

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1226

In the Matter of)
)
UTILITY REFORM PROJECT and)
KEN LEWIS,)
)
Complainants,)
)
v.)
)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC)
COMPANY,)
)
Defendant.)

DISPOSITION: SCHEDULE MODIFIED

A revised schedule was established in the above-captioned docket, as of October 10, 2006. That schedule required the Utility Reform Project and Ken Lewis (URP) to refile, if appropriate, a response to PGE’s Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint. URP did not file a response by the scheduled date. Instead, URP filed a response nine days later on December 15, 2006, leaving PGE with only six days to file a reply. URP did not file a formal request to extend the schedule, or to accept the late-filed response. On December 21, 2006, counsel for Portland General Electric Company (PGE) sent electronic correspondence indicating that PGE would not oppose URP’s late-filed response, and stating that PGE would file a reply by January 5, 2007, ostensibly without protest from URP.

Recognizing that the parties have negotiated a revised schedule, the revised schedule is adopted, despite procedural irregularities. URP’s late-filed response will be accepted, and PGE may have until January 5, 2007, to file a reply.

Dated this 21st day of December, 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge