

## TAYLOR Annette M

---

**From:** PINES Shani  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 22, 2013 9:08 AM  
**To:** TAYLOR Annette M  
**Subject:** FW: Docket Number UE 264--Notice of Filing--Oregon PUC

---

**From:** Katherine McDowell [mailto:katherine@mcd-law.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2013 1:36 PM  
**To:** PINES Shani  
**Cc:** bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com; catriona@oregoncub.org; greg@richardsonandoleary.com; Irion A. Sanger; WEIRICH Michael; sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com; Samuel Roberts  
**Subject:** RE: Docket Number UE 264--Notice of Filing--Oregon PUC

Judge Pines, thank you for your response. The parties have agreed on the proposed schedule set forth below. We respectfully request that you allow the parties to complete briefing two days later than your stated deadline (September 25 instead of September 23). The intervening holidays (Labor Day and the Jewish High Holidays) made it difficult to reach a consensus briefing schedule that met the September 23 deadline. If this consensus schedule is not acceptable, the parties will submit separate proposed schedules. Many thanks, Katherine

PacifiCorp's Opening Brief: September 6  
Parties' Response Brief: September 18  
PacifiCorp's Reply Brief: September 25

---

**From:** PINES Shani [mailto:shani.pines@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2013 9:53 AM  
**To:** Katherine McDowell  
**Cc:** bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com; catriona@oregoncub.org; greg@richardsonandoleary.com; Irion A. Sanger; WEIRICH Michael; sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com; Samuel Roberts  
**Subject:** RE: Docket Number UE 264--Notice of Filing--Oregon PUC

Thank you. If parties are unable to agree to a proposed schedule, they may submit separate proposed schedules. I intend to adopt a schedule with sequential post-hearing briefs. All briefing must be complete by September 23, 2013.

---

**From:** Katherine McDowell [mailto:katherine@mcd-law.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 5:55 PM  
**To:** PINES Shani  
**Cc:** Irion A. Sanger; G. Catriona McCracken (catriona@oregoncub.org); Samuel Roberts; Adams, Greg (Noble Americas) (greg@richardsonandoleary.com); WEIRICH Michael; Wallace, Sarah (Sarah.Wallace@PacifiCorp.com); Bryce Dalley (bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com)  
**Subject:** RE: Docket Number UE 264--Notice of Filing--Oregon PUC

Judge Pines, Following up on your ruling on August 19, the parties have started conferring on a proposed schedule for briefing in this case. Two preliminary questions came up and the parties agreed to ask for your guidance on them.

First, the March 6, 2013 ruling in this case and docket UE 263 directs sequential post-hearing briefs. CUB and ICNU have requested that there only be one simultaneous closing brief. PacifiCorp supports sequential briefing as directed by the order. If the Commission is open instead to a simultaneous schedule, PacifiCorp requests an opportunity to file a reply brief, similar to all prior TAM cases. CUB and ICNU object stating that they do not believe there is need for more than the one simultaneous brief.

Second, the schedule in this case includes a target date for the final order of October 29, 2013. In setting our briefing schedule, when does the case need to be finally submitted to allow the Commission to issue an order by that date? CUB and ICNU have pointed out that one simultaneous brief would help in meeting this schedule. PacifiCorp believes there is sufficient time to accommodate whatever briefing schedule the Commission directs.

Please advise on the Commission's preferences with respect to the sequence for post-hearing briefs in this case. The parties are also available to address these issues through a telephone conference.

Best regards, Katherine

---

**From:** TAYLOR Annette M [<mailto:annette.m.taylor@state.or.us>]

**Sent:** Monday, August 19, 2013 8:41 AM

**To:** [bob@oregoncub.org](mailto:bob@oregoncub.org); [catriona@oregoncub.org](mailto:catriona@oregoncub.org); [dockets@oregoncub.org](mailto:dockets@oregoncub.org); [doug.tingey@pgn.com](mailto:doug.tingey@pgn.com); [dws@r-c-s-inc.com](mailto:dws@r-c-s-inc.com); [qbass@noblesolutions.com](mailto:qbass@noblesolutions.com); [george.waidelich@safeway.com](mailto:george.waidelich@safeway.com); [greg@richardsonadams.com](mailto:greg@richardsonadams.com); [ias@dvclaw.com](mailto:ias@dvclaw.com); CRIDER John; Katherine McDowell; [khiggins@energystrat.com](mailto:khiggins@energystrat.com); [lissa.maldonado@safeway.com](mailto:lissa.maldonado@safeway.com); WEIRICH Michael; [mjd@dvclaw.com](mailto:mjd@dvclaw.com); [oregondockets@pacificorp.com](mailto:oregondockets@pacificorp.com); [pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com](mailto:pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com); [sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com](mailto:sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com); [sroberts@eugenelaw.com](mailto:sroberts@eugenelaw.com); [stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com](mailto:stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com); [yamservices@freedomnw.com](mailto:yamservices@freedomnw.com)

**Cc:** CRIDER John; ORDONEZ Jorge; GALBRAITH Maury; WEIRICH Michael; PINES Shani

**Subject:** Docket Number UE 264--Notice of Filing--Oregon PUC

Description: ALJ Shani Pines issues Ruling; DISPOSITION: HEARING CANCELED. Copies served electronically 8/19/13.

Docket Name: 2014 TRANSITION ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

Utility Company: PACIFIC POWER -- ELEC, IV\_E

Type of Activity: LAW JUDGE RULING/MEMORANDA, filed on 8/19/2013.

To view this document, please click on the below link:

<http://edocs.puc.state.or.us/efdocs/HDA/ue264hda84045.pdf>

You are receiving this email notice as part of the Commission's electronic filing (eFiling) project. All parties on the Commission's service list will receive email notices of all documents filed in this docket. The Commission will also provide electronic service of all related rulings, notices, and orders via email. If you are unable to view documents electronically and therefore need to receive hard copies, please send a statement of need to:

Public Utility Commission

Administrative Hearings Division

PO Box 2148