-examined February 29, 1984 (avoided costs), April 11, 1985 (standard form contracts), May 22-23, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and December 16-17, 1986 (avoided costs).

OTHER RELATED ACTIVITY

Participant, Wyoming Load Growth Collaborative, March 2008 to present.

Participant, Oregon Direct Access Task Force (UM 1081), May 2003 to November 2003.

Participant, Michigan Stranded Cost Collaborative, March 2003 to March 2004.

Member, Arizona Electric Competition Advisory Group, December 2002 to present.

Board of Directors, ex-officio, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002.

Member, Advisory Committee, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002. Acting Chairman, October 2000 to February 2002.

Board of Directors, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to present.

Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to June 1999.

Member, Desert Star ISO Investigation Working Groups: Operations, Pricing, and Governance, April 1997 to December 1999. Legal & Negotiating Committee, April 1999 to December 1999.

Participant, Independent System Operator and Spot Market Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to September 1997.

Participant, Unbundled Services and Standard Offer Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to October 1997.

Participant, Customer Selection Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Stranded Cost Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Electric System Reliability & Safety Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, November 1996 to September 1998.

Chairman, Salt Palace Renovation and Expansion Committee, Salt Lake County/State of Utah/Salt Lake City, multi-government entity responsible for implementation of planning, design, finance, and construction of an \$85 million renovation of the Salt Palace Convention Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1991 to December 1994.

State of Utah Representative, Committee on Regional Electric Power Cooperation, a joint effort of the Western Interstate Energy Board and the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners, January 1987 to December 1990.

Member, Utah Governor's Economic Coordinating Committee, January 1987 to December 1990.

Chairman, Standard Contract Task Force, established by Utah Public Service Commission to address contractual problems relating to qualifying facility sales under PURPA, March 1986 to December 1990.

Chairman, Load Management and Energy Conservation Task Force, Utah Public Service Commission, August 1985 to December 1990.

Alternate Delegate for Utah, Western Interstate Energy Board, Denver, Colorado, August 1985 to December 1990.

Articles Editor, Economic Forum, September 1980 to August 1981.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 13thst day of July, 2009, a true and correct copy of the within and foregoing TESTIMONY OF KEVIN C. HIGGINS in Case No. UE-207, was served by prepaid U.S. Mail and electronically as noted.

Peter Richardson flackacos

<u>ACTION</u>	SERVICE LIST (SERVICE LIST (Parties)	
W=Waive Paper service	C=Confidential HC=Highly Confidential	Sort by Last Name Name	Sort by Company
W	CITIZEN'S UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON		
	G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN (C) LEGAL COUNSEL/STAFF ATTY	610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org	
w	CITIZENS [†] UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON		
	GORDON FEIGHNER (C) ENERGY ANALYST	610 SW BROADWAY PORTLAND OR 9720 gordon@oregoncub.	5
•	ROBERT JENKS (C)	610 SW BROADWAY PORTLAND OR 9720 bob@oregoncub.org	5
	DAVISON VAN CLEVE	*	
	IRION A SANGER (C) ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY	333 SW TAYLOR - S PORTLAND OR 9720 ias@dvclaw.com	

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JASON W JONES (C)
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY

GENERAL

REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS

SECTION

1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.or.us

W ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC

KEVIN HIGGINS (C)

PRINCIPLE

215 STATE ST - STE 200 SALT LAKE UT 84111-2322 khiggins@energystrat.com

W MCDOWELL & RACKNER PC

KATHERINE A MCDOWELL

ATTORNEY

520 SW SIXTH AVE - SUITE 830 PORTLAND OR 97204

katherine@mcd-law.com

W PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT

JOELLE STEWARD REGULATORY MANAGER 825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232

joelle.steward@pacificorp.com

JORDAN A WHITE SENIOR COUNSEL

825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 1800

PORTLAND OR 97232

jordan.white@pacificorp.com

W PACIFICORP OREGON DOCKETS

OREGON DOCKETS

825 NE MULTNOMAH ST

STE 2000

PORTLAND OR 97232

oregondockets@pacificorp.com

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

KELCEY BROWN (C)

PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97301

kelcey.brown@state.or.us

RFI CONSULTING INC

RANDALL J FALKENBERG (C) PMB 362

8343 ROSWELL RD

SANDY SPRINGS GA 30350

consultrfi@aol.com

W RICHARDSON & O'LEARY

PLLC

PETER J RICHARDSON (C) PO BOX 7218

BOISE ID 83707

peter@richardsonandoleary.com

W SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC

GREG BASS

401 WEST A STREET SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO CA 92101