ISSUED: March 15, 2006

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## **OF OREGON**

In the Matter of )
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF )
OREGON ) MEMORANDUM
)
Staff Investigation to Establish Requirements )

for Initial Designation and Recertification of Telecommunications Carriers Eligible to Receive Federal Universal Service Support.

## DISPOSITION: EXHIBIT LIST ISSUED, SCHEDULE SET

The following exhibits were admitted into the record during the hearing on March 9, 2006:

| Exhibit #   | Description                    | Disposition                               |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---|
| RCC/2-3     | Testimony of Elizabeth Kohler, | Prefiled/Admitted                         |
|             | Steven B. Otto                 |   |
| RCC-USCC/   | Testimony of Don J. Wood       | Prefiled/Admitted                         |
| 1, 4-6      |                                |   |
| RCC-USCC/7  | Data Request (DR) No. 2        | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/8  | DR No. 5, confidential         | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/9  | DR No. 6                       | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/10 | DR No. 1                       | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/11 | DR No. 3 original (2/1/06)     | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/12 | DR No. 3 amended (3/7/06)      | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/13 | DR No. 5 (3/7/06)              | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/14 | Disaggregation study by        | Admitted, pending OTA's reservation of    |
|             | CenturyTel of Oregon           | the right to object by March 17; RCC will |
|             |                                | respond by Mar 22;                        |
|             |                                | Ruling will be issued by Mar 24           |
| RCC-USCC/15 | DR No. 14                      | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/16 | DR No. 25                      | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/17 | DR No. 31, confidential        | Admitted                                  |
| RCC-USCC/18 | DR No. 29                      | Admitted                                  |

| Exhibit #   | Description                    | Disposition                            |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| RCC-USCC/19 | OPUC Form I                    | Judicial notice                        |
| RCC-USCC/20 | OPUC Form L                    | Judicial notice                        |
| RCC-USCC/21 | OPUC Form O                    | Judicial notice                        |
| RCC-USCC/22 | Oregon Utility Statistics 2004 | Admitted                               |
| OTA/1-6     | Testimony of Brant Wolf        | Prefiled/Admitted                      |
| OTA/7       | Testimony of Larry B. Mason    | Prefiled/Admitted                      |
| Verizon/1-9 | Testimony of Orville D. Fulp   | Prefiled/Admitted                      |
| Qwest/1-2   | Testimony of Dennis Pappas/    | Prefiled/Admitted                      |
|             | Peter Copeland                 |  |
| Staff/1-5   | Testimony of Kay Marinos       | Prefiled/Admitted as amended by errata |

During cross-examination of Steven B. Otto, Oregon Telecommunications Association (OTA) made two records requisition requests of RCC Minnesota, Inc. (RCC). OTA stated that it would put its request in writing no later than March 17, 2006, and RCC will provide the records no later than March 24, 2006. The records are to be provided to all parties.

During cross-examination of Brant Wolf, RCC objected to two of Verizon Northwest Inc.'s questions regarding whether any OTA members had call centers outside of Oregon and whether any OTA members had to revise or modify their inbound calling procedures in response to Commission regulations. RCC objected that those questions were outside the scope of Mr. Wolf's direct testimony. Upon a review of the testimony, I find that the objection is not well-founded and is overruled.

The briefing schedule was set as follows: the transcript should be delivered no later than March 24, 2006. The first round of concurrent briefs is due April 14, 2006, and the second round of concurrent briefs is due April 28, 2006. Although the current schedule calls for a Commission decision by May 9, 2006, the briefing schedule makes that target date unrealistic. The Commission decision target date is moved to June 2, 2006.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 15th day of March, 2006.

Christina M. Smith
Administrative Law Judge