

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

AR 626

In the Matter of

Rulemaking Regarding Certificate of  
Public Convenience and Necessity.

**NOTICE OF SPECIAL PUBLIC  
MEETING AND AGENDA**

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon will hold a Special Public Meeting in this proceeding as follows:

**DATE:** June 25, 2020

**TIME:** 9:30 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

**LOCATION:** By Telephone  
1-866-390-1828  
Access code: 2252868#

This notice provides our planned structure for this meeting. Staff will provide a brief overview of the approach and rationale for rulemaking and provide an introduction for each topic. The Commissioners will provide a structure to receive and discuss comments from stakeholders present, and may contribute their thoughts and ask questions of Staff and stakeholders during each topic.

1. Approach and rationale for rulemaking – *5 minutes* – Staff
  - a. Modernization
  - b. Protection
  - c. Transparency
2. Scope of rulemaking – *45 minutes*
  - a. Is the amount of information required in initial filings appropriate? Does there need to be more specificity regarding what petitioners must include?
  - b. Do the decision criteria in the draft rules adequately address the elements the Commission considers in review of a CPCN? Should certain criteria be assigned more weight than others in the rules?

3. Relevance of other administrative decisions – *30 minutes*
  - a. Should other administrative decisions from PUC or otherwise (e.g., IRP acknowledgment or EFSC decision) establish precedent for a decision in a CPCN proceeding? To what extent, if any, should such decisions be considered?
  - b. Should consumer- or publicly-owned utilities’ determinations and decision-making criteria be considered differently?
4. Timing of the CPCN Petition – *20 minutes*
  - a. Should the CPCN petition be a “capstone filing,” as Staff describes, to follow all other permitting steps?
  - b. When must the petition be filed?
  - c. Is it appropriate to require that all land use decisions be obtained before the petition is filed?
5. Re-opener – *20 minutes*
  - a. What procedural options should be available in the event new material information becomes available after the CPCN is issued?
6. Separate tracks – *10 minutes*
  - a. Should there be different processes based on the type of petitioner (e.g. consumer- and publicly-owned utilities, investor-owned utilities)?

In the time remaining, we will accept open comments on issues not already addressed, and participants may briefly revisit issues 1-6 above if necessary. Commissioners may provide closing comments.

Dated this 18<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020, at Salem, Oregon.

**Megan W. Decker**

**Letha Tawney**

**Mark R. Thompson**

---

**Chair**

---

**Commissioner**

---

**Commissioner**

<p><b>IF YOU HAVE A DISABILITY AND NEED ACCOMMODATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS CONFERENCE, PLEASE LET US KNOW</b> <b>(503) 378-6678, Oregon Relay Service: 7-1-1, or e-mail <a href="mailto:puc.hearings@state.or.us">puc.hearings@state.or.us</a></b></p>
--