

ISSUED: February 22, 2018

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1829, UM 1830, UM 1831, UM 1832, UM 1833

BLUE MARMOT V LLC (UM 1829)
BLUE MARMOT VI LLC (UM 1830)
BLUE MARMOT VII LLC (UM 1831)
BLUE MARMOT VIII LLC (UM 1832)
BLUE MARMOT IX LLC (UM 1833),

Complainants,

vs.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Defendant.

RULING

DISPOSITION: REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION GRANTED


On December 13, 2017, I issued a ruling on the October 25, 2017 Motion to Strike filed by Portland General Electric Company (PGE) with respect to the testimony of William Talbott (Blue Marmot/200, Talbott) and Keegan Moyer (Blue Marmot/300, Moyer).

On January 4, 2018, PGE filed a Request for Clarification, to which the complainants interposed no objection, stating that the following sections included in the PGE motion had not been addressed in the ruling: Blue Marmot/300, Moyer/20:19-23 and Blue Marmot/300, Moyer/27:5-13. PGE requests clarification as to whether these sections have been stricken.

RULING

PGE's request for clarification is granted. Blue Marmot/300, Moyer/20:19-23 is not stricken. It provides the witness' opinion, but not as to a question of law or as a legal argument. Blue Marmot/300, Moyer/27:5-13 is stricken as a legal conclusion, except as to the sentence beginning on line 24 and ending on line 25, which merely recites the language in an existing regulation.

Dated this 22nd day of February, 2018, at Salem, Oregon.


Allan J. Arlow
Administrative Law Judge