# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

# **OF OREGON**

## UM 1129

In the Matter of	)
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	) ) )
Staff's Investigation Relating to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.	) )

PREHEARING CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

On October 3, 2005, a prehearing conference was held in Salem, Oregon. The primary purpose of the prehearing conference was to address the issues list for the second phase of this proceeding.

### **Identification of the Parties**

Appearances were entered as follows: Michael Weirich appeared on behalf of Commission Staff; John Eriksson and Dean Brockbank appeared on behalf of PacifiCorp; Rich George and Ted Drennan appeared on behalf of Portland General Electric (PGE); Lisa Rackner and Bart Kline appeared on behalf of Idaho Power Company; Irion Sanger appeared on behalf of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU); Alan Meyer appeared on behalf of Weyerhaeuser Company; Peter J. Richardson appeared on behalf of Sherman County and J. R. Simplot Company; Linda Williams participated by telephone on behalf of the Fair Rate Coalition; Jim Deason participated by telephone on behalf of Co-Gen II LLC; and Mick Barranko participated by telephone on behalf of Douglas County Forest Products.

### Procedural Schedule

Parties had not yet reached consensus regarding an issues list for the second phase of this proceeding. Consequently, parties requested that the schedule be modified to allow further discussion of the issues list. Parties informally agreed that each party would submit a list of proposed issues to Staff by October 7, 2005. Staff will subsequently circulate a consolidated list by October 11, 2005. In consolidating the lists, Staff will make judgment calls regarding the appropriateness of including certain issues. Parties agreed to submit formal comments, on what issues should be discussed in the second phase of this proceeding, on October 21, 2005. As these comments will be informed by the prior circulation of proposed issues lists in order, reply comments will

not be required. Parties indicated that it was unnecessary to alter any other procedural schedule dates. I adopted the October 21, 2005 comment date for issues and the schedule is modified, as follows (only official dates are shown):

Track II – Phase I Compliance Issues	
Parties submit issues lists with comments	October 21, 2005
Parties objecting to any term(s) of any	November 30, 2005
compliance filing may file direct testimony	
Rebuttal testimony due	January 11, 2006
Hearing	February 2, 2006 (Details TBD,
	including determination of whether
	additional hearing days needed)
Track III – Phase II Issues	
Simultaneous direct testimony due	January 24, 2006
Rebuttal testimony due	February 21, 2006
Hearing	March 15, 2006 (Details TBD,
	including determination of whether
	additional hearing days needed)
Briefs	Dates TBD at hearing

Dated this 4th day of October, 2005, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick Administrative Law Judge