ISSUED: October 20, 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

TTM / 1000

	UWI 1206	
In the Matter of)	
PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)))	MEMORANDUM
Draft 2012 Request for Proposals)	

On October 26, 2006, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) will hold a public meeting to consider PacifiCorp's Draft 2012 Requests for Proposals (RFP). As previously indicated, this proceeding is a public meeting, not oral argument. Consequently, any member of the public may address the Commission. Persons may also submit written comments up to and at the meeting. Any person filing such comments is encouraged to submit them as early as possible to facilitate Commissioner review.

The Commission will begin the Public Meeting by allowing PacifiCorp an opportunity to present opening comments and respond to the reply comments previously filed. Then, the Commission will allow Staff and formal parties to present opening remarks. Staff will be first to address the Commission, followed by the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, the Oregon Department of Energy, and the Joint Parties (Citizens' Utility Board, Ecumenical Ministries of Oregon, Oregon State Public Interest Research Group, NW Energy Coalition, and Renewable Northwest Project). Once the formal parties have presented, the Commission will allow other interested persons to comment. The Commission will then use the remainder of the meeting to question the parties.

Because the Commissioners have read all written comments previously submitted, the parties are asked to keep their presentations brief. To allow as much time for questions from the Commission, each presentation should not exceed 10 minutes. The parties are also reminded that the purpose of the meeting is to determine whether the Commission should approve PacifiCorp's RFP. As the Commission recently stated in Order No. 06-446, such review focuses on the following three criteria:

- (1) The alignment of the utility's RFP with its acknowledged IRP;
- (2) Whether the RFP satisfies the Commission's competitive bidding guidelines; and
- (3) The overall fairness of the utility's proposed bidding process.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 20th day of October 2006.

Michael Grant
Chief Administrative Law Judge