1 2	FM Exhibit 100 Witness: Kevin C. Higgins
3	withess. Kevin C. Higgins
4	
5	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
6	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
7	
8	
9	
10 11	Portland General Electric ) General Rate Case Filing ) Docket No. UE-180
12	) 200001 (01 CE 100
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
27	Direct Testimony of Kevin C. Higgins
28	
29	on behalf of
30	
31	Fred Meyer Stores
32	
33	
-	
34	
35	August 9, 2006

DIRECT	<b>TESTIMONY</b>	OF	KEVIN	C. HIGGIN	S
		OI.			$\cdot$

1	
2	,

3

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 4 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. Kevin C. Higgins, 215 South State Street, Suite 200, Salt Lake City, Utah,
   84111.
- 7 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am a Principal in the firm of Energy Strategies, LLC. Energy Strategies
  is a private consulting firm specializing in economic and policy analysis
  applicable to energy production, transportation, and consumption.
  - Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this phase of the proceeding?
- 12 A. My testimony is being sponsored by Fred Meyer Stores ("Fred Meyer").

  13 Fred Meyer purchases more than 150 million kWh annually in the service

  14 territory of Portland General Electric ("PGE"). Fred Meyer receives most of its

  15 service from PGE under Schedules 83 and 583.
  - Q. Please describe your professional experience and qualifications.
    - A. My academic background is in economics, and I have completed all coursework and field examinations toward a Ph.D. in Economics at the University of Utah. In addition, I have served on the adjunct faculties of both the University of Utah and Westminster College, where I taught undergraduate and graduate courses in economics. I joined Energy Strategies in 1995, where I assist private and public sector clients in the areas of energy-related economic and policy analysis, including evaluation of electric and gas utility rate matters.

1		Prior to joining Energy Strategies, I held policy positions in state and local
2		government. From 1983 to 1990, I was economist, then assistant director, for the
3		Utah Energy Office, where I helped develop and implement state energy policy.
4		From 1991 to 1994, I was chief of staff to the chairman of the Salt Lake County
5		Commission, where I was responsible for development and implementation of a
6		broad spectrum of public policy at the local government level.
7	Q.	Have you ever testified before this Commission?
8	A.	Yes. I have filed testimony in four previous proceedings, including the
9		three most recent PacifiCorp rate cases, UE-179 (2006), UE-170 (2005), and UE-
10		147 (2003). In the 2003 case I co-sponsored joint testimony regarding the
11		stipulation that resolved that case among the parties. In addition, in 2001, I
12		testified in the Portland General Electric restructuring proceeding (UE-115).
13	Q.	Have you participated in any workshop processes sponsored by this
14		Commission?
15	A.	Yes. In 2003, I was an active participant in the collaborative process
16		initiated by the Commission to examine direct access issues in Oregon, UM-1081.
17	Q.	Have you testified before utility regulatory commissions in other states?
18	A.	Yes. I have testified in over sixty proceedings on the subjects of utility
19		rates and regulatory policy before state utility regulators in Alaska, Arizona,
20		Colorado, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota,
21		Nevada, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Utah, Washington, West
22		Virginia, and Wyoming.

A more detailed description of my qualifications is contained in FM Exhibit 101, attached to my direct testimony.

## **Overview and conclusions**

## 5 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. My testimony is directed solely to the design of the Distribution Charge components for Schedules 83-S, 583-S, 83-P, and 583-P. The proposals in my testimony do not impact any other rate schedules.

## Q. Please summarize your conclusions and recommendations to the Commission.

A. I make two rate design recommendations:

- (1) I recommend an adjustment to the Facility Capacity Charge for Schedules 83 and 583 that removes the costs associated with 13-kV single-phase service from primary customer rates, as there are no Schedule 83-P or 583-P customers taking single-phase service. This change would reduce the proposed Facilities Capacity Charge for Schedules 83-P and 583-P by \$0.13 per kW-month and increase it for Schedules 83-S and 583-S by \$0.01 per kW-month; and
- (2) I recommend rejecting the Company's proposed two-block design of the Distribution Demand Charge for customers taking service under Schedules 83-P and 583-P. The two-block design is intended to facilitate the transition for customers whose load growth causes them to migrate from Schedule 32 to Schedule 83. However, as there are no Rate 32 customers taking service at primary voltage, this two-step transition is unnecessary for Schedules 83-P and

583-P, and would unduly distort the demand charge for these customers. Instead, a single demand charge for all Distribution Demand levels should be retained for primary voltage customers.

## Q. Do you have any other introductory comments?

A. Yes. The Distribution Charges for Schedules 83-S and 583-S are identical, as Schedule 583-S is simply the Direct Access counterpart to Schedule 83-S.

Similarly, the Distribution Charges for Schedules 83-P and 583-P are identical, as Schedule 583-P is the Direct Access counterpart for Schedule 83-P. Therefore, my recommendations for adjustments in the Distribution Charges for Schedule 83-S apply equally to Schedule 583-S, and the corresponding changes I recommend for Schedule 83-P apply equally to Schedule 583-P.

A.

## Costs Associated with 13-kV Single-Phase Service

## Q. Please explain your recommendation concerning 13-kV single-phase service.

Currently, PGE allocates costs associated with 13-kV single-phase service to all Schedule 83 and 583 customers, both primary and secondary. However, PGE indicates that it has no primary voltage customers taking single-phase service. Consequently, primary voltage customers are being allocated costs that are not associated with primary service. For this reason, it would be appropriate to remove the costs associated with single-phase service from the 83-P and 583-P Facility Capacity Charge, and assign all Schedule 83/583 single-phase costs to Schedules 83-S and 583-S.

## Q. What would be the impact of this change?

1	A.	This change would reduce PGE's proposed Facilities Capacity Charge for
2		Schedules 83-P and 583-P by \$0.13 per kW-month and increase it slightly for
3		Schedules 83-S and 583-S by \$0.01 per kW-month. <sup>1</sup>
4	Q.	If your recommendation is adopted, what are the implications for the
5		relationship between primary and secondary rates?
6	A.	Currently, the Facility Capacity Charge for Schedules 83-S and 583-S is
7		\$2.27 per kW-month and for Schedules 83-P and 583-P it is \$1.65 per kW-month
8		The current design of the Facility Capacity Charge results in a secondary/primary
9		voltage differential of \$0.62 per kW-month.

In this proceeding, PGE is proposing a slight increase in the Facility Capacity Charge for Schedules 83-S and 583-S to \$2.29 per kW-month, but is proposing a substantial increase for Schedules 83-P and 583-P to \$2.11 per kW-month. This change proposed by the Company would narrow the secondary/primary voltage differential to just \$0.18 per kW-month.

Holding all other things constant, the cost-based adjustment I am recommending would result in a Facility Capacity Charge for Schedules 83-S and 583-S of \$2.30 per kW-month, and for Schedules 83-P and 583-P of \$1.98 per kW-month. This adjustment would result in a secondary/primary voltage differential of \$0.32 per kW-month – higher than the Company's current proposal, but just slightly more than half of the current differential. These comparisons are summarized in Table KCH-1, below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PGE Data Response to Fred Meyer 002.

1 2			Table KC	CH-1		
3 4	Comparison of Schedule 83/583 Facility Capacity Charges					
5	(\$/kW-month)					
6 7 8 9 10 11		Proposal Current rates PGE, as filed Fred Meyer	83-S/583-S \$2.27 \$2.29 \$2.30	83-P/583-P \$1.65 \$2.11 \$1.98	Sec./Primary Diff. \$0.62 \$0.18 \$0.32	
12	Q. What do you recommend if the Distribution revenue requirement for					
13	Schedules 83 and 583 is reduced from the Company's filed request?					
14	A.	. In that case, PGE's proposed Facility Capacity Charges should receive a				
15	proportionate reduction, and the final approved rates should reflect the					
16		relationship betw	een primary and second	dary rates that is c	onsistent with my	
17		design recommen	ndation shown in the Fr	red Meyer proposa	al in Table KCH-1,	
18		above.				
19						
20	<u>Distr</u>	ibution Demand C	Charge Design			
21	Q.	Please explain yo	our recommendation	concerning the d	esign of the	
22		Distribution Der	nand Charge for Sche	edules 83 and 583	3.	
23	A.	Currently	, the Distribution Dema	and Charge for cu	stomers taking service	
24		under Schedules	83-S and 583-S has two	o blocks: for the fi	irst 30 kW of demand	
25		the charge is \$0.5	66 per kW-month, and f	for all demand ove	er this amount the	
26		charge is \$1.89 pe	er kW-month. This see	mingly-odd, two-l	block design is intended	
27		to facilitate the tr	ansition for customers	whose load growt	h causes them to	
28		migrate from Sch	edule 32 to Schedule 8	3.		

In contrast, for customers taking service at primary voltage, Schedules 83-P and 583-P, current rates are appropriately designed using only a single block: there are no Schedule 32 customers taking service at primary voltage and, therefore, no need to alter the Distribution Demand Charge to facilitate a hypothetical transition to Schedule 83.

However, in the current proceeding, PGE proposes that the Distribution Demand Charge for Schedules 83-P and 583-P conform to the same two-block structure used for Schedules 83-S and 583-S. Specifically, for Schedules 83-S, 83-P, 583-S, and 583-P, PGE proposes a Distribution Demand Charge of \$2.07 per kW-month for the first 30 kW of demand and \$2.64 per kW-month for all demand over this amount.

The general problem with this two-block approach is that it distorts the recovery of costs within each rate schedule: in order to facilitate the transition for Schedule 32 customers, the rate for Schedule 83/583 customers with small demands is artificially suppressed and the rate for customers with larger demands is correspondingly increased. This distortion may be justifiable if it is accomplishing another rate design objective, such as facilitating the transition for Schedule 32 customers. But in the case of primary voltage customers – with no need to facilitate a transition from Schedule 32 – introducing the distortion of the two-block design does not serve a useful purpose. Therefore, I recommend that a single demand charge for all Distribution Demand levels be retained for primary voltage customers on Schedules 83-P and 583-P.

# Q. What would be the impact of rejecting a two-block design for primary voltage customers?

A. All other things constant, modifying PGE's proposed rate design to price the Distribution Demand Charge for Schedules 83-P and 583-P using a single block would result in a Distribution Demand Charge of \$2.45 per kW-month for all kW.<sup>2</sup>

A comparison of the Company's proposal and my recommendation are summarized in Table KCH-2, below.

Table KCH-2

10	Comparison of Schedule 83/583 Distribution Demand Charges (\$/kW-month)				
11					
12					
13	<u>Proposal</u>	83-S/583-S	83-P/583-P		
14	Current rates				
15	First 30 kW	\$0.56	\$1.89		
16	Over 30 kW	\$1.89	\$1.89		
17					
18	PGE, as filed				
19	First 30 kW	\$2.07	\$2.07		
20	Over 30 kW	\$2.64	\$2.64		
21					
22	Fred Meyer				
23	First 30 kW	\$2.07	\$2.45		
24	Over 30 kW	\$2.64	\$2.45		

## Q. What do you recommend if the Distribution revenue requirement for

## Schedules 83 and 583 is reduced from the Company's filed request?

A. In that case, PGE's proposed Distribution Demand Charges should receive a proportionate reduction, and the final approved rates should reflect the single-block pricing for primary customers at the reduced revenue requirement level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PGE Data Response to Fred Meyer 004.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

## KEVIN C. HIGGINS

## Principal, Energy Strategies, L.L.C. 39 Market St., Suite 200, Salt Lake City, UT 84101 (801) 355-4365

#### Vitae

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

<u>Principal</u>, Energy Strategies, L.L.C., Salt Lake City, Utah, January 2000 to present. Responsible for energy-related economic and policy analysis, regulatory intervention, and strategic negotiation on behalf of industrial, commercial, and public sector interests. Previously <u>Senior Associate</u>, February 1995 to December 1999.

Adjunct Instructor in Economics, Westminster College, Salt Lake City, Utah, September 1981 to May 1982; September 1987 to May 1995. Taught in the economics and M.B.A. programs. Awarded Adjunct Professor of the Year, Gore School of Business, 1990-91.

Chief of Staff to the Chairman, Salt Lake County Board of Commissioners, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1991 to January 1995. Senior executive responsibility for all matters of county government, including formulation and execution of public policy, delivery of approximately 140 government services, budget adoption and fiscal management (over \$300 million), strategic planning, coordination with elected officials, and communication with consultants and media.

Assistant Director, Utah Energy Office, Utah Department of Natural Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1985 to January 1991. Directed the agency's resource development section, which provided energy policy analysis to the Governor, implemented state energy development policy, coordinated state energy data collection and dissemination, and managed energy technology demonstration programs. Position responsibilities included policy formulation and implementation, design and administration of energy technology demonstration programs, strategic management of the agency's interventions before the Utah Public Service Commission, budget preparation, and staff development. Supervised a staff of economists, engineers, and policy analysts, and served as lead economist on selected projects.

<u>Utility Economist</u>, Utah Energy Office, January 1985 to August 1985. Provided policy and economic analysis pertaining to energy conservation and resource development, with an emphasis on utility issues. Testified before the state Public Service Commission as an expert witness in cases related to the above.

<u>Acting Assistant Director</u>, Utah Energy Office, June 1984 to January 1985. Same responsibilities as Assistant Director identified above.

<u>Research Economist</u>, Utah Energy Office, October 1983 to June 1984. Provided economic analysis pertaining to renewable energy resource development and utility issues. Experience includes preparation of testimony, development of strategy, and appearance as an expert witness for the Energy Office before the Utah PSC.

Operations Research Assistant, Corporate Modeling and Operations Research Department, Utah Power and Light Company, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1983 to September 1983. Primary area of responsibility: designing and conducting energy load forecasts.

<u>Instructor in Economics</u>, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 1982 to April 1983. Taught intermediate microeconomics, principles of macroeconomics, and economics as a social science.

<u>Teacher</u>, Vernon-Verona-Sherrill School District, Verona, New York, September 1976 to June 1978.

## **EDUCATION**

Ph.D. Candidate, Economics, University of Utah (coursework and field exams completed, 1981).

Fields of Specialization: Public Finance, Urban and Regional Economics, Economic Development, International Economics, History of Economic Doctrines.

Bachelor of Science, Education, State University of New York at Plattsburgh, 1976 (cum laude).

Danish International Studies Program, University of Copenhagen, 1975.

### SCHOLARSHIPS AND FELLOWSHIPS

University Research Fellow, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 1982 to 1983. Research Fellow, Institute of Human Resources Management, University of Utah, 1980 to 1982. Teaching Fellow, Economics Department, University of Utah, 1978 to 1980. New York State Regents Scholar, 1972 to 1976.

#### **EXPERT TESTIMONY**

"2006 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-060266 and UG-060267. Response testimony submitted July 19, 2006.

In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company's Oregon Annual Revenues, Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-179. Direct testimony submitted July 12, 2006.

"Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan," **Pennsylvania** Public Utilities Commission, Docket Nos. P-00062213 and R-00061366; "Petition of Pennsylvania Electric Company for Approval of a Rate Transition Plan," Docket Nos. P-0062214 and R-00061367; Merger Savings Remand Proceeding, Docket Nos. A-110300F0095 and A-110400F0040. Direct testimony submitted July 10, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 8, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for approval of its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules & Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 06-035-21. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2006 (Test Period). Surrebuttal testimony submitted July 14, 2006.

"Joint Application of Questar Gas Company, the Division of Public Utilities, and Utah Clean Energy for the Approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff Adjustment Option and Accounting Orders," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 05-057-T01. Direct testimony submitted May 15, 2006.

"Central Illinois Light Company d/b/a AmerenCILCO, Central Illinois Power Company d/b/a AmerenCIPS, Illinois Power Company d/b/a AmerenIP, Proposed General Increase in Rates for Delivery Service (Tariffs Filed December 27, 2005)," **Illinois** Commerce Commission, Docket Nos. 06-0070, 06-0071, 06-0072. Direct testimony submitted March 26, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2006.

"In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, both dba American Electric Power," Public Service Commission of **West Virginia**, Case No. 05-1278-E-PC-PW-42T. Direct testimony submitted March 8, 2006.

"In the Matter of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota," **Minnesota** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. G-002/GR-05-1428. Direct testimony submitted March 2, 2006. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2006. Cross examined April 25, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for an Emergency Interim Rate Increase and for an Interim Amendment to Decision No. 67744," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-06-0009. Direct testimony submitted February 28, 2006. Cross examined March 23, 2006.

"In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in Their Charges for Electric Service," State Corporation Commission of **Kansas**, Case No. 05-WSEE-981-RTS. Direct testimony submitted September 9, 2005. Cross examined October 28, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Recover Costs Associated with the Construction and Ultimate Operation of an Integrated Combined Cycle Electric Generating Facility," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**," Case No. 05-376-EL-UNC. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2005. Cross examined August 12, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Filing of General Rate Case Information by Tucson Electric Power Company Pursuant to Decision No. 62103," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-04-0408. Direct testimony submitted June 24, 2005.

"In the Matter of Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Unbundle and Realign Its Rate Schedules for Jurisdictional Retail Sales of Electricity," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14399. Direct testimony submitted June 9, 2005. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 1, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and Other Relief," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-14347. Direct testimony submitted June 3, 2005. Rebuttal testimony submitted June 17, 2005.

"In the Matter of Pacific Power & Light, Request for a General Rate Increase in the Company's Oregon Annual Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE 170. Direct testimony submitted May 9, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted June 27, 2005. Joint testimony regarding partial stipulations submitted June 2005, July 2005, and August 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. for a Rate Increase," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01461A-04-0607. Direct testimony submitted April 13, 2005. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 16, 2005. Cross examined May 26, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 04-035-42. Direct testimony submitted January 7, 2005.

"In the Matter of the Application by Golden Valley Electric Association, Inc., for Authority to Implement Simplified Rate Filing Procedures and Adjust Rates," Regulatory Commission of **Alaska**, Docket No. U-4-33. Direct testimony submitted November 5, 2004. Cross examined February 8, 2005.

"Advice Letter No. 1411 - Public Service Company of Colorado Electric Phase II General Rate Case," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 04S-164E. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted December 13, 2004. Testimony withdrawn January 18, 2005, following Applicant's withdrawal of testimony pertaining to TOU rates.

"In the Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2004 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 18300-U. Direct testimony submitted October 8, 2004. Cross examined October 27, 2004.

"2004 Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-040641 and UG-040640. Response testimony submitted September 23, 2004. Cross-answer testimony submitted November 3, 2004. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted December 6, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for an Investigation of Interjurisdictional Issues," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-035-04. Direct testimony submitted July 15, 2004. Cross examined July 19, 2004.

"In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Kentucky Utilities Company," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00434. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

"In the Matter of an Adjustment of the Gas and Electric Rates, Terms and Conditions of Louisville Gas and Electric Company," **Kentucky** Public Service Commission, Case No. 2003-00433. Direct testimony submitted March 23, 2004. Testimony withdrawn pursuant to stipulation entered May 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of Idaho Power Company for Authority to Increase Its Interim and Base Rates and Charges for Electric Service," **Idaho** Public Utilities Commission, Case No. IPC-E-03-13. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 19, 2004. Cross examined April 1, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Applications of the Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Continue and Modify Certain Regulatory Accounting Practices and Procedures, for Tariff Approvals and to Establish Rates and Other Charges, Including Regulatory Transition Charges Following the Market Development Period," Public Utilities Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 03-2144-EL-ATA. Direct testimony submitted February 6, 2004. Cross examined February 18, 2004.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, To Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, To Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, and For Approval of Purchased Power Contract," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-03-0437. Direct testimony submitted February 3, 2004. Rebuttal testimony submitted March 30, 2004. Direct testimony regarding stipulation submitted September 27, 2004. Responsive / Clarifying testimony regarding stipulation submitted October 25, 2004. Cross examined November 8-10, 2004 and November 29-December 3, 2004.

"In the Matter of Application of the Detroit Edison Company to Increase Rates, Amend Its Rate Schedules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, etc.," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13808. Direct testimony submitted December 12, 2003 (interim request) and March 5, 2004 (general rate case).

"In the Matter of PacifiCorp's Filing of Revised Tariff Schedules," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-147. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted August 21, 2003.

"Petition of PSI Energy, Inc. for Authority to Increase Its Rates and Charges for Electric Service, etc.," **Indiana** Utility Regulatory Commission, Cause No. 42359. Direct testimony submitted August 19, 2003. Cross examined November 5, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for a Financing Order Approving the Securitization of Certain of its Qualified Cost," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13715. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 2003. Cross examined April 23, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of Adjustment Mechanisms," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-02-0403. Direct testimony submitted February 13, 2003. Surrebuttal testimony submitted March 20, 2003. Cross examined April 8, 2003.

"Re: The Investigation and Suspension of Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado, Advice Letter No. 1373 – Electric, Advice Letter No. 593 – Gas, Advice Letter No. 80

– Steam," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket No. 02S-315 EG. Direct testimony submitted November 22, 2002. Cross-answer testimony submitted January 24, 2003.

"In the Matter of the Application of The Detroit Edison Company to Implement the Commission's Stranded Cost Recovery Procedure and for Approval of Net Stranded Cost Recovery Charges," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13350. Direct testimony submitted November 12, 2002.

"Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company: Adjustments in the Company's Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs," Public Service Commission of **South Carolina**, Docket No. 2002-223-E. Direct testimony submitted November 8, 2002. Surrebuttal testimony submitted November 18, 2002. Cross examined November 21, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for a General Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 02-057-02. Direct testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted October 4, 2002.

"The Kroger Co. v. Dynegy Power Marketing, Inc.," **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, EL02-119-000. Confidential affidavit filed August 13, 2002.

"In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for determination of net stranded costs and for approval of net stranded cost recovery charges," **Michigan** Public Service Commission, Case No. U-13380. Direct testimony submitted August 9, 2002. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 2002. Cross examined September 10, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for an Order to Revise Its Incentive Cost Adjustment," **Colorado** Public Utilities Commission, Docket 02A-158E. Direct testimony submitted April 18, 2002.

"In the Matter of the Generic Proceedings Concerning Electric Restructuring Issues," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-00000A-02-0051, "In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company's Request for Variance of Certain Requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1606," Docket No. E-01345A-01-0822, "In the Matter of the Generic Proceeding Concerning the Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator," Docket No. E-00000A-01-0630, "In the Matter of Tucson Electric Power Company's Application for a Variance of Certain Electric Competition Rules Compliance Dates," Docket No. E-01933A-02-0069, "In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471. Direct testimony submitted March 29, 2002 (APS variance request); May 29, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues); and July 28, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Rebuttal testimony submitted August 29, 2003 (Arizona ISA). Cross examined June 21, 2002 (APS Track A proceeding/market power issues) and September 12, 2003 (Arizona ISA).

"In the Matter of Savannah Electric & Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14618-U. Direct testimony submitted March 15, 2002. Cross examined March 28, 2002.

"Nevada Power Company's 2001 Deferred Energy Case," Public Utilities Commission of **Nevada**, PUCN 01-11029. Direct testimony submitted February 7, 2002. Cross examined February 21, 2002.

"2001 Puget Sound Energy Interim Rate Case," **Washington** Utilities and Transportation Commission, Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UE-011571. Direct testimony submitted January 30, 2002. Cross examined February 20, 2002.

"In the Matter of Georgia Power Company's 2001 Rate Case," **Georgia** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 14000-U. Direct testimony submitted October 12, 2001. Cross examined October 24, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Rate Schedules and Electric Service Regulations," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 01-35-01. Direct testimony submitted June 15, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 31, 2001.

"In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company's Proposal to Restructure and Reprice Its Services in Accordance with the Provisions of SB 1149," Public Utility Commission of **Oregon**, Docket No. UE-115. Direct testimony submitted February 20, 2001. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 4, 2001. Joint testimony regarding stipulation submitted July 27, 2001.

"In the Matter of the Application of APS Energy Services, Inc. for Declaratory Order or Waiver of the Electric Competition Rules," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No.E-01933A-00-0486. Direct testimony submitted July 24, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 99-057-20. Direct testimony submitted April 19, 2000. Rebuttal testimony submitted May 24, 2000. Surrebuttal testimony submitted May 31, 2000. Cross examined June 6 & 8, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1729-EL-ETP; "In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Approval of Electric Transition Plan and Application for Receipt of Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1730-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected May 2, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of FirstEnergy Corp. on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and the Toledo Edison Company for Approval of Their Transition Plans and for Authorization to Collect Transition Revenues," Public Utility Commission of **Ohio**, Case No. 99-1212-EL-ETP. Direct testimony prepared, but not submitted pursuant to settlement agreement effected April 11, 2000.

"2000 Pricing Process," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, oral comments provided March 6, 2000 and April 10, 2000.

"Tucson Electric Power Company vs. Cyprus Sierrita Corporation," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-000001-99-0243. Direct testimony submitted October 25, 1999. Cross examined November 4, 1999.

"Application of Hildale City and Intermountain Municipal Gas Association for an Order Granting Access for Transportation of Interstate Natural Gas over the Pipelines of Questar Gas Company for Hildale, Utah," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 98-057-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 30, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application by Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of Its Filing as to Regulatory Assets and Transition Revenues," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01773A-98-0470. Direct testimony submitted July 30, 1999. Cross examined February 28, 2000.

"In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; "In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 30, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted August 6, 1999. Cross examined August 11-13, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; "In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted June 4, 1999. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 12, 1999. Cross examined July 14, 1999.

"In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. E-01933A-98-0471; "In the Matter of the Filing of Tucson Electric Power Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to

A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01933A-97-0772; "In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Approval of its Plan for Stranded Cost Recovery," Docket No. E-01345A-98-0473; "In the Matter of the Filing of Arizona Public Service Company of Unbundled Tariffs Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.," Docket No. E-01345A-97-0773; "In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," Docket No. RE-00000C-94-0165. Direct testimony submitted November 30, 1998.

"Hearings on Pricing," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided November 9, 1998.

"Hearings on Customer Choice," **Salt River Project** Board of Directors, written and oral comments provided June 22, 1998; June 29, 1998; July 9, 1998; August 7, 1998; and August 14, 1998.

"In the Matter of the Competition in the Provision of Electric Service Throughout the State of Arizona," **Arizona** Corporation Commission, Docket No. U-0000-94-165. Direct and rebuttal testimony filed January 21, 1998. Second rebuttal testimony filed February 4, 1998. Cross examined February 25, 1998.

"In the Matter of Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.'s Plans for (1) Electric Rate/Restructuring Pursuant to Opinion No. 96-12; and (2) the Formation of a Holding Company Pursuant to PSL, Sections 70, 108, and 110, and Certain Related Transactions," **New York** Public Service Commission, Case 96-E-0897. Direct testimony filed April 9, 1997. Cross examined May 5, 1997.

"In the Matter of the Petition of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Enforcement of Contract Provisions," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 96-2018-01. Direct testimony submitted July 8, 1996.

"In the Matter of the Application of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, for Approval of Revised Tariff Schedules and an Alternative Form of Regulation Plan," **Wyoming** Public Service Commission, Docket No. 2000-ER-95-99. Direct testimony submitted April 8, 1996.

"In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for an Increase in Rates and Charges," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 95-057-02. Direct testimony submitted June 19, 1995. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 25, 1995. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 7, 1995.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of the Reasonableness of the Rates and Tariffs of Mountain Fuel Supply Company," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-057-15. Direct testimony submitted July 1990. Surrebuttal testimony submitted August 1990.

"In the Matter of the Review of the Rates of Utah Power and Light Company pursuant to The Order in Case No. 87-035-27," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 89-035-10. Rebuttal testimony submitted November 15, 1989. Cross examined December 1, 1989 (rate schedule changes for state facilities).

"In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power & Light Company and PC/UP&L Merging Corp. (to be renamed PacifiCorp) for an Order Authorizing the Merger of Utah Power & Light Company and PacifiCorp into PC/UP&L Merging Corp. and Authorizing the Issuance of Securities, Adoption of Tariffs, and Transfer of Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Authorities in Connection Therewith," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-27; Direct testimony submitted April 11, 1988. Cross examined May 12, 1988 (economic impact of UP&L merger with PacifiCorp).

"In the Matter of the Application of Mountain Fuel Supply Company for Approval of Interruptible Industrial Transportation Rates," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-057-07. Direct testimony submitted January 15, 1988. Cross examined March 30, 1988.

"In the Matter of the Application of Utah Power and Light Company for an Order Approving a Power Purchase Agreement," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 87-035-18. Oral testimony delivered July 8, 1987.

"Cogeneration: Small Power Production," **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**, Docket No. RM87-12-000. Statement on behalf of State of Utah delivered March 27, 1987, in San Francisco.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of Rates for Backup, Maintenance, Supplementary, and Standby Power for Utah Power and Light Company," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-035-13. Direct testimony submitted January 5, 1987. Case settled by stipulation approved August 1987.

"In the Matter of the Application of Sunnyside Cogeneration Associates for Approval of the Cogeneration Power Purchase Agreement," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 86-2018-01. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 16, 1986. Cross examined July 17, 1986.

"In the Matter of the Investigation of Demand-Side Alternatives to Capacity Expansion for Electric Utilities," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 84-999-20. Direct testimony submitted June 17, 1985. Rebuttal testimony submitted July 29, 1985. Cross examined August 19, 1985.

"In the Matter of the Implementation of Rules Governing Cogeneration and Small Power Production in Utah," **Utah** Public Service Commission, Case No. 80-999-06, pp. 1293-1318.

Direct testimony submitted January 13, 1984 (avoided costs), May 9, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and November 17, 1986 (avoided costs). Cross-examined February 29, 1984 (avoided costs), April 11, 1985 (standard form contracts), May 22-23, 1986 (security for levelized contracts) and December 16-17, 1986 (avoided costs).

### OTHER RELATED ACTIVITY

Participant, Oregon Direct Access Task Force (UM 1081), May 2003 to November 2003.

Participant, Michigan Stranded Cost Collaborative, March 2003 to March 2004.

Member, Arizona Electric Competition Advisory Group, December 2002 to present.

Board of Directors, ex-officio, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002.

Member, Advisory Committee, Desert STAR RTO, September 1999 to February 2002. Acting Chairman, October 2000 to February 2002.

Board of Directors, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to present.

Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrator Association, October 1998 to June 1999.

Member, Desert Star ISO Investigation Working Groups: Operations, Pricing, and Governance, April 1997 to present. Legal & Negotiating Committee, April 1999 to December 1999.

Participant, Independent System Operator and Spot Market Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to September 1997.

Participant, Unbundled Services and Standard Offer Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, April 1997 to October 1997.

Participant, Customer Selection Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Stranded Cost Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, March 1997 to September 1997.

Member, Electric System Reliability & Safety Working Group, Arizona Corporation Commission, November 1996 to September 1998.

Chairman, Salt Palace Renovation and Expansion Committee, Salt Lake County/State of Utah/Salt Lake City, multi-government entity responsible for implementation of planning, design, finance, and construction of an \$85 million renovation of the Salt Palace Convention Center, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 1991 to December 1994.

State of Utah Representative, Committee on Regional Electric Power Cooperation, a joint effort of the Western Interstate Energy Board and the Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners, January 1987 to December 1990.

Member, Utah Governor's Economic Coordinating Committee, January 1987 to December 1990.

Chairman, Standard Contract Task Force, established by Utah Public Service Commission to address contractual problems relating to qualifying facility sales under PURPA, March 1986 to December 1990.

Chairman, Load Management and Energy Conservation Task Force, Utah Public Service Commission, August 1985 to December 1990.

Alternate Delegate for Utah, Western Interstate Energy Board, Denver, Colorado, August 1985 to December 1990.

Articles Editor, Economic Forum, September 1980 to August 1981.