

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	STATUS CONFERENCE
v.	)	MEMORANDUM
	)	
PACIFICORP,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

On April 12, 2005, at the request of the parties, a status conference in this docket was held. The primary purpose of the prehearing conference was to establish a procedural schedule.

Appearances were entered as follows: Richard Williams, attorney, appeared on behalf of Wah Chang and Jay Zollinger, attorney, appeared on behalf of PacifiCorp.

Parties proposed, and I adopted, the following procedural schedule:

Wah Chang files direct testimony	September 16, 2005
PacifiCorp files reply testimony	February 17, 2006
Wah Chang files rebuttal testimony	March 31, 2006
Hearing (details TBD)	April 25-27, 2006
Briefing schedule	TBD
Oral argument	TBD

The dates for filing are considered “in hand” dates. The parties also agreed that Wah Chang may conduct discovery until September 16, 2005, and prior to PacifiCorp’s submission of reply testimony. The parties also agreed that PacifiCorp may conduct discovery between its submission of reply testimony and Wah Chang’s submission of rebuttal testimony.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2005 at Salem, Oregon.

---

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick  
Administrative Law Judge

