

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1829, UM 1830, UM 1831, UM 1832, UM 1833

BLUE MARMOT V LLC (UM 1829),
BLUE MARMOT VI LLC (UM 1830),
BLUE MARMOT VII LLC (UM 1831),
BLUE MARMOT VIII LLC (UM 1832),
BLUE MARMOT IX LLC (UM 1833),

Complainants,

vs.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Defendant.

MEMORANDUM AND RULING

Pursuant to ORS 756.500.

DISPOSITION: PARTIES ADVISED REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT FORMAT

On May 21, 2019, a notice of hearing (oral argument) was scheduled in these dockets to be held on June 27, 2019, at 9:30 a.m. in the Commission hearing room in Salem, Oregon.

There are numerous questions of law, fact, and policy which must be addressed by the Commission. In particular, the Commissioners would like to have the parties address the following general areas in addition to any particular issues they intend to raise:

- FERC-State Jurisdictional Issues
- Controlling law with respect to (a) transmission and delivery constraints (b) the scope of the Legally Enforceable Obligation (LEO), (c) utility obligations to QFs and customer indifference standard
- Application of the law to the disputed facts in this case including (a) parties' negotiations and communications, (b) capacity and delivery constraints, (c) reservation of EIM capacity and opportunity costs

Each party should be prepared to structure its presentations according to the following general guidelines:

ARGUMENT	TIME ALLOTTED
Complainants' Opening Argument	30 minutes
Defendant's Opening Argument	30 minutes
Complainants' Rebuttal Argument	20 minutes
Defendant's Surrebuttal argument	20 minutes
Concluding remarks and remaining questions	20 minutes

Dated this 12th day of June, 2019, at Salem, Oregon.



Allan J. Arlow
Administrative Law Judge