ISSUED: December 28, 2018

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## **OF OREGON**

UM 1829, UM 1830, UM 1831, UM 1832, UM 1833

BLUE MARMOT V LLC (UM 1829) BLUE MARMOT VI LLC (UM 1830) BLUE MARMOT VII LLC (UM 1831) BLUE MARMOT VIII LLC (UM 1832) BLUE MARMOT IX LLC (UM 1833)

**RULING** 

Complainants,

VS.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendant.

Pursuant to ORS 756.500.

DISPOSITION: BRIEFING SCHEDULE ADOPTED

On December 24, 2018, complainants Blue Marmot V, LLC, Blue Marmot VI, LLC, Blue Marmot VII, LLC, Blue Marmot VIII, LLC and Blue Marmot IX, LLC, (Blue Marmots) and defendant Portland General Electric Company (PGE) jointly filed a motion to set a schedule for briefing.<sup>1</sup>

The parties agreed to the following briefing schedule:

BRIEF	DATE
Blue Marmots' Opening Brief	January 31, 2019
PGE Response Brief	March 8, 2019
Blue Marmots' Reply Brief	March 25, 2019

However, the parties hold differing positions with respect to oral argument. PGE requests that the Commission set a date certain for oral argument after briefing has been completed. Blue Marmots propose that a date for oral argument only be set after the briefs have been filed and the Commission has determined whether oral argument would be beneficial or whether the written record would suffice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A correction to the motion was filed on December 28, 2018, and is incorporated herein.

## **RULING**

The briefing schedule proposed by the parties is adopted. A decision with respect to the scheduling of oral argument is held in abeyance pending consultations between the administrative law judge and the respective commissioners to be concluded as soon as practicable.

Dated this 28th day of December, 2018, at Salem, Oregon.

Allan J. Arlow

Administrative Law Judge