

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	AMENDED
	)	STATUS CONFERENCE
v.	)	MEMORANDUM
	)	
PACIFICORP,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

On April 12, 2005, at the request of the parties, a status conference in this docket was held. The primary purpose of the conference was to establish a procedural schedule.

Appearances were entered as follows: Richard Williams, attorney, appeared on behalf of Wah Chang and Jay Zollinger, attorney, appeared on behalf of PacifiCorp.

Parties proposed, and I adopted, the following procedural schedule:

Wah Chang files direct testimony	September 16, 2005
PacifiCorp files reply testimony	February 17, 2006
Wah Chang files rebuttal testimony	March 31, 2006
Hearing (details TBD)	April 25-27, 2006
Briefing schedule	TBD
Oral argument	TBD

The dates for filing are considered “in hand” dates.

After review of the original Status Conference Memorandum, issued on April 12, 2005, the parties agreed to modify the adopted discovery timelines. Both parties agreed that each party may conduct discovery until the scheduled hearing. Parties also agreed to shorten response times for data requests. Responses to data requests served between February 18, 2006, and March 31, 2006, shall be due within seven Commission business days, while responses to data requests served between April 1, 2006, and April 24, 2006, shall be due within five Commission business days. Responses must be served by hand or electronic mail on or before the due date. Finally, parties agreed to serve all data requests electronically in either a Word Perfect or a Microsoft Word format.

Dated this 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2005, at Salem, Oregon.

---

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick  
Administrative Law Judge

