ISSUED: May 17, 2004

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## **OF OREGON**

		UM 1066		
In the Matter of an Investigation Into Regulatory Policies Affecting New Resource Development.		) ) )	RULING	
D	ISPOSITION: COMMEN	NTS DUE MAY 2	28, 2004	
implemented. In implementation of	its filing, Staff indicated	that the parties w y of adopting a la	a large customer opt out plan could ranted to submit comments regarding customer opt out proposal. Stafents by June 4, 2004.	g the
in tandem with o		sources, such as I	ng its decision about an opt-out pla C 33, I am revising the submission lowing:	
2)	filing? Please explain v in the Staff filing. If you recommend a dif	sues remain unrespuld be resolved of why your suggester ferent opt-out pro-		e ,
In your discussio	ns, please discuss specific	es rather than gen	eralities.	
Da	ated this 17th day of May	, 2004.		
			Kathryn A. Logan Administrative Law Judge	