

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,

Petitioner,

v.

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER,

Respondent.

RULING

DISPOSITION: MOTION GRANTED

By motion filed March 10, 2010, PacifiCorp dba Pacific Power (Pacific Power or Company) requests the Commission correct the record filed with the Oregon Court of Appeals on February 23, 2010, in Appellate Court No. CA A143692. Pacific Power states that Wah Chang does not oppose its motion.

First, Pacific Power requests that the Commission add material to the appellate record that was part of the record before the Commission but was omitted from the record as filed with the Court of Appeals. Specifically, the Company asks the Commission to add the Declaration of William R. Griffith, filed with the Commission as an exhibit to Pacific Power's opening brief. As noted by Pacific Power, attachments to the Griffith Declaration already are part of the record filed with the Court of Appeals.


Second, Pacific Power requests the Commission correct specified transcript errors. In its motion, the Company provides the transcript page and line for each of the proposed corrections, the original transcript entry, and the proposed correction, except in one instance.

In that one instance, Pacific Power cites page 147, line 5, where the incorrect word is "sign." According to Pacific Power, the parties agree that "sign" is not correct, but do not know the correct word. They suggest that the court reporter review the record and supply the correct word.

Having reviewed the transcript, the word "sign" at page 147, line 5, is corrected to read "sham." The other corrections are approved as proffered.

It is ordered that Pacific Power's motion is GRANTED, with the word "sign" changed to "sham" at page 146, line 5.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 15th day of March, 2010.



Patrick Power
Administrative Law Judge