

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	RULING
v.)	
)	
PACIFICORP,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**DISPOSITION: REPLY TIME TO PACIFICORP’S RESPONSE TO
MOTION TO EXCLUDE AND PACIFICORP’S
MOTION TO STRIKE GRANTED**

On February 23, 2006, Wah Chang filed a Motion to Exclude Information from Protective Order (Motion to Exclude). On March 16, 2006, PacifiCorp filed a Response to Wah Chang’s Motion to Exclude Information (Response to Motion to Exclude). On the same day, PacifiCorp also filed a Motion to Strike Petitioner’s Direct Testimony and Exhibits and Memorandum in Support (Motion to Strike). On March 24, 2006, Wah Chang filed an Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time requesting a ruling, on an expedited basis, that would authorize Wah Chang to not reply to the Response to Motion to Exclude and the Motion to Strike until April 7, 2006. Wah Chang represents that PacifiCorp does not oppose this request.

As Wah Chang and PacifiCorp agree that the due date for replies to the Response to Motion to Exclude and the Motion to Strike should be extended by seven days, Wah Chang’s Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time is granted. Replies shall be due no later than April 7, 2006.

Dated this 27th day of March, 2006, at Salem, Oregon.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge